

| 1 | Title: The Education of Medical Students in Human Factors – A National Survey. |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Article type: Original Article, |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Author names: |
| 6 | 1. Michael Conroy |
| 7 | 2. Joel Chilaka |
| 8 | 3. Gianluca Colucci |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Degrees and Affiliations: |
| 11 | 1. MBBS, Core Surgical Trainee, Western Sussex Hospital Foundation NHS Trust, United Kingdom |
| 12 | 2. MBBS, MSc. Foundation Year Doctor, North Cumbria Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust, United |
| 13 | Kingdom |
| 14 | 3. Consultant Colorectal Surgeon, Worthing Hospital, Western Sussex Hospitals Foundation NHS Trust, |
| 15 | United Kingdom |
| 16 | |
| 17 | ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor Identifier): |
| 18 | 1. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3519-8064 |
| 19 | 2. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3966-9601 |
| 20 | 3. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5309-398X |
| 21 | |
| 22 | About the author: Dr Chilaka is a Foundation Year Doctor in Cumbria, having graduated from the Brighton and |
| 23 | Sussex Medical School in 2021. He holds a Master's degree in Public Health from the London School of Hygiene |
| 24 | and Tropical Medicine. His Year 4 research project that forms the basis of this research was awarded the |
| 25 | Sussex Healthcare Prize for 'Best Community-based research project'. |
| 26 | Corresponding author email: joel.chilaka1@nhs.net |
| 27 | Acknowledgment: None |
| 28 | Financing: This work received £800 from Brighton and Sussex Medical School which funded the prize draw, |
| 29 | the medical school itself had no input in the study design, analysis of data or manuscript production. |
| 30 | Conflict of interest statement by authors: We confirm this manuscript is an accurate representation of the |
| 31 | study conducted and that no conflicts of interest exist for this work. |
| 32 | Compliance with ethical standards: Ethical approval was obtained from the Brighton and Sussex Medical |
| 33 | School Research and Governance Ethics Committee (RGEC Ethical Approval ER/BSM6909/1). |
| 34 | |
| 35 | Authors Contribution Statement: |
| | Authors |

| Contributor Polo | Polo Definition | Au | thors | |
|------------------------|--|----|-------|---|
| Contributor Role | Role Delinition | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Conceptualization | Ideas; formulation or evolution of overarching research goals and aims. | | х | х |
| Data Curation | Management activities to annotate (produce metadata), scrub data and maintain research data (including software code, where it is necessary for interpreting the data itself) for initial use and later reuse. | | х | |
| Formal Analysis | Application of statistical, mathematical, computational, or other formal techniques to analyze or synthesize study data. | х | х | |
| Funding Acquisition | Acquisition of the financial support for the project leading to this publication. | | х | Х |



| Investigation | Conducting a research and investigation process, specifically performing the experiments, or data/evidence collection. | | х | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Methodology | Development or design of methodology; creation of models | | х | х |
| Project Administration | Management and coordination responsibility for the research activity planning and execution. | Х | Х | х |
| Resources | Provision of study materials, reagents, materials, patients, laboratory samples, animals, instrumentation, computing resources, or other analysis tools. | | х | Х |
| Software | Programming, software development; designing computer programs; implementation of the computer code and supporting algorithms; testing of existing code components. | х | х | Х |
| Supervision | Oversight and leadership responsibility for the research activity planning and execution, including mentorship external to the core team. | | | Х |
| Validation | Verification, whether as a part of the activity or separate, of the overall replication/reproducibility of results/experiments and other research outputs. | х | | |
| Visualization | Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically visualization/data presentation. | | х | Х |
| Writing – Original Draft Preparation | Creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically writing the initial draft (including substantive translation). | | Х | |
| Writing – Review & Editing | Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work by those from the original research group, specifically critical review, commentary or revision – including pre- or post-publication stages. | х | х | X |

Manuscript word count: 3248

Abstract word count: 216

Number of Figures and Tables: 3

- - Personal, Professional, and Institutional Social Network accounts.
 - Linkedin: https://uk.linkedin.com/in/joel-chilaka •

Discussion Points:

- 1. Increasing awareness of human factors will allow students to graduate with the skills to improve patient safety and healthcare delivery.
- 2. We also note the significant lack of confidence of students in challenging authority - which is, when appropriate, the safest course of action in clinical care



1 Dates

- 2 Submission: 08/26/2021
- 3 Revisions: 11/03/2021
- 4 Responses: 11/27/2021
- 5 Acceptance: 02/20/2022, 04/12/2022
- 6 Publication: 04/15/2022
- 7
- 8 Editors
- 9 Associate Editor/Editor: Francisco J. Bonilla-Escobar
- 10 Student Editors: Eugenia M. Ramos-Dávila, Manas Pustake
- Copyeditor: Sohaib Haseeb 11
- 12 Proofreader:
- 13 Layout Editor:
- 14
- 15 Publisher's Disclosure: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. 16 As a service to our readers and authors we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript
- 17
- will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable
- 18 form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content,
- 19 and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

CE

- 20
- 21



1 ABSTRACT.

- 2
- 3 Introduction: The importance of human factors (HF) has been highlighted recently by the General Medical
- 4 Council's decision to include it in their processes for evaluating fitness to practice. Medical school is a vital
- 5 stage for embedding concepts into medical practice, but little is known regarding the rigor and extent to which
- 6 HF is taught across UK medical schools.
- 7 **Methods:** An 8-question survey was designed and disseminated nationally using the Qualtrics platform.
- 8 Respondents were asked for their existing knowledge and perception of HF, education throughout medical
 9 school and relevant demographic factors.
- 10 Results: The survey was completed by 304 medical students from 12 UK medical schools. 45.7% of
- 11 respondents had never heard of HF as a concept. 96.9% of respondents deemed the concept of HF as very
- 12 important to medicine and future clinical practice. Simulated scenarios, one-to-one and small group teaching
- 13 emerged as the most effective teaching methods, whilst many students agreed this teaching should take
- place in the early stages of medical education. Communication and teamwork were perceived to be the mostimportant aspects of HF.
- 16 **Conclusion:** Findings reveal a lack of awareness regarding human factors (HF) among UK medical students.
- 17 New strategies are needed to ensure the doctors of tomorrow are equipped with the necessary tools to
- 18 implement and deliver safer, more effective patient care.

Ce

19

20 Key Words: Interprofessional education, Medical Student, Medical Education, Health Communication



INTRODUCTION.

2

1

Human factors (HF) are defined by the International Ergonomics Association as "a scientific discipline concerned with the understanding of interactions among humans and other elements of a system, and the profession that applies theory, principles, data and methods to design in order to optimize human well-being and overall system performance".^(1,2) In the field of medicine, human factors or soft skills, are generally understood to mean all the non-technical skills needed by doctors to perform efficiently in different clinical scenarios ranging from routine practice to high-stress emergency settings, such as trauma and resuscitation.

9

10 The importance of human factors and the application of its underlying principles within medicine have been 11 recently emphasised by the General Medical Council's decision to incorporate HF into their process for 12 evaluating fitness to practice in the United Kingdom(UK).⁽³⁾ In the light of events such as the case of Dr Bawa-Garba⁽⁴⁻⁶⁾, or the failings at the Mid Staffordshire NHS Trust in 2014, the patient safety agenda has been 13 14 brought to the forefront of both public and professional interest. Much of this work has centred around the 15 number of "avoidable deaths" within the National Health Service and ways in which this figure can be reduced. 16 This data feeds into wider exploration of the impact of medical error - defined as "failure to execute an action 17 as intended" or "use of an inappropriate plan to achieve a stated outcome".⁽⁷⁾ Data from the UK suggests that 18 up to 35,000 deaths each year occur due to medical error. ⁽⁷⁾ The cost of these errors to the UK has been 19 estimated at around £2 billion⁽⁸⁾, with an intangible emotional cost to patients and families.⁽⁹⁾ Data from 20 patients admitted to hospital also suggests that up to 10% may suffer some form of avoidable harm.⁽¹⁰⁾ From 21 an international perspective the six International Patient Safety Goals from the Joint Commission International 22 includes correct patient identification, improving effective communication, improving medication safety and 23 ensuring safe surgery.⁽¹¹⁾ HF can have a key impact on these four goals, and knowledge surrounding HF 24 supports healthcare professionals in a meeting these goals. The World Health Organization (WHO) produced 25 a Global Patient Safety Action Plan in 2021, which highlights HF as critical in producing a safe healthcare 26 system. Strategy 2.4 of this action plan includes recommendations for ensuring availability of training 27 programmes in HF, and that any patient safety accreditation requires training on HF.⁽¹²⁾ 28

Whilst greater emphasis is placed upon the teaching of HF during post graduate training, there appears to be wide variations in the rigour and formality of HF teaching in UK medical schools.⁽¹³⁾ The aim of this research is to describe the awareness of HF in medical students in the UK, and establishing their perception of HF teaching and confident in soft skills.



1 METHODS

2

A draft questionnaire was developed from an initial literature search using Pubmed (search terms with and/or used: human factors, education, medical, questionnaire, medical student) highlighting existing work and was then tested on a group of medical students (n=10) based at one district general hospital. Use of feedback including the clarity of the questions, understanding of questions and answer terms used and perceived relevance of questions from the pilot group allowed construction of a final 8-question survey (Appendix 1). The survey was accessible online via the Qualtrics platform.

9

In 2016/2017 the GMC reported 39,185 medical students in the United Kingdom.⁽¹⁴⁾ Our aim was to recruit 1% of this cohort to gain data representative of the population as a whole. The inclusion criteria was all students currently studying at a UK medical school, including those on intercalation years. No exclusion criteria in terms of demographic were used. Ethical approval was obtained from the Brighton and Sussex Medical School Research and Governance Ethics Committee (RGEC Ethical Approval ER/BSM6909/1).

15

The survey was available online via a web-link which was distributed through contacting medical student societies ("MedSoc") via e-mail. This contact outlined the aims of the study and provided a shareable web-link to access the survey. A second phase followed up on the initial e-mail contact and contacted a selected range of medical school administrative offices where no response was received in phase 1. The survey was available online for 5 months.

21

Personal data were gathered as part of the questionnaire including gender, age and medical school attended.
Participants were required to provide informed consent to take part in the survey prior to commencing
questions. Students who completed the survey in its entirety could opt in for a prize draw. Participation in the
study was voluntary and data were treated in accordance with the Data Protection Act and GDPR (2018).^(14,15)
This work received £800 from Brighton and Sussex Medical School which funded the prize draw, the medical
school itself had no input in the study design, analysis of data or manuscript production. The data from
participants who did not complete the survey in full were removed prior to analysis.

Data were extracted from the Qualtrics software⁽¹⁷⁾, which allowed for separation of groups of participants based on responses to specific demographic and HF related questions. Statistical analysis was undertaken on SPSS using fishers exact test and chi squared with a 95% confidence interval.⁽¹⁸⁾ Results were accepted as significant if the p value was <0.05. Categorical data were collected using a likert scale with not at all confident, somewhat confident and very confident as options.



1 RESULTS.

2

Over the 5-month survey period 304 students from 13 medical schools consented and completed the survey in full. Demographically, respondents were primarily aged between 19 and 24 (92.6%) with a range of 18 to 37 years, mean of 21. Gender of participants was weighted towards female (66.97%), with 32.4% male and 0.61% prefer not to say. Participants ranged from all years of medical school education. No link (p>0.05) was identified between which medical school was attended and awareness of human factors, there was no significant difference (p>0.05) between gender or age and awareness of human factors.

9

45.7% of participants stated that they were not aware of the term human factors from their training so-far. Within this group (n=148), only 51.3% of students were familiar with related terms including "soft skills" and "non-technical skills". Of the 176 of students who were aware of HF 83.9% had gained experience in the first three years of their degree. A statistically significant difference (p<0.001) was found between year of study and awareness of HF. As participants progressed through medical school training a higher proportion were aware of HF, only 24.7% of year 1 students were aware but 61% of third year and 80.9% of final years had come across the term (Figure 1).

17

18 The questionnaire highlighted that a large proportion of students were extremely or somewhat confident in 19 communication and leadership skills but 50.5% were not at all confident in challenging authority. Table 1 20 outlines this information for all ten skills related to human factors. A chi squared analysis was conducted 21 comparing the confidence levels of students from different years in each of the ten skill areas, with statistically 22 significant differences found in confidence in leadership (p<0.001), communication (p<0.05), coping with 23 stress (p<0.05), coping with criticism (p<0.001) and situational awareness (P<0.001), with those in later years 24 of study expressing higher confidence levels. 48.1% stated they were more confident in soft skills/human 25 factors over technical skills (such as venepuncture or cannulation) with 30.9% equally confident in both areas.

26

A range of teaching methodologies for teaching human factors were reported. Most frequently were small group based (n=252), lecture based (n=219) and simulation (n=182). The opinion of participants of which teaching methodology was most effective was obtained. Simulated scenarios, small group and one-to-one teaching were highlighted as perceived to be the best methods (Table 2)

31

Assessment of which direct skills related to human factors were being taught revealed some areas where education may be lacking. Participants indicated coping with criticism (20.8%), challenging authority (32.7%), leadership (34.4%) and task prioritisation (33.3%) were the least frequently taught skills. Most participants received education in communication (91.8%) and teamwork (74.4%) skills.

36

Almost all (96.9%) medical students considered HF teaching in medical schools to be very (24.8%) or extremely (72.1%) important. 55.4% believed that "pre-clinical" years (1 to 3) would be the most appropriate stage of medical school for human factors teaching to occur. With 9 students selecting other and commenting that teaching should occur throughout the curriculum. The perceived importance of certain HF skills over others was explored. Students were asked to rank 10 human factors skills from one (most important) to ten (least important)

IJMS



International Journal of MEDICAL STUDENTS

*

- 1 compared to each other. This question established that communication (90%) teamwork (47%) were ranked
- 2 highly as important skills (% who rated this skill rank 1 or 2). The lowest ranked aspects (% who rated this skill
- 3 rank 9 or 10) were challenging authority (69%), reporting error (37%) and coping with criticism (36%).
- 4

CK



DISCUSSION.

1 2

3 Our results show that HF is forming a part of the medical curriculum, with over 80% of final year students 4 aware of the concepts, but could potentially be featured more later on in undergraduate studies.⁽¹⁹⁾ In recent 5 years the House of Commons Health Committee produced a patient safety report (2009) highlighting a need 6 for integration of non-technical skills and HF training into the training of undergraduates.⁽¹⁰⁾ Similarly a multi-7 professional patient safety curriculum guide from the WHO details the importance of HF in patient safety.⁽²⁰⁾ 8 These both advocate increased training in HF for medical professionals and our appear to show progress has 9 been made but the significant percentage who were unaware shows further work is still required. Both in 10 improving awareness of HF as a term and in providing training earlier on in undergraduate training. This is 11 supported by 55.4% of participants responding that the pre-clinical years would be the best time to provide HF 12 education. Studies have shown favourable feedback to curriculum reform with an aim to providing more HF 13 training. With students reporting more confidence in communication, in overall patient interactions and in 14 breaking bad news.⁽²¹⁾ A survey targeted at NHS and military doctors in the UK identified that junior clinicians 15 were much more likely to have had training in HF, with 60% of senior doctors reported no HF training at all.⁽²²⁾ 16 Further providing evidence of a movement towards HF educational provision.

17 18

19 Students showed high confidence levels in leadership (96.2%) and communication skills (92.6%) This data is 20 supported by participants specifically stating they received education in these areas of HF skills from their 21 respective institutions. A systematic review of 22 studies providing non-technical skills education found that 22 key featured themes were leadership, communication and teamwork.⁽²³⁾ A 2014 study explored medical 23 students' attitudes regarding leadership and management training and again similar areas were highlighted as 24 of importance.⁽²⁴⁾ The GMC's guidance regarding expected outcomes for graduates gives a range of HF 25 related skills as requirements for newly graduated doctors, including awareness of patient safety, 26 communication skills, leadership and team working, and multi-disciplinary work.⁽²⁵⁾ Considering the significant 27 non-technical requirements for new graduates limited assessment is undertaken regarding these skills on a 28 national basis.⁽²⁶⁾ In light of the requirement to provide this training it is positive that almost all undergraduate 29 students are receiving education in these specific areas and also have high confidence levels with these skills. 30 Exploring further we found 48.1% of students had more confidence in HF related skills over technical skills 31 and 30.9% stated equal confidence in both areas. We hypothesise this could be due to a perceived lesser 32 technical skill ability rather than specific confidence in HF related skills. There may also be an element of 33 more junior students feeling their technical skills to be more limited compared to HF.

34

Only 48.5% of students stated an element of confident in challenging authority, this is unsurprising when just 32.7% received any education on this topic, although 69% of students ranked challenging authoring as one of the least important HF skills. The well-known case of Elaine Bromiley who died of cerebral damage after a prolonged hypoxic episode pre-operatively highlighted multiple failures in HF within the team including poor communication and a failure to challenge authority and valuable lessons were learned from this.⁽²⁷⁾ Challenging authority in the healthcare setting can be a difficult part of HF. A review of 31 studies discussed

41 that significant barriers were in place to speaking out. These included poor inter-professional skills, fear of



International Journal of Medical Students

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of MEDICAL STUDENTS

repercussions and perceived hierarchal gradients amongst professionals.⁽²⁸⁾ Methods do exist to improve communication when challenging authority, including the use of incremental challenges or provocative words (such as expressing concern, discomfort or that an action is unsafe).⁽²⁹⁾ We suggest further introduction of education in this area of HF to the medial school curriculum would allow individuals to feel more able to challenge authority if the need arose. Even relatively small interventions can be of significant effect, with four hour simulation sessions with subsequent guided debriefs found to improve self-reported confidence in correction of another healthcare provider.⁽³⁰⁾

8

9 The methodologies utilised most frequently for HF teaching were small group based, lectures and simulation. 10 The most effective of these were highlighted to be small group or simulation-based teaching. This is 11 supported by research into the effects of simulation-based training as a tool to develop non-technical skills. 12 93% of medical students found a mass casualty simulation useful in development of non-technical skills.⁽³¹⁾ 13 Hagemann et al., (2017)⁽³²⁾ compared medical students undertaking simulation before and after exposure to 14 either a clinical or HF skills based seminar. After the seminar the HF group had a statistically significant 15 improvement in team-work and situational awareness, decrease in stress and better error handling. There 16 was no difference in clinical outcome in either group.

17

Further work shows a correlation exists between higher non-technical skills scores and clinical performance in simulated scenarios in medical students.⁽³³⁾ This supports simulation with facilitated de-brief as a highly effective method for delivery of HF training, evidenced by the increasing use of simulation in medical training at all stages.⁽³⁴⁾

22

23 An understanding of human factors can be seen as a threshold concept within medical education.⁽³⁵⁾ 24 Establishing this understanding allows for a shift in perspective in how individuals view and act in professional 25 interactions. A transformation is required from a clinical based viewpoint to a non-clinical to facilitate higher 26 quality educational experiences for the student and to allow for growth both as a professional individual and 27 as part of a multi-disciplinary team. For human factors to be fully utilised in practice students are required to 28 undertake higher levels of cognitive processing (evaluation and analysis), as detailed in Bloom's 29 Taxonomy.⁽³⁶⁾ Higher level thinking can allow for reflective practice to take place upon learning events and for 30 future practice to be influenced upon by experiential learning.

31

32 We do acknowledge a number of limitations of our study. Respondents were from 12 of the 33 UK medical 33 schools, we feel this number of high enough to be representative overall as curricula are designed to meet the 34 GMCs outcomes for graduates.⁽²⁵⁾ The methods of content and delivery of teaching may have variation between schools. This is evidenced by Meats et al, (2009)⁽³⁷⁾ who showed variation in methods and content of 35 36 medical school curricula but did note the majority of schools had the same core topics. The limited numbers of 37 questionnaire participants from a few of the medical schools surveyed may provide a non-representative 38 sample of the HF teaching at that establishment but the overall opinion of these students regarding feelings 39 and beliefs around HF is still valid. The recruitment methodology may lead to participation bias with initial 40 reliance upon medical school societies to share the survey and limited response from administrators of



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of MEDICAL STUDENTS

establishments. We feel the data obtained is broad enough in scope to allow for assessment of medical
 students' opinions regarding HF and to guide future educational planning.

3

Further research from this survey could aim to explore the various teaching methodologies in more depth to identify which is most effective and guide best educational practice. The survey can be expanded to explore the beliefs of post-graduate medical professionals about HF. The introduction of novel training curricula for postgraduate surgical training focusing on generic professional capabilities highlights that a clear need has been identified for training in, and acknowledgment of, HF related skills in professional practice. ^(35,36) The increased focus on HF could allow for improvement in patient safety, patient care and in interdisciplinary work.^(40,41)

11

12 The research findings highlight a number of areas where specific development of teaching in aspects of 13 human factors can be undertaken. Whilst individual curricular changes would be under the remit of the 14 medical school itself, we would advocate for improved awareness of human factors as a concept at an earlier 15 stage of medical education to allow for development of higher levels of thinking on the subject. We also note 16 the significant lack of confidence of students in challenging authority - which is, when appropriate, the safest 17 course of action in clinical care. Whilst further work to the nature of education and teaching in this specific 18 topic would need to be established we would advise this be an area of focus for educators. Students 19 highlighted which teaching methods they perceived to be most effective (simulation, small group and one-on-20 one teaching) and this should be taken into account when planning educational delivery, although resource 21 and time allocation has to be balanced against meeting course aims and objectives. 22

23 Minimal literature exists regarding student perceptions of human factors teaching in UK medical schools. This 24 research establishes that there is some awareness of the term human factors, but the majority of students 25 believe it to be of significant importance as a part of their training. We have identified a number of areas within 26 HF where teaching is almost universally performed but some specific aspects of human factors were identified 27 as lacking. These areas could be focused on further to improve undergraduate education. The most effective 28 methodologies were perceived to be simulation and smaller group-based learning. The significance of these 29 results in assisting development of undergraduate curricula and would have an impact on medical education 30 methodology as a whole. Increasing awareness of human factors will allow students to graduate with the skills 31 to improve patient safety and healthcare delivery.



REFERENCES.

2 3 1. Parker SH. Human Factors Science: Brief History and Applications to Healthcare. Curr Probl Pediatr 4 5 6 Adolesc Health Care. 2015 Dec 1;45(12):390-4. International Ergonomics Association. Definition and Domains of Ergonomics [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2. 2019 Nov 13]. Available from: https://www.iea.cc/whats/ 7 Morgan L, Benson D, McCulloch P. Will human factors restore faith in the GMC? BMJ. 2019 Mar 3. 8 22;11037. 9 4. Cohen D. Back to blame: the Bawa-Garba case and the patient safety agenda. BMJ. 2017 Nov 10 29;j5534. 11 Wilmshurst PT. GMC should deal forcefully with repeatedly dishonest doctors. BMJ. 2018 Jan 5. 12 17:k184. 13 Rees RN. Criminal and professional sanctions impede honesty and improvement. BMJ. 2018 Jan 6. 14 17:k172. Garrouste-Orgeas M, Philippart F, Bruel C, Max A, Lau N, Misset B. Overview of medical errors and 15 7. 16 adverse events. Ann Intensive Care. 2012 Dec;2(1):2. 17 Emslie S, Knox K, Pickstone M. Improving patient safety: insights from American, Australian and 8. 18 British healthcare. Based on the proceedings of a joint ECRI and Department of Health Conference to 19 introduce the National Patient Safety Agency. Plymouth, Pa: Emergency Care Research Institute; 2003. 20 Dornan T, Ashcroft D, Heathfield H, Lewis P, Miles J, Taylor D, et al. An in depth investigation into 9. 21 causes of prescribing errors by foundation trainees in relation to their medical education. EQUIP study. 22 [Internet]. GMC; 2009. Available from: https://www.gmc-uk.org/about/what-we-do-and-why/data-and-23 research/research-and-insight-archive/causes-of-prescribing-errors-by-foundation-trainees-in-relation-to-their-24 medical-education 25 Health Committee. Health Committee - Sixth Report, Patient Safety [Internet]. 2009. Available from: 10. 26 https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200809/cmselect/cmhealth/151/15102.htm 27 The Joint Commission (Oakbrook Terrace, Illinois), editor. Joint Commission International 11. 28 accreditation standards for hospitals: including standards for academic medical center hospitals. Seventh 29 edition. Oakbrook Terrace: The Joint Commission; 2020. 30 World Health Organization. Global patient safety action plan 2021-2030: towards eliminating 12. 31 avoidable harm in health care [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021 [cited 2022 Mar 21]. 32 Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/343477 33 Gordon M, Parakh D. Medical students' perceptions of a novel institutional incident reporting system: 13 34 A thematic analysis. Perspect Med Educ. 2017 Oct;6(5):331-6. 35 14. GMC. The State of Medical Education and Practice in the UK [Internet]. 2017. Available from: 36 https://www.gmc-uk.org/about/what-we-do-and-why/data-and-research/the-state-of-medical-education-and-37 practice-in-the-uk/archived-the-state-of-medical-education-and-practice-in-the-uk-reports 38 European Parliament and Council of European Union. Regulation (EU) 2016/679 [Internet]. 2016. 15. 39 Available from: https://gdpr-info.eu 40 The Data Protection Act 2018 [Internet]. Available from: 16. 41 http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2018/12/contents/enacted 42 Qualtrics XM - https://www.qualtrics.com/uk/. 17. 43 IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows. Armonk, New York, USA; 2019. 18. 44 Flin R, Patey R. Improving patient safety through training in non-technical skills. BMJ. 2009 Sep 19. 45 23;339(sep23 2):b3595-b3595. 46 20. Walton M, World Health Organization. Patient safety curriculum guide: multi-professional edition 47 [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011 [cited 2021 Feb 18]. Available from: 48 http://whglibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241501958 eng.pdf 49 Bergh A, Van Staden C, Joubert P, Krüger C, Pickworth G, Roos J, et al. Medical students' 21. 50 perceptions of their development of 'soft skills' Part II: The development of 'soft skills' through ' guiding and growing'. South Afr Fam Pract. 2006 Sep;48(8):15-15d. 51 52 22. Herron JBT, Ferris C, Gilliam A. Generational and occupational differences in human factors 53 knowledge and awareness: a comparison study. BMJ Mil Health. 2020 Jul 19; bmjmilitary-2020-001487. 54 Gordon M, Darbyshire D, Baker P. Non-technical skills training to enhance patient safety: a systematic 23. 55 review. Med Educ. 2012 Nov;46(11):1042-54. 56 Quince T, Abbas M, Murugesu S, Crawley F, Hyde S, Wood D, et al. Leadership and management in 24. 57 the undergraduate medical curriculum: a qualitative study of students' attitudes and opinions at one UK 58 medical school. BMJ Open. 2014 Jun 25:4(6):e005353-e005353. 59 GMC. Outcomes for Graduates 2018 [Internet]. Available from: https://www.gmc-25. 60 uk.org/education/standards-guidance-and-curricula/standards-and-outcomes/outcomes-for-graduates



- 1 26. Chandrashekar A, Mohan J. Preparing for the National Health Service: the importance of teamwork 23456789 training in the United Kingdom medical school curriculum. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2019 Aug; Volume 10:679-88. Bromiley M. The Case of Elaine Bromiley [Internet]. Available from: https://emcrit.org/wp-27. content/uploads/ElaineBromileyAnonymousReport.pdf Pattni N, Arzola C, Malavade A, Varmani S, Krimus L, Friedman Z. Challenging authority and 28. speaking up in the operating room environment: a narrative synthesis. Br J Anaesth. 2019 Feb;122(2):233-44. 29. Green B, Oeppen RS, Smith DW, Brennan PA. Challenging hierarchy in healthcare teams - ways to flatten gradients to improve teamwork and patient care. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2017 Jun;55(5):449-53. 10 30. Tofil NM, Morris JL, Peterson DT, Watts P, Epps C, Harrington KF, et al. Interprofessional simulation 11 training improves knowledge and teamwork in nursing and medical students during internal medicine 12 clerkship: Interprofessional IM Simulation Course. J Hosp Med. 2014 Mar;9(3):189-92. 13 Jorm C, Roberts C, Lim R, Roper J, Skinner C, Robertson J, et al. A large-scale mass casualty 31. 14 simulation to develop the non-technical skills medical students require for collaborative teamwork. BMC Med 15 Educ. 2016 Dec:16(1):83. Hagemann V, Herbstreit F, Kehren C, Chittamadathil J, Wolfertz S, Dirkmann D, et al. Does teaching 16 32. 17 non-technical skills to medical students improve those skills and simulated patient outcome? Int J Med Educ. 18 2017 Mar 29:8:101-13. 19 Cha JS, Anton NE, Mizota T, Hennings JM, Rendina MA, Stanton-Maxey K, et al. Use of non-33. 20 technical skills can predict medical student performance in acute care simulated scenarios. Am J Surg. 2019 21 Feb:217(2):323-8. 22 34. Al-Elq A. Simulation-based medical teaching and learning. J Fam Community Med. 2010;17(1):35. 23 35. Cousin G. An introduction to threshold concepts. Planet. 2006 Dec;17(1):4-5. 24 Krathwohl DR. A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy: An Overview. Theory Pract. 2002 Nov 1;41(4):212-36. 25 8. 26 Meats E, Heneghan C, Crilly M, Glasziou P. Evidence-based medicine teaching in UK medical 37. 27 schools. Med Teach. 2009 Jan;31(4):332-7. 28 JCST. Surgical Curriculum 2021 [Internet]. 2020. Available from: 38. 29 https://www.iscp.ac.uk/iscp/curriculum-2021/ 30 GMC. Generic professional capabilities framework - General Medical Council. 2017. 39. 31 Xie A, Carayon P. A systematic review of human factors and ergonomics (HFE)-based healthcare 40.
- 32 system redesign for quality of care and patient safety. Ergonomics. 2015 Jan 2;58(1):33–49.

CCC

41. Kirch DG, Boysen PG. Changing The Culture In Medical Education To Teach Patient Safety. Health
 Aff (Millwood). 2010 Sep;29(9):1600–4.



1

SUMMARY - ACCELERATING TRANSLATION

Title: The Education of Medical Students in Human Factors – A National Survey.

5 Human factors (HF) are defined by the International Ergonomics Association as "a scientific discipline 6 concerned with the understanding of interactions among humans and other elements of a system, and the 7 profession that applies theory, principles, data and methods to design in order to optimize human well-being 8 and overall system performance". In the field of medicine, human factors or soft skills, are generally understood 9 to mean all the non-technical skills needed by doctors to perform efficiently in different clinical scenarios ranging 10 from routine practice to high-stress emergency settings, such as trauma and resuscitation.

11

14

The aim of this research is to describe the awareness of HF in medical students in the UK, and establishingtheir perception of HF teaching and confident in soft skills.

- A draft questionnaire was developed and after refinement, the finalized survery was available online via a weblink which was distributed through contacting medical student societies ("MedSoc") via e-mail. This contact outlined the aims of the study and provided a shareable web-link to access the survey. A second phase followed up on the initial e-mail contact and contacted a selected range of medical school administrative offices where no response was received in phase 1. The survey was available online for 5 months. Over the 5-month survey period 304 students from 13 medical schools consented and completed the survey in full.
- 21

Headline results included the fact that 45.7% of participants stated that they were not aware of the term human factors from their training so-far. The questionnaire highlighted that a large proportion of students were extremely or somewhat confident in communication and leadership skills but 50.5% were not at all confident in challenging authority. A range of teaching methodologies for teaching human factors were reported. Most frequently were small group based (n=252), lecture based (n=219) and simulation (n=182). The opinion of participants of which teaching methodology was most effective was obtained. Simulated scenarios, small group and one-to-one teaching were highlighted as perceived to be the best methods.

- Students were asked to rank 10 human factors skills from one (most important) to ten (least important)
 compared to each other. This question established that communication (90%) teamwork (47%) were ranked
 highly as important skills (% who rated this skill rank 1 or 2). The lowest ranked aspects (% who rated this skill
 rank 9 or 10) were challenging authority (69%), reporting error (37%) and coping with criticism (36%).
- 34

35 An understanding of human factors can be seen as a threshold concept within medical education.

36 Establishing this understanding allows for a shift in perspective in how individuals view and act in professional

- 37 interactions. A transformation is required from a clinical based viewpoint to a non-clinical to facilitate higher
- 38 quality educational experiences for the student and to allow for growth both as a professional individual and
- 39 as part of a multi-disciplinary team. For human factors to be fully utilized in practice students are required to
- 40 undertake higher levels of cognitive processing (evaluation and analysis), as detailed in Bloom's Taxonomy.



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of MEDICAL STUDENTS

Higher level thinking can allow for reflective practice to take place upon learning events and for future practice
 to be influenced upon by experiential learning.

3

4 This research establishes that there is some awareness of the term human factors, but the majority of 5 students believe it to be of significant importance as a part of their training. We have identified a number of 6 areas within HF where teaching is almost universally performed but some specific aspects of human factors 7 were identified as lacking. These areas could be focused on further to improve undergraduate education. The 8 most effective methodologies were perceived to be simulation and smaller group-based learning. The 9 significance of these results in assisting development of undergraduate curricula and would have an impact 10 on medical education methodology as a whole. Increasing awareness of human factors will allow students to 11 graduate with the skills to improve patient safety and healthcare delivery.



FIGURES AND TABLES.

Figure 1. The Number of Respondents in Each Year Group who were Either Aware or Unaware of Human Factors as a Concept.





Table 1. Reported Confidence Levels (%) of Participants in Various Human Factor Related Skills

| | | Confider | nce Levels of Par | ticipants (% of |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | | | responses |) |
| | | Not at all | Somewhat | Extremely |
| | | confident | confident | confident |
| Human Factors | Communication | 1.7 | 47.8 | 50.5 |
| related skill | Leadership | 14.2 | 57.1 | 28.7 |
| | Teamwork | 1.0 | 31.5 | 67.5 |
| | Decision Making | 12.5 | 70.6 | 16.9 |
| | Coping with Stress | 10.0 | 70.2 | 19.8 |
| | Coping with Criticism | 20.4 | 55.7 | 23.9 |
| | Situational Awareness | 14.2 | 55.4 | 30.4 |
| | Task Prioritisation | 10.4 | 60.5 | 29.1 |
| | Reporting Errors | 17.6 | 56.1 | 26.3 |
| | Challenging Authority | 50.5 | 40.8 | 8.7 |



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of MEDICAL STUDENTS

Table 2. Participant's Beliefs Regarding which Teaching Methodologies are Most Effective for HF Education

and which Teaching Methodologies are Utilised in HF skills Teaching.

2 3

1

| | | Receiving this type of teaching (%) | | Beliefs regarding effectiveness (% of respondents) | | | |
|----------|------------|---|------|--|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Yes | No | Not | Somewhat | Extremely | |
| | | | | effective | effective | effective | |
| Type of | One to | 43.8 | 56.2 | 4.9 | 36.1 | 59.0 | |
| teaching | One | | | | | | |
| for HF | Teaching | | | | | | |
| skills | Small | 87.5 | 12.5 | 1.7 | 24.3 | 74.0 | |
| | Group | | | | | | |
| | Teaching | | | | | | |
| | Lecture | 76.0 | 24.0 | 40.0 | 56.9 | 3.1 | |
| | Simulated | 63.2 | 36.8 | 0.7 | 22.2 | 77.1 | |
| | Scenarios | | | | • • | | |
| | E-Learning | 33.3 | 66.7 | 54.5 | 43.4 | 2.1 | |