

| 1 | Title: A Pilot Study of Reducing Test Anxiety in a Cohort of Underrepresented in Medicine MCAT Students Using Near-Peer |
|----|--|
| 2 | Coaching |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Article Type: Original Article |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Author names: |
| 7 | 1. Benjamin Liu |
| 8 | 2. Allen Hodge |
| 9 | 3. Crystal Jushka |
| 10 | 4. William J Hueston |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Degrees and Affiliations: |
| 13 | 1. 4 ^w Year Medical Student. Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, United States. |
| 14 | 2. 3° Year Medical Student, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, United States. |
| 16 | M. Ed. Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, United States. |
| 17 | 4. MD. Medical Conege of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Office States. |
| 18 | ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor Identifier): |
| 19 | 1. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2089-7607 |
| 20 | 2. https://orcid.org/000000340810625 |
| 21 | 3. https://orcid.org/000000275078959 |
| 22 | 4 https://orcid.org/00000018545317X |
| 23 | |
| 24 | About the author: Beniamin Liu is currently a 4 th year medical student of the Medical College of Wisconsin in Milwaukee. |
| 25 | USA of a 4 year degree. He will be joining the Case Western Metro Health Internal Medicine program as a PGY-1 in 2022. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | Corresponding author email: Beliu@mcw.edu |
| 28 | Acknowledgment: We would like to thank the medical students who volunteered their time to help make this |
| 29 | program and study a reality. |
| 30 | Financing: N/A |
| 31 | Conflict of interest statement by authors: There are no conflicts of interest to disclose. |
| 32 | Clinical Trials Registration: NCT05224427 |
| 33 | Compliance with ethical standards: The proposed study designs were approved by the Medical College of |
| 34 | Wisconsin's Institutional Review Board (PRO00035403). |
| 35 | |
| 36 | Authors Contribution Statement: |
| | Contributor Role Definition Authors |
| | |

| Contributor Polo | Role Definition | | | Authors | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|---|---------|---|--|--|--|
| Contributor Role | | | | 3 | 4 | | | |
| Conceptualizatio n | Conceptualizatio Ideas; formulation or evolution of overarching research goals and aims. | | | Х | Х | | | |
| Data Curation | Management activities to annotate (produce metadata), scrub data and maintain research data (including software code, where it is necessary for interpreting the data itself) for initial use and later reuse. | х | | | | | | |
| Formal Analysis | Application of statistical, mathematical, computational, or other formal techniques to analyze or synthesize study data. | х | х | | | | | |
| Funding Acquisition | Acquisition of the financial support for the project leading to this publication. | | | Х | Х | | | |
| Investigation | Conducting a research and investigation process, specifically performing the experiments, or data/evidence collection. | х | х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



| Methodology Project | Development or design of methodology; creation of models | Х | Х | Х | X |
|---|--|--------|--------|-----------|--------------------|
| Administration | Management and coordination responsibility for the research activity planning and execution. | Х | | | |
| Resources | Provision of study materials, reagents, materials, patients, laboratory samples, animals, instrumentation, computing resources, or other analysis tools. | | | х | х |
| Supervision | Oversight and leadership responsibility for the research activity planning and execution, including mentorship external to the core team. | | | Х | х |
| Validation | Verification, whether as a part of the activity or separate, of the overall replication/reproducibility of results/experiments and other research outputs. | Х | | | х |
| Visualization | Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically visualization/data presentation. | Х | | | |
| Writing – Original Draft Preparation | Creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically writing the initial draft (including substantive translation). | х | | | Х |
| Writing – Review & Editing | Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work by those from the original research group, specifically critical review, commentary or revision – including pre- or post-publication stages. | Х | | | x |
| | | | (| \frown | |
| Manuscript word c | ount:2999 words | | | | |
| Abstract word cou | nt:260 words | | Ζ | `\` | |
| Number of Figures | and Tables:5 Tables, 2 Figures | | | | |
| Personal Profes | sional and Institutional Social Network accounts | | | | |
| inkedin: https:// | | | | | |
| -mkeum. mups:// | | | | | |
| Discussion Dainta | | | | | |
| | | licino | haa | kara | unde? |
| 1. VVIIALIS LAG | a level of test anxiety in pre-metucal students nom underrepresented in met | | , nac | nyi0 | uius? tudonto t |
| | Nous have others used to address test anxiety, have any been studied for | pre-n | | al Si | |
| a What are n | re modical students' concerns regarding taking the MCAT2 | | | | |
| o. vvnatare p | | | | | |
| 4. is a pilot ne | | | | | |
| D | | | | | |
| Jates | | | | | |
| Submission: 8/23/ | 2021 | | | | |
| Revisions: 9/29/20 | 021, 12/2/2021, 1/31/2022 | | | | |
| Responses: 12/1/ | 2021, 1/9/2022, 3/19/2022 | | | | |
| Acceptance: 3/25/ | /2022 | | | | |
| Publication: 5/17/2 | 2022 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Editors | | | | | |
| Associate Editor/F | ditor: Francisco J. Bonilla-Escobar | | | | |
| Student Editors: E | Brandon Belbeck & Leah Komer | | | | |
| Copveditor: Adna | n Muianovic | | | | |
| Proofreader | ······· | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ayout Editor: | | | | | |
| Publichar's Disc | locure: This is a PDE file of an unadited manuacrist that has been | 200 | onto | d fo | r nublica |
| | | acce | epre | u 101 | publica |
| is a service to ou | ir readers and authors we are providing this early version of the ma | nuso | cript. | The | e manus |
| vill undergo copy | editing, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is pu | blisł | ned i | in its | s final cit |

33 form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content,

34 and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ABSTRACT.

1

2

7

Background: The Medical College Admission Test (MCAT) is a standardized exam taken by prospective medical students. The MCAT is critical for success in most of the US and parts of Canada, and such pressures may enhance test anxiety. For students from Underrepresented in Medicine (URM) backgrounds, this is often compounded by being the first in their family to take the MCAT.

- 8 **Methods:** We conducted a literature review for interventions on test-related anxiety. Based on our findings, we 9 elected to establish a pilot near-peer coaching (NPC) program for URM students enrolled at the Medical College 10 of Wisconsin MCAT program. We quantified baseline and specific time point test-anxieties using the validated 11 Westside test anxiety scale. We asked about MCAT concerns and program impressions via a free-response 12 section and analyzed results with inductive analysis.
- 13

Results: Our review could find no other studies examining MCAT related test anxiety in the prospective medical student population. NPC was chosen because of its accessibility. At baseline, approximately 50% of students had at least moderately high-test anxiety; meeting the threshold for intervention. Most students perceived themselves as unconfident in their ability to do well on the MCAT. We observed a decrease in test anxiety after coaching sessions. Students received the program well however, wanted to be able to choose the content and have more meetings.

20

21 Conclusion: This observational pilot study suggests that URM pre-medical students have MCAT related test 22 anxieties high enough to warrant intervention and that NPC is well-received and correlates to reduced test 23 anxiety levels.

24

25 Key Words: Students, Anxiety, Stress, College admission test, Test Anxiety scale (Source: MeSH-NLM).



International Journal of MEDICAL STUDENTS

1 INTRODUCTION.

2 The Medical College Admission Test (MCAT) is a standardized exam taken by prospective medical students in 3 the United States. It includes 4 sections deemed to be important skills for future physicians: Chemistry and 4 Physics, Critical Analysis and Reading Section, Biology and Biochemistry, and the Psychology and Sociology 5 sections. The test is roughly 7.5 hours long, with each section containing multiple passages with questions 6 related to the excerpts. Many prospective medical students spend months to even years preparing for this test. 7 These students understand that the MCAT is a critical component of every medical school application and that 8 not scoring well often means rejection and/or having to re-take the exam multiple times. Naturally, prospective 9 medical students are anxious about this exam. Test anxiety, while helpful in certain amounts, can be extremely 10 detrimental to one's performance. Studies have shown that heightened test anxiety leads to worse outcomes 11 on the United States Medical Licensing Step 1 exam, undergraduate students' GPA, nursing licensure tests, 12 and even in the ability to engage in new instructional content.^{1,2,3}

13

14 In the United States, as per the American Association of Medical Colleges, "Underrepresented in Medicine 15 (URM) students are students from racial and ethnic backgrounds relative to their numbers in the general 16 population"⁴. This includes students from a variety of backgrounds, including Black, Mexican American, Native 17 American, and mainland Puerto Rican backgrounds. As an example, when adjusting for the total population of 18 Hispanic individuals in the United States, Hispanic medical school applicants and matriculants are 19 underrepresented by nearly 70%⁵. Some pre-medical students have the advantage of support from a family 20 member or have close friends who have gone through the process who can help mitigate MCAT related test 21 anxiety. Unfortunately, URM students often are the first in their family to take the MCAT, and often have 22 socioeconomic backgrounds that prevent them from accessing expensive MCAT preparatory courses. Factors 23 such as stereotype threat and inadequate access to mentors have also been cited as additional obstacles 24 URM students must overcome^{6, 7}. While not officially studied, all of this may lead to an increased level of 25 MCAT related test anxiety in this population. Finally, based on the author's personal experience, most existing 26 MCAT preparatory courses focus on training the students on exam content and strategy needed instead of 27 recognizing the role test anxiety may play. 28

29 To address this gap, we elected to perform a literature review conducted to explore effective test anxiety 30 coaching interventions. We then discuss a program that we created to provide to a cohort of URM students, 31 enrolled in an existing MCAT training program, with near-peer coaching provided by current medical students 32 at the Medical College of Wisconsin (MCW). Near-peer coaching model was chosen due to the ability for 33 medical students to share stories from their recent "lived experiences" as a pre-medical applicant. In addition, 34 due to ethical concerns as discussed later, we elected to follow the students in a longitudinal, observational 35 study. From this cohort, we report the quantitative test anxiety levels in this cohort at specific intervals: at 36 baseline and after each coaching session. Finally, we report the qualitative responses from students in terms 37 of their MCAT-related concerns and perceptions of the near-peer coaching program.



MATERIALS AND METHODS.

1 2

3 Study-Design

4 The study began with phase 1 of a literature review for other interventions on test-related anxiety. Based on

- 5 these results, near-peer coaching was chosen as the intervention for Phase 2 of the study. The proposed
- 6 study designs were approved by the Medical College of Wisconsin's Institutional Review Board
- 7 (PRO00035403), with clinical trial identifier <u>NCT05224427</u>. Phase 3.1 and phase 3.2 analyzed the quantitative
- 8 and qualitative results gathered from the coaching.
- 9

10 Part 1: Literature Review

11 To determine what other interventions for test-related anxiety were reported, two independent, masked, 12 reviewers conducted a literature review with no time limit with the last search on May 11th, 2021, on OVID 13 Medline and APA psychINFO. Studies included were primary literature investigating the effect of an 14 intervention on student test anxiety. Due to the limited literature available, any type of student was deemed 15 acceptable for study purposes. Exclusion criteria were any studies that did not report the effect of the 16 intervention on student test anxiety, or studies that did not examine test anxiety specifically. The medical 17 subject headings (MeSH) included: "Students", "Anxiety", "Stress", "College admission test", "Test Anxiety" 18 scale". Key terms included "Anxiety", "anxiousness", "Exam", "test anxiety". The Boolean operator AND and 19 OR were used to link the above-mentioned terms. Any duplicate results were removed. Studies included were 20 English language only. Appropriate variations were also used to account for plurals and other alternatives. A 21 manual search of study reference lists was conducted as well to include any potentially missed publications. 22 Any disagreements were resolved via consensus. A review of the results prompted the authors to propose 23 near-peer coaching as the optimal test anxiety intervention for the context.

24

25 Part 2: Near-Peer Coaching

The proposed study occurred in person at the Medical College of Wisconsin or online via video conferencing. A previous Medical College of Wisconsin (MCW) MCAT-training program for URM students was started in 2017 and we enrolled all twenty-two students from this program within the first year, and all twelve students the second year. All participants enrolled in the MCAT program were from Wisconsin and attended undergraduate or finished undergraduate studies within the past 5 years and were intending to apply to medical school. Demographics are shown in **Table 2**.

32

33 Volunteer near-peer mentors were recruited from existing MD or MD PhD candidates at MCW. Coaches were 34 oriented at the beginning of each program year, and periodically sent reminders and instructions on what to 35 cover. Initial MCAT coaching meetings were instructed to cover study schedules, effective studying, and exam 36 strategy while later coaching meetings recommended coaches share their stories of how they dealt with test 37 anxiety, strategies to deal with test anxiety (i.e. visit the test-center a week before, positive mentality about 38 wrong answers during practice, and increasingly practicing under test-day conditions), and for open 39 discussion with the student about how they were feeling. Coaches were provided cheat sheets leading up to 40 these sessions that recommended how to approach these conversations. In response to student concerns



- about feeling limited by these topics, we no longer instructed, rather recommended these topics to be covered
 in the second year of the program.
- 3

4 Students were consented and oriented in a group or individual setting and surveys were distributed via 5 Qualtrics^{XM} for baseline, after a mock MCAT exam four months before most exam dates, and after each 6 MCAT Coaching meeting. Surveys were anonymous and tracked using a pin. A sample of the survey is 7 available in Supplemental Figure 1. In the first year of the program between 2019-2020, we scheduled three 8 official MCAT coaching sessions: one every three months starting in August. In response to student concerns, 9 the second year of the program continued with the three official MCAT coaching sessions, while explicitly 10 stating that students can meet as many times as they wish above this number. Due to COVID-19, an in-11 person mock MCAT time point was not possible in the second iteration. 12

- 13 Part 3.1: Quantitative Analysis
- Surveys measured quantitative test anxiety scores using the validated Westside test anxiety scale⁸. The mean and the standard deviation were calculated in excel. Significance was calculated first using an F-test to determine the variances between the populations, followed by using the appropriate two-tailed student's t-test
- 17 in Excel. Statistical significance was p<0.05.
- 18
- 19 Part 3.2: Qualitative analysis
- 20 Qualitative data regarding student concerns regarding the MCAT as well as comments on the program were
- 21 elicited via free response in the same survey. Inductive analysis was performed on the free response
- 22 answers. They were categorized into various themes using line-by-line coding. Sentences within the answers
- 23 could overlap into different themes or not be related to any theme.
- 24



1 RESULTS.

2 3 Literature Review

A total of 275 articles were retrieved. Hand scanning reference lists provided 16 other potentially includable articles (Supplemental Figure 1). After careful reading of titles, abstracts, and full text, we excluded 251 articles based on our criteria. 40 articles met inclusion criteria (**Figure 1**). Results and characteristics of the studies included in the literature review are shown in **Table 1**. Zero studies examined test anxiety in the setting of the MCAT, and two studies examined peer coaching as a potential intervention.

9

10 *Quantitative results*

- All 22 students within the existing MCAT program participated in the first iteration of the coaching program and all 12 students participated in the second year of the program (**Figure 2**). Demographic characteristics and test
- 13 anxiety scores are shown in **Table 2** and **Table 3** respectively.
- 14

15 In the first cohort, 19 out of 22 students responded to the baseline and all 12 responded to the second iteration 16 baseline survey (Figure 2). Higher test anxiety scores meant higher test anxiety on a scale between 1 to 5. The 17 baseline score for all students in the first iteration was 2.84 (SD 0.66, range 1.6 - 4.1) and 2.71 (SD 0.72, range 18 1.5 - 4.1) in the second iteration. In the first iteration, 9 of 19 respondents had an average test anxiety score of 19 3 or higher, indicating moderately high to extremely high anxiety levels. The second iteration 6 of 12 were 3 or 20 higher. Student test anxiety means were observed to trend downwards from baseline (2.84 to 2.26 and 2.69 to 21 2.3) after coaching sessions and increase after a mock MCAT exam (2.76 to 2.88), although none of these 22 changes were statistically significant from each other or baseline (Table 3).

23

24 *Qualitative results*

25 MCAT-related concerns had 7 themes as shown in Table 4. At baseline, 95% of first iteration respondents 26 stated that they lacked self-confidence in their abilities to do well on the MCAT. We noted that multiple students 27 stated that they suffered from imposter syndrome to some degree, while others were concerned about their past 28 test performances. After MCAT coaching sessions, we observed a drop in the percentage of responses 29 concerned about this theme however, a notable spike occurred after the mock-MCAT. The COVID-19 pandemic 30 dramatically reduced the number of respondents, and 60% of respondents after the 3rd MCAT coaching session 31 voiced their concerns regarding the pandemic and the MCAT. The number of respondents concerned about 32 study strategy decreased from baseline with coaching sessions in both years, as well as concerns about 33 accountability. Student concerns about the knowledge needed for the MCAT and exam strategies remained 34 stable throughout.

35

Students generally felt that the MCAT coaching sessions were helpful and felt supported or felt an increase in their self-confidence. Students in the first iteration shared concerns that they felt they were limited by only 3 MCAT coaching sessions. After modifying the program to explicitly allow an unlimited number, only 1 response brought up this concern in the following year. Students also felt that the program could benefit by personalizing the content more, instead of requiring all coaches and students to cover a certain set of topics. The percentage of respondents concerned about this appeared to decrease the following year after modification.



International Journal & MEDICAL STUDENTS

1 DISCUSSION.

Test anxiety is a well-recognized phenomenon that affects students of all ages. Test-anxious thoughts, especially over an 8-hour test can impair performance by exhausting a student's ability to focus on the tasks at hand⁹. Unfortunately, the pre-medical student population has largely been ignored in terms of research regarding how this may affect their ability to do well on the MCAT. Our literature review showed no other studies examining test anxiety in this population. As a result, this is the first study - to our knowledge – to investigate the level of test anxiety present in URM prospective medical students taking the MCAT.

8

9 Our literature review found many studies that utilize licensed therapists to perform cognitive behavioral therapy 10 or other training intensive interventions (**Table 1**). These interventions are costly, time intensive on both student 11 and provider and can be out of reach of free MCAT preparation programs. Near peer coaching addresses this 12 issue by providing an easily accessible intervention for most medical school based MCAT preparation programs. 13 In addition, near-peer coaching has the advantage of medical students being able to provide tips and tricks 14 specific to their experience with MCAT testing and future medical school admission process.

15

Our observational pilot study suggests that near-peer coaching is not only accessible, but also well-received 16 17 by students. The fact that students felt limited by three coaching sessions simply demonstrates that students 18 wanted more sessions because they felt it was helpful. This is supported by Neuderth et al., 2009, who also 19 showed that peer coaching is well received by students¹⁰. Our data also shows that our cohort of URM pre-20 medical students had baseline concerns about their confidence in their ability to do well on the MCAT. 21 Sherman (1980) showed that confidence while taking a test is positively correlated with test performance in 22 high school students¹¹. This is corroborated by Smith (2002) suggesting that self-perception of one's test-23 taking skills is predictive of one's confidence during the test¹². Concerns about one's MCAT self-confidence 24 dropped after MCAT coaching, as did concerns about study strategies and accountability. Since our coaching 25 sessions aimed to directly address these issues, this suggests that near-peer MCAT coaching may have had 26 some role in alleviating these concerns. However, we acknowledge that this may also be due to a variety of 27 other factors, including the increased time that students had to study, opportunities to talk with their peers 28 outside of the program, and other resources the students may have utilized. At baseline, slightly less than half 29 of all students in the first iteration and half in the second had test anxiety above 3, suggesting moderately to 30 extremely high anxiety. This is typically the threshold suggested by the Westside test anxiety scale to warrant 31 anxiety intervention¹³. This is similar to the cross-sectional studies showing 52.3% of Ethiopian medical 32 students and 40% in Pakistani medical students who experience a Westside test anxiety level of 3 or more 33 without intervention^{14, 15}. As a result, this suggests that pre-medical URM students have similar levels of 34 baseline test anxiety to medical students and medical students may have experienced this level of test anxiety 35 before starting medical school.

36

Based on the author's personal experience, medical students in the United States and Canada, compared to pre-medical medical students, often benefit from strong school support, such as academic enhancement programs, wellness groups and easy access to peers who have been through the process. Pre-medical students, especially if URM, lack access to these resources, for which the alternative is often extremely expensive MCAT preparation programs. Besides the author's personal experience, several studies examine



the detrimental effects of test anxiety in the medical student population, how it may affect their USMLE scores, and discuss interventions, while there have been no such reports (per literature review) in pre-medical students taking the MCAT^{1, 16}. Finally, while there was no statistical significance between the time points, there was a trend downwards with each coaching session, suggesting that there may be an effect with near peer coaching on this population if the sample size increases in future studies.

Lately, there has been a bigger push for physicians to be more representative of the populations they are serving. The results discussed here suggest that test anxiety is an underrecognized, underreported barrier that can be addressed through an easy to access, relatively simple to implement program for most medical schools via near-peer coaching.

11

6

12 There are several limitations that our study faced. The biggest is the longitudinal, cross-sectional nature of our 13 study. We decided to pursue this instead of a trial with a control group because of the small sample size and 14 the ethical implications of denying half of the pre-medical students - all who wanted access to a medical 15 student coach - when the overwhelming amount of literature suggesting that coaching is effective for many 16 other purposes and likely would be in the context of the MCAT. This results in an inability to determine the 17 controlled effects of the near-peer coaching intervention, and also likely resulted in the Westside test anxiety 18 scores not being statistically different between each time point. Future studies could examine this, with a large 19 enough sample size such that a sizeable number of students may voluntarily be a control group or, by 20 comparing to well-recognized interventions such as cognitive behavioral therapy. However, nevertheless, we 21 feel that it is important to report these quantitative findings to serve as a platform for further studies in this 22 area. Furthermore, this issue is somewhat mitigated due to the qualitative aspect of our study. It is important 23 to recognize the students' subjective reports of coaching being effective at increasing one's self-confidence, 24 and the students feeling it was helpful enough to warrant more meetings. 25

26 An additional issue was that our survey response rate dramatically decreased after the COVID-19 pandemic 27 began. We acknowledge that this contradicts are previous data of students wanting more sessions, however 28 given the relatively consistent number of answers before the pandemic, and sharp drop after, we believe 29 these were factors unrelated to the program itself. COVID-19 obviously hampered many of our efforts to meet 30 in person for reminders to fill out the survey and for in-person mentoring sessions, resulting in our students 31 having to meet online with their mentors and for survey reminders to be sent by email. This limitation likely 32 biased the answers to extremes with answers from students that either found the coaching session to be the 33 most helpful or students that felt like their coaching experiences were not helping their test-anxieties. 34 Nevertheless, key answer trends mostly remained consistent despite the drop offs. Finally, future studies 35 should ideally gather baseline anxiety that is not just limited to test anxiety. While this may not eliminate the 36 possible participant bias of students with different test anxiety levels enrolling in this program, it would be good 37 to be able to control for baseline anxieties to assess the impact of near-peer coaching on different baseline 38 anxiety levels as well.



In conclusion, our study is the first of its kind to suggest that URM pre-medical students have MCAT related

- test-anxieties high enough to warrant intervention, and that near-peer coaching is a well-received, easily
 accessible program that may improve test anxiety.
- 4

1

5 Summary – Accelerating Translation

6 Title: A pilot study aimed at reducing test-related anxiety in students, from underrepresented in medicine
 7 backgrounds, taking medical school admission exams.

8

9 Main Problem to Solve: The Medical College Admission Test (MCAT) is a standardized exam taken by 10 prospective medical students in most of the US and parts of Canada. The MCAT is critical to getting into 11 medical school, and this unfortunately results in intense pressure on students. This pressure may intensify test 12 anxiety, leading to dramatic underperformance on the exam. For students from backgrounds that are not 13 traditionally represented in medicine (URM), this pressure is often compounded by being the first in their 14 family to take the MCAT. Unfortunately, there are few test anxiety related resources available to students, and 15 URM students often have difficulty accessing mentors that have dealt with MCAT-related anxiety.

16

17 Aim of the Study and Methodology: To address this gap, the authors of this article decided to conduct a 18 review of the literature for interventions on test-related anxiety. Based on our findings, as well as available 19 resources at our disposal, we decided to establish a pilot near-peer coaching (NPC) program for URM 20 students enrolled at the Medical College of Wisconsin MCAT program. This NPC program involved having 21 current medical student "coaches" pair with a URM student, meet several times over the course of a year, and 22 cover various topics related to test anxiety. We then measured test anxieties at the beginning of the study, 23 and at specific time points using a validated test anxiety scale. We also asked about MCAT concerns and 24 program impressions via a free-response section.

25

Results: Unfortunately, our literature review could find no other studies examining MCAT-related test anxiety in the prospective medical student population. NPC was chosen because of its potential to be zero cost, not require licensed therapy professionals, and its ability to provide pertinent advice outside of just test anxiety. At the beginning, approximately 50% of students had at least moderately high test anxiety. This level of anxiety is normally the threshold recommended for intervention. Most students perceived themselves as unconfident in their ability to do well on the MCAT, with a sizable proportion stating that they had a history of not doing well on exams. Fortunately, we observed a decrease in test anxiety after coaching sessions. Students overall



- 1 received the program well however, they wanted to be able to choose the content and have more meetings for
- 2 future program iterations.
- 3
- 4 **Conclusion:** This study suggests that some URM pre-medical students have MCAT-related test anxieties
- 5 high enough to warrant intervention and that NPC is a well-received intervention that is easily implemented by
- 6 other medical schools seeking to reduce test anxiety.



REFERENCES.

| 2 | 1. | Green M, Angoff N, Encandela J. Test anxiety and United States Medical Licensing Examination |
|----|-----|---|
| 3 | | scores. 2016;13(2):142-6. |
| 4 | 2. | Von der Embse N, Jester D, Roy D, Post J. Test anxiety effects, predictors, and correlates: A 30- |
| 5 | | year meta-analytic review. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2018;227:483-93. |
| 6 | 3. | Quinn BL, Peters A. Strategies to Reduce Nursing Student Test Anxiety: A Literature Review. The |
| 7 | | Journal of nursing education. 2017;56(3):145-51. |
| 8 | 4. | Underrepresented in Medicine Definition [Internet]. n.d. Available from: |
| 9 | | https://www.aamc.org/what-we-do/equity-diversity-inclusion/underrepresented-in-medicine |
| 10 | 5. | Lett LA, Murdock HM, Orji WU, Aysola J, Sebro R. Trends in Racial/Ethnic Representation Among |
| 11 | | US Medical Students. JAMA Network Open. 2019;2(9):e1910490-e. |
| 12 | 6. | Harris RB, Grunspan DZ, Pelch MA, Fernandes G, Ramirez G, Freeman S. Can Test Anxiety |
| 13 | | Interventions |
| 14 | | Alleviate a Gender Gap in an Undergraduate STEM Course? 2019;18(3):ar35. |
| 15 | 7. | Anne ML, Meher Rusi T, Therese MA, Natalie H. Math Anxiety in Female and Underrepresented |
| 16 | | Minority Students: A Literature Review. 2020/06/22; Virtual On line: ASEE Conferences. |
| 17 | 8. | Westside Test Anxiety Scale [Internet], n.d. Available from: |
| 18 | | https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED495968.pdf |
| 19 | 9. | Eysenck MW, Derakshan N, Santos R, Calvo MG. Anxiety and cognitive performance: attentional |
| 20 | | control theory. Emotion (Washington, DC). 2007;7(2):336-53. |
| 21 | 10. | Neuderth S, Jabs B, Schmidtke A. Strategies for reducing test anxiety and optimizing exam |
| 22 | | preparation in German university students: a prevention-oriented pilot project of the University of |
| 23 | | Würzburg. Journal of Neural Transmission. 2009;116(6):785-90. |
| 24 | 11. | Sherman JA. Predicting mathematics grades of high school girls and boys: A further study. |
| 25 | | Contemp Educ Psychol. 1980;5(3):249-55. |
| 26 | 12. | The Effects of Confidence and Perception of Test-taking Skills on Performance [Internet]. n.d. |
| 27 | | Available from: |
| 28 | | https://ourarchive.otago.ac.nz/bitstream/handle/10523/6962/Confidence%20ms%20for%20NAJP |
| 29 | | %20PENULTIMATE%5b1%5d.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y. |
| 30 | 13. | Westside Test Anxiety Scale [Internet]. Available from: https://in.ewu.edu/calelearning/wp- |
| 31 | | content/uploads/sites/84/2016/05/Westside-Test anxiety-Scale.pdf. |



- Ali M, Asim H, Edhi Al, Hashmi MD, Khan MS, Naz F, et al. Does academic assessment system
 type affect levels of academic stress in medical students? A cross-sectional study from Pakistan.
 Medical Education Online. 2015;20(1):27706.
- Tsegay L, Shumet S, Damene W, Gebreegziabhier G, Ayano G. Prevalence and determinants of
 test anxiety among medical students in Addis Ababa Ethiopia. BMC medical education.
 2019;19(1):423.
- Deng F, Gluckstein JA, Larsen DP. Student-directed retrieval practice is a predictor of medical
 licensing examination performance. Perspectives on medical education. 2015;4(6):308-13.
- 9



2

1 FIGURES AND TABLES.

Table 1. Literature Review Results Showing Population and Test anxiety Intervention Investigated

| Author | Population | Intervention | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Kwon et al., 2020 | Elementary and high-school students | Virtual reality desensitization | | | |
| Harris et al., 2019 | Undergraduate STEM students | Expressive writing, reappraisal | | | |
| Fergus et al., 2019 | Adolescent students | Group format attention training | | | |
| Prinz et al., 2019 | University students | Imagery | | | |
| Shen et al., 2018 | Senior high-school students | Expressive writing | | | |
| Reiss et al., 2017 | University students | Cognitive behavioural therapy and imagery rescripting | | | |
| Cho et al., 2016 | University students | Mindful breathing | | | |
| Hahm et al., 2016 | Veterinary students | Seminars | | | |
| Bellinger et al., 2015 | Calculus students | Mindfulness techniques | | | |
| Brown et al., 2011 | University students | Cognitive behavioural therapy and acceptance-based therapy | | | |
| Bradley et al., 2010 | High school students | Emotional self-regulation | | | |
| Handelzalts et al., 2010 | University students | Advanced muscle relaxation and change in internal dialogue | | | |
| Benor et al., 2009 | University students | Emotional freedom techniques and cognitive behavioural therapy | | | |
| Baker et al., 2003 | University students | Argentum nitricum administration | | | |
| McGlynn et al., 1978 | University students | Cue controlled relaxation therapy | | | |
| Smith et al., 1973 | University students | Systemic desensitization and implosive therapy | | | |
| McManus et al., 1971 | University students | Group desensitization | | | |
| Allen et al., 1971 | University students | Study counseling and desensitization | | | |
| Contreras et al., 2021 | 10th grade students | Deep breathing excercises | | | |
| Kumar et al., 2019 | Pre-engineering and pre-medical students in India | Cognitive drill therapy | | | |
| Donato, 2010 | 4th grade students | Emotional refocusing and restructuring, breathing, music, water, test-wiseness strategies and educational kinesiology exercises. | | | |
| Kacprowicz, 2009 | 8th grade students | relaxation training | | | |
| Johnson, 2008 | Students with learning difficulties | progressive muscle relaxation and systematic desensitization | | | |
| Egbochuku et al., 2005 | High school students | systematic desensitization therapy | | | |
| Earnest et al., 1990 | Adult students | Test-taking skills training and cognitive restructuring | | | |
| Mann et al., 1970 | 7th grade students | Serial retesting | | | |
| Snider et al., 1966 | University students | Autogenic training | | | |
| Anton, W. D., 1976 | University students | Systematic desensitization | | | |
| Beggs et al., 2011 | Nursing students | Guided reflection | | | |
| Decker et al., 1981 | University students | Cue controlled relaxation therapy and cognitive restructuring | | | |
| Dunne | Veterinary students | Coaching workshop | | | |
| Griffin et al., 1998 | University students | Reciprocal peer tutoring | | | |
| Himle et al., 1984 | University students | Relaxation skill training, cognitive restructuring | | | |
| Holahan et al., 1979 | University students | Anxiety management training and cognitive modification | | | |
| Hudesman et al., 1978 | University students | Desensitization | | | |
| Hudesman et al., 1984 | University students | Desensitization | | | |
| Ihli et al., 1969 | University students | Group and individual desensitization | | | |
| Neuderth et al., 2009 | University students | Lectures and peer coaching | | | |
| Powell et al., 2004 | Medical students | Behavioural rehearsal | | | |
| Suinn, 1968 | University students | Deep muscle relaxation and desensitization | | | |



1

Figure 1. PRISMA Flowchart of study selection





1

2

3

4 5

Figure 2. CONSORT Diagram of participant recruitment





Table 2. Near-Peer Coaching Students' Demographic Characteristics

| Total responses | Frequency (%) |
|-----------------|---------------|
| Year | |
| Year 1 | 19 (86%) |
| Year 2 | 12 (100%) |
| Male | |
| Year 1 | 10 (45%) |
| Year 2 | 8 (67%) |
| <u>Female</u> | |
| Year 1 | 12 (55%) |
| Year 2 | 4 (33%) |
| | |

Table 3. Near-Peer Coaching Students' Test Anxiety Scores at Baseline and at Each Time Point.

| 1 |
|---|
| 2 |

| | Test anxiety Score | Range | Number of responses (% N) |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| <u>Baseline</u> | | | |
| Year 1 | 2.84 ± 0.66* | 1.6 - 4.1 | 19 (86%) |
| Year 2 | 2.69 ± 0.72** | 1.5-4.1 | 12 (100%) |
| Post-MCAT Coaching 1 | | | |
| Year 1 | 2.44 ± 0.63* | 1.3 - 3.8 | 16 (73%) |
| Year 2 | 2.46 ± 0.21** | 2.0 - 2.8 | 11 (92%) |
| Post-MCAT Coaching 2 | | | |
| Year 1 | 2.76 ± 0.58* | 1.8 - 4.2 | 14 (64%) |
| Year 2 | 2.24 ± 0.2** | 2.0 - 2.5 | 8 (67%) |
| Post-MCAT Mock Exam | | | |
| Year 1 | $2.88 \pm 0.64*$ | 1.7 - 4.1 | 16 (73%) |
| Year 2 | - | | |
| | | | |
| Post-MCAT Coaching 3 | | | |
| Year 1 | 2.26 ± 0.29* | 1.7 - 2.6 | 5 (23%) |
| Year 2 | 2.3 ± 0.2** | 2.0 - 2.5 | 6 (50%) |

3 *These values were not statistically different from each other per student's t-test p<0.05

4 **These values were not statistically different from each other per student's t-test p<0.05



Table 4: Themes of MCAT Related Concerns with Examples and Percentage of All Responses Containing the Respective

 Theme.

| | | | | <u>Year 1</u> | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Category of response | Examples (General Concern) | Baseline N=19 (%) | Post-MCAT Coaching 1 N=16 (%) | Post-MCAT Coaching 2 N=14 (%) | Post MCAT- Exam N=16 (%) | Post MCAT Coaching 3 N=5 (%) | Baseline N= 12 (%) |
| Study Strategy | "I am afraid that I am not studying properly on my own and that I do not know how to properly study." | 11 (58%) | 6 (38%) | 4 (29%) | 4 (25%) | 2 (40%) | 11 (92%) |
| Knowledge | "Retaining all necessary knowledge to do well on the test" | 11 (58%) | 10 (63%) | 9 (69%) | 15 (93%) | 3 (60%) | 12 (100%) |
| Exam Strategy | "I always found it difficult to fully understand what a question is asking, it feels like each one is a trick and designed to confuse you." | 8 (42%) | 12 (75%) | 6 (43%) | 15 (93%) | 2 (40%) | 6 (50%) |
| Self-Confidence | "I believe that I am not worthy. Imposter syndrome. I constantly am comparing myself to my peers. I think they know a lot more than I do because I struggle to retain information." | 18 (95%) | 8 (50%) | 7 (50%) | 11 (69%) | 1 (20%) | 8 (75%) |
| Accountability | "Being accountable to study schedules and having passion to study." | 8 (42%) | 7 (37%) | 4 (29%) | 5 (31%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (57%) |
| Balancing School and Study | "That I might not have enough time to study with being in school." | 9 (50%) | 7 (44%) | 7 (50%) | 5 (31%) | 2 (40%) | 11 (92%) |
| COVID-19 related | "I feel mad and hopeless I don't know when my MCAT date is going to be!!!!" | - | | - | - | 3 (60%) | 2 (17%) |
| | | 0 | / | | | | |

Table 5: Themes of Student Thought's on the Near-Peer Coaching Program with Examples and Percentage of All Responses Containing the Respective Theme.

| | | | Year 1 | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Category of response | Examples (General Concern) | Post-MCAT Coaching 1 N=16 (%) | Post-MCAT Coaching 2 N=14 (%) | Post MCAT Coaching 3 N =5 (%) | Post- MCAT Co N = 11 (9 |
| Helpful | "What I got most out of this is the wisdom and experience of a medical student. I know what to do and what to avoid because they have been through it and understand how the MCAT should be approached." | 15 (93%) | 14 (100%) | 4 (80%) | 11 (100% |
| Needs more meeting opportunities | "I think one or two more meetings as a kind of check-in would help. After the meeting I feel like I know what to do, but I haven't had a chance to apply it yet, so the kinks haven't shown themselves yet. It'd be nice to be able to meet maybe a month or so after these sessions to talk about what I'm doing."" | 12 (75%) | 9 (64%) | 1 (20%) | 1 (9%) |
| Needs different content | "could you go beyond strategies and focus on taking up practice questions?" | 4 (25%) | 6 (43%) | 2 (40%) | 4 (36%) |
| Felt supported/increased self-confidence | "My first meeting with my mentor went super well!!! It was extremely helpful and I feel much better about myself." | 10 (63%) | 8 (57%) | 3 (60%) | 8 (73%) |
| Too many topics covered | "yes, just learning study techniques would be better" | 4 (25%) | 3 (21%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (9%) |
| Other | "better method of communication plz!" | 2 (13%) | 1 (7%) | 1 (20%) | 4 (36%) |