Title: Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccine among Unvaccinated Filipinos

Article type: Original Article

Author names:

1. Pamela Pagador
2. Adrienne Pacleb
3. Mikaela Joy Ormita
4. Frances Eleane Valencia
5. Danz Harvey Velasco
6. Rosemarie Josue-Dominguez, MD, FPCP

Degrees and Affiliations

1. Fourth-year Medical Student, Bachelor of Science in Psychology. School of Medicine - Saint Louis University, Baguio City, Philippines
2. Fourth-year Medical Student, Bachelor of Science in Nursing. School of Medicine - Saint Louis University, Baguio City, Philippines
3. Fourth-year Medical Student, Bachelor of Science in Medical Technology. School of Medicine - Saint Louis University, Baguio City, Philippines
4. Fourth-year Medical Student, Bachelor of Science in Pharmacy. School of Medicine - Saint Louis University, Baguio City, Philippines
5. Fourth-year Medical Student, Bachelor of Science in Medical Technology. School of Medicine - Saint Louis University, Baguio City, Philippines
6. Rosemarie Josue-Dominguez, MD, FPCP. Saint Louis University – Sacred Heart and Medical Center, Baguio City, Philippines

ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor Identifier):

1. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5742-1170
2. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9648-6014
3. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5130-386X
4. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4026-548X
5. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6249-3934
6. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0758-5868

About the author: Pamela Pagador is currently a 4th-year medical student of Saint Louis University, Baguio City, Philippines, a 4- year program. She is also a graduate of Bachelor of Science in Psychology in 2016 at the same institution.

Corresponding author email: pagadorpamela@yahoo.com

Acknowledgment: Association of Philippine Medical Colleges – Student Network

Financing: The study was financed by the authors

Conflict of interest statement by authors: The authors declare that there are no potential conflicts of interest and no competing financial interests associated with this study that could have appeared to influence the data reported in this paper

Compliance with ethical standards: This proposal has been reviewed and approved by the Saint Louis University – Research Ethics Committee (SLU-REC)

Authors Contribution Statement:

Contributor Role	Role Definition	Authors 1	2	3	4	5	6
Conceptualization	Ideas; formulation or evolution of overarching research goals and aims.	X	X				
Data Curation	Management activities to annotate (produce metadata), scrub data and maintain research data (including software code, where it is necessary for interpreting the data itself) for initial use and later reuse.						
Formal Analysis	Application of statistical, mathematical, computational, or other formal techniques to analyze or synthesize study data.		X				
Funding Acquisition	Acquisition of the financial support for the project leading to this publication.	X	X	X	X	X	
Investigation	Conducting a research and investigation process, specifically performing the experiments, or data/evidence collection.	X	X	X	X	X	
Methodology	Development or design of methodology; creation of models			X	X		
Project Administration	Management and coordination responsibility for the research activity planning and execution.	X					
Resources	Provision of study materials, reagents, materials, patients, laboratory samples, animals, instrumentation, computing resources, or other analysis tools.	X	X	X	X	X	
Software	Programming, software development; designing computer programs; implementation of the computer code and supporting algorithms; testing of existing code components.						
Supervision	Oversight and leadership responsibility for the research activity planning and execution, including mentorship external to the core team.						X
Validation	Verification, whether as a part of the activity or separate, of the overall replication/reproducibility of results/experiments and other research outputs.						
Visualization	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically visualization/data presentation.	X	X				
Writing – Original Draft Preparation	Creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically writing the initial draft (including substantive translation).	X	X	X	X	X	
Writing – Review & Editing	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work by those from the original research group, specifically critical review, commentary or revision – including pre- or post-publication stages.	X	X	X	X	X	X

Manuscript word count: 4272

Abstract word count: 250

Number of Figures and Tables: 1 figure and 5 tables

Personal, Professional, and Institutional Social Network accounts.

- **Facebook:**
  1. Pamela Pagador
  2. Adrienne Pacleb
  3. Mikaela Ormita
  4. Frances Eleane Valencia
  5. Danz Harvey Borja Velasco
  6. Rosemarie Josue-Dominguez
  7. SLU School of Medicine
- **Twitter:**

Discussion Points:

1. The first case of COVID-19 started in December 2019, and the first batch of coronavirus vaccines arrived in the Philippines in February 2021. Are Filipinos willing to accept the vaccines against COVID-19?
2. What influences the Filipinos to accept or reject the COVID-19 vaccines?
3. Vaccines reduce the risk of getting a severe disease from the coronavirus. Yet, a large number of Filipinos are hesitating to take the vaccines against COVID-19. What are potential reasons for their hesitancy to vaccinate and why?
4. From history, vaccinations have proven to be an effective preventive and protective measure against infectious diseases. Currently, mortality and co-morbidity rates due to COVID-19 remain on the rise. How can governments and healthcare workers address the hesitancy to increase COVID-19 vaccination rates?
5. Is it possible that proper education and dissemination of accurate information about COVID-19 and its vaccines are sufficient to enhance willingness of individuals to acquire COVID-19 vaccination?

ABSTRACT.

Background: Access to COVID-19 vaccines was one of the global measures for containing the COVID-19 pandemic. However, it is still not known whether Filipinos accept it.

Methods: Cross-sectional study based on a modified version of the community COVID-19 vaccine acceptance survey, disseminated and collected through Google Forms to Filipinos within the Philippines aged 18-65 years old. Multinomial logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the association between the willingness to be vaccinated and sociodemographic characteristics using odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI).

Results: Among the 1,011 participants, 79.5% were willing to accept the COVID-19 vaccine. Significant determinants ($p<0.05$) were age, region of residence, sex, profession, income, religion, practice of alternative medicine, and previous contact with COVID-19 positive individuals. Essential healthcare workers (OR=11.0, 95%CI=1.3-93.5), practiced alternative medicine (OR=2.4, 95%CI=1.3-4.4), with previous contact with a COVID-19 positive person (OR=2.9, 95%CI=1.4-6.0), and females>males (OR=0.6, 95%CI=.3-1.0) were also more likely to accept COVID-19 vaccination. 63.7% preferred Pfizer the most, and 54.4% preferred Sinovac the least. In contrast, married individuals, essential non-healthcare workers and private/self-employed sectors were less likely to accept COVID-19 vaccines. Many individuals who refused to be vaccinated were unsure of its safety (59.70%) and had no trust in vaccines (56.50%).

Conclusion: Despite a high prevalence of acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine in our study, there were significant sociodemographic disproportions in vaccine acceptance. Better policies urging Filipinos to get vaccinated and more effective dissemination of unified information regarding vaccines from verified sources are recommended to boost vaccine confidence in the Philippines.

Key Words: COVID-19 Vaccines; Patient Acceptance of Health Care; Social Determinants of Health; Philippines (Source: MeSH-NLM).

INTRODUCTION.

COVID-19 (Coronavirus 2019 or SARS-CoV-19) remains rampant, causing detrimental effects on health and the economy worldwide. Despite implementing preventive measures, such as utilizing face-masks, strict quarantine protocols, and social distancing, there are an estimated 97 million confirmed cases globally, while an estimated 510,000 in the Philippines as of January 24, 2021. [1] Consequently, the government authorities rely on potential vaccines to slow down and eventually minimize the spread of COVID-19. [2] With the development of vaccines against COVID-19 infection, different countries have started with its procurement and administration, especially to high-risk groups consisting of frontline healthcare workers (HCWs) and elderly individuals. [3-4] The Food and Drug Administration is hopeful for the vaccines' availability in the Philippines by March after implementation of new guidelines that permits administration of unregistered drugs for COVID-19 through emergency use. [5]

Due to the high exposure of HCWs to COVID-19, newly developed COVID-19 vaccines will play an essential role in providing optimum protection in addition to adherence to the aforementioned preventive measures. These vaccines may also prevent further transmission of COVID-19, which will decrease morbidity and mortality rates, relieve the heavy burden on healthcare professionals and healthcare systems, recover the global economy, and allow the return of human activities to pre-pandemic levels. [6] However, recent independent surveys in the Philippines have shown that only 66% of Filipinos throughout the Philippines and an alarming 25% of Filipinos in Metro Manila were willing to receive a COVID-19 vaccine when available. [7] A study that performed a survey across 19 countries, excluding the Philippines, revealed that only 47% of participants ultimately agreed to COVID-19 vaccination when accessible, which is lower than the previously mentioned rate in the Philippines. [8] These may be due to multifaceted reasons, such as vaccine effectiveness and trust, potential short-term and unknown long-term adverse effects, and either government-subsidized or out-of-pocket expenditure of the vaccines. [9]

A study performed in the United States highlighted those socio-demographic characteristics particularly gender, age, ethnicity, and highest education achieved, and geographic differences such as metropolitan or city areas correlated with poorer vaccine acceptance.[10] A systematic review of vaccine acceptance has shown that most Asian countries, such as Malaysia (94.3%), Indonesia (93.3%), and China (91.3%), have high vaccine acceptance compared to western countries, for instance, Russia (54.9%), US (56.9%) and France (58.9%).[11]

In the Philippines, there is a lack of studies that determine the exact percentage of Filipinos willing to be vaccinated and the determinants that may affect COVID-19 acceptance, especially since the administration of COVID-19 vaccines has started. Therefore, this study aims to determine the determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance of Filipinos in the Philippines. This study also aims to determine the association between willingness to vaccinate and possible determinants that include: age, region of residence, sex, marital status, current profession, household income, religion, education, belief in alternative medicine and presence of chronic diseases. Furthermore, to determine the brand preference of COVID-19 vaccine, willingness to pay for COVID-19 vaccine, and reason/s for unwillingness to vaccinate. These concerns remain relevant due to the continuous rise in COVID-19 cases with the appearance of COVID-19 variants that causes faster transmission and higher

infection rates. This study will increase the knowledge of probable determinants that could impede vaccine acceptance not only in Filipinos but also in other nations. This will aid the government and medical authorities to implement necessary interventions that address these determinants for the effective administration of COVID-19 vaccines. Awareness campaigns may focus more on a region, workplace or particular population. Factual information on vaccines can be included in the curriculum of all school levels. Barangay officials can be utilized to conduct seminars on vaccination safety. Appropriate actions and protocols may be applied to improve COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the entire general Philippine population, leading to a decrease in COVID-19 morbidities and mortalities.

METHODS

Study design

We conducted an analytical, cross-sectional design via a web-based survey using a modified version of the community COVID-19 vaccine acceptance vaccine study in Indonesia acknowledged by the World Health Organization (WHO) and United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) (see Appendix).[12]

Setting

The survey was conducted between April 11, 2021 to May 5, 2021. The study used an online platform, Google Forms, that was distributed through social media, Facebook due to strict quarantine guidelines that included limited face to face interactions. Dissemination of the survey was assisted by the Association of Philippine Medical Colleges (APMC) to different regions of the Philippines.

Participants

The Raosoft online sample size calculator was utilized to determine the required participant size. Confidence interval and margin of error was set at 95% and 5%, respectively. The minimum participants needed for the study was 377. A convenience sampling method was used online via social media to minimize contact and exposure risk against Covid-19. Selection bias could influence the acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine if vaccinated individuals were excluded from the study. We gathered data when approximately 1-2% of the Philippine population was vaccinated to reduce the bias. There were 1,011 study participants recruited from the Philippine general public after the study was disseminated online. The selection criteria for this study were as follows: a) must be a Filipino citizen residing in the Philippines who have not been previously vaccinated with any of the available COVID-19 vaccines, b) must be aged 18 and above, c) have internet access, and d) be able to read and write in English or Tagalog. Exclusion criteria included: a) foreign nationals residing in the Philippines, b) vaccinated individuals, c) no access to electronic devices and/or internet, and d) unable to read and write in English or Tagalog. Individuals who qualified in the inclusion criteria and were willing to participate had access to the survey link that contained the consent form and questionnaire.

As seen in ***Figure 1,*** a total of 1,020 responses were collected. 1,015 remained after exclusion criteria were applied. Finally, 1,011 participants were included in the final analysis after removing duplicated responses.

Data collection

This questionnaire consisted of socio-demographic questions that include age, current residence (region), gender, marital status, employment position, household monthly income, religion, highest education achieved and health insurance to address the determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance. The questions included multiple-choice and "yes" or "no" types. The questionnaire took a maximum of 15 minutes to complete.

The data was collected, collated and transferred from Google Forms to Microsoft Excel for data analysis using a password protected electronic folder for privacy and confidentiality. An existing email address was required to access the Google Forms to ensure no responses were duplicated. Three researchers accessed the results

of the online survey to ensure confidentiality. The survey link expired after three weeks upon the start of data gathering and was no longer accessible on the internet.

Age, current residence (region), gender, marital status, employment position, monthly household income, religion, highest education achieved, and health insurance were treated as independent variables. The dependent variables were the acceptance and willingness to pay for the vaccine. Other possible variables such as family's influence were not taken into account. Selection bias could influence the study's outcome; hence, the researchers decided to distribute the questionnaire through social media to maximize responses. Filipinos currently not living in the Philippines were also not included in the study to reduce other external variables.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics consisted of frequency and percentages that were used to generate summary tables for socio-demographic data and COVID-19 vaccine-related questions. The chi-square test was used to determine the relation between socio-demographic characteristics and willingness to COVID-19 vaccine uptake. The researchers used multinomial logistic regression analysis to determine possible factors associated with willingness to take the COVID-19 vaccine with a significance of $p<0.05$. Odds ratios (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (95%CI) were included for each factor. The Pearson goodness-of-fit test was used to assess the model fit of multinomial logistic regression analysis. All analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 26.

Ethical considerations

The protocol of this study was approved by the Saint Louis University Baguio City Ethics Committee (SLU-REC 2021-002). Participants were informed with specific details of the study found on the first page of the online questionnaire that contained the consent form. The consent form must be completed prior to commencement of the questionnaire. It also ensured that participation was voluntary and withdrawal was possible at any time during the study. Furthermore, there were no foreseeable risks that may affect participants; however, they were encouraged to contact any of the researchers if issues occurred during completion of the questionnaire.

RESULTS.

Socio-demographic data

There was a total of 1,011 survey participants that completed the web-based survey. Sociodemographic characteristics of participants are shown in ***Table 1***. Majority of participants were 18-25 years of age (64.4%), female (61.6%), residing in Cordillera Administrative Region (18.5%), single either unmarried, separated or widowed (83.8%), had no children (82.6%), either student, retired or unemployed (71.5%), earning between P20,000 – 50,000 per month (31.5%), Roman Catholic (70.9%), university graduate (97.4%), using PhilHealth as health insurance (49.8%), practicing alternative medicine (67.9%), not diagnosed with any chronic diseases (85.2%) and had no contact with any COVID-19 positive individuals (68.3%).

Among the participants, 79.5% were willing to accept COVID-19 vaccines while, 20.5% and 5.8% did not accept and were undecided about COVID-19 acceptance, respectively. Comparing acceptance vs. non-acceptance groups, majority were aged 18-25 years (70.3%) vs. 26-35 years (35.1%), females (64.3%) vs. males (59.4%), Region I (17.5%) vs. NCR (27.0%) as area of residence, single (90.8%) vs. married (52.0%), without children (89.4%) vs. with children (51.4%), students/retired/unemployed (79.9%) vs. private/self-employed (56.8%), with a monthly income of P20,001-50,000 (24.5%) vs. 10,001-20,000 (37.8%), Roman Catholic (58.6% vs. 69.5%), university graduate (78.2%) vs. senior high/vocational school (91.2%), had Philhealth as health insurance (37.1% vs. 69.6%), practiced alternative medicine (53.7% vs. 62.2%), had no existing chronic disease (67.6% vs. 84.5%) and had no previous contact with a COVID-19 positive individual (51.6% vs. 85.1%), respectively. As shown in **Table 2**, out of the 79.5% participants who had the intention of accepting COVID-19 vaccination, Pfizer from the United States (US) was preferred by 63.7% followed by Moderna (12.9%) and 10.9% AstraZeneca from the United Kingdom (UK). Chinese-made vaccine, Sinovac, was least preferred by 54.4% followed by Gamaleya from Russia (29.0%) and AstraZeneca (6.1%).

Willingness to pay and reasons for unwillingness to accept COVID-19 vaccines

Vaccine questions with responses from acceptance and non-acceptance groups are summarized in ***Table 3.*** For the acceptance group, 70.3% and 21.0% were willing and unwilling to pay for the vaccine, respectively. Around 38.8% are also willing to pay Php 1-1,000 for the COVID-19 vaccine to be followed by Php 1,001-2000 (29.2%). For the non-acceptance group, 59.7% were not willing to be vaccinated due to uncertainty in safety while, 56.5% and 40.9% did not trust the vaccines and were unsure of vaccine effectiveness, respectively. Other examples of specified reasons stated by 11.0% of non-acceptance group included: denial of COVID-19 existence, and misinformation or lack in knowledge about COVID-19 and its vaccines (e.g., vaccine had no protection against COVID-19 variants, COVID-19 vaccine was unnecessary or insignificant due to previous COVID-19 diagnosis, and use of face-shield and face-mask, herd immunity, and Ivermectin as preferred protection against COVID-19). Furthermore, when asked whether overall perception of non-acceptance group had changed after vaccination of healthcare workers with Sinovac or AstraZeneca were performed, 86.5% responded “no”, followed by “yes (positive perception)” in 7.4% and “yes (negative perception)” in 6.1%. Lastly, majority (48.5%) of both acceptance and non-acceptance groups selected “Social media (e.g. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, WhatsApp or Tiktok)” as preferred source of information for COVID-19 vaccine, and 23.2% had chosen “Print and electronic media (e.g. TV or newspaper)”.

Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance

Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among Filipinos were examined that were found to be significant were shown in ***Table 4.*** The following determinants included age (p<0.001), region of residence (p<0.001), sex (p<0.001), current profession (p<0.001), monthly income (p<0.001), religion (p<0.001), practice of alternative medicine (p<0.001), and previous contact with COVID-19 positive individuals (p=0.004). Marital status, having children, highest education achieved, insurance type and presence of chronic disorder were found to be insignificant.

Influence of determinants on vaccine acceptance was also determined through odds ratio that are showed on ***Table 5***. Individuals aged 18-25 years were 132 times more likely to accept COVID-19 vaccines compared to other age groups (OR=132.6, 95%CI=5.2-3388.5, p=0.003). However, those who were aged 46-55 and 26-35 years were also 55 and 41 times more likely to accept these vaccines as protection for COVID-19, respectively (OR=55.3, 95% CI 1.5-2105.2, p<0.05; OR=41.9, 95% CI 1.5-1186.5, p<0.05). In addition, participants who were essential healthcare workers were 10 times more likely to accept COVID-19 vaccination (OR=11.0, 95% CI 1.3-93.5, p<0.05). Furthermore, individuals who practiced alternative medicine and those with previous contact with a COVID-19 positive person were more likely to accept the vaccination for COVID-19 compared to those who did not practice or did not have history of COVID-19 positive contact (OR=2.4, 95% CI 1.3-4.4, p=0.005; OR= 2.9, 95% CI 1.4-6.0, p=0.005, respectively). On the other hand, males were half as likely as woman to accept COVID-19 vaccinations (OR=0.6, 95% CI 0.3-1.0, p<0.05). Moreover, married individuals are less likely to accept COVID-19 vaccines compared to single individuals (OR= 0.2, 95% CI 0.0-1.0, p<0.05). Also, those who were essential non-healthcare workers and individuals within the private/self-employed sectors were less likely to accept COVID-19 vaccines (OR=0.2, 95% CI 0.1-0.6, p=0.005; OR=0.9, 95% CI 0.0-0.2, p<0.001, respectively).

DISCUSSION.

Determining significant factors contributing to vaccine acceptance is vital as countries aim to vaccinate most citizens to reduce strict COVID-19 protocols to return to pre-pandemic functioning and activities, such as removing mandatory utilization of face masks and quarantines reopening of affected economic sectors, particularly involving travel and business. This study aimed to understand contributing factors in vaccine acceptance by Filipinos. With the country's relatively high COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and low vaccination coverage,[7] it's critical to understand vaccine acceptance in the Philippines.

Willingness to Vaccinate Against COVID-19

Vaccination against COVID-19 infection may cause a decline in COVID-19 infection and mortality rates, ending the pandemic rapidly. The inoculation and distribution of the vaccine are futile unless individuals are willing to be vaccinated. This study aimed to determine the willingness of unvaccinated Filipinos to vaccinate against COVID-19, as well as, potential determinants that influences COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the Philippines. In our study, we found that majority (79.5%) of unvaccinated adult Filipinos are willing to be vaccinated. This is considered moderate when compared to vaccination rates of other countries world-wide, particularly from the Mediterranean and Western regions wherein vaccination rates range between 29% to 57%. [11] However, this is lower in comparison to other Asian nations where vaccination rates are mostly above 90%.

Last March 2021, the first batch of government procured COVID-19 vaccines, CoronaVac, specifically Chinese-made vaccine, Sinovac, arrived in the Philippines for rapid administration of A1 group priority that is composed of workers in frontline health as the first group of individuals to be vaccinated. [13] According to the OCTA Research survey study that was conducted throughout the Philippines from January to February 2021, only 15% of adult Filipinos were willing to be vaccinated, while 46% and 35% of adult Filipinos were unwilling and undecided to obtain COVID-19 vaccinations, respectively. [14] However, another national survey was performed from April to May 2021 by Social Weather Stations, a non-profit social research institution, that showed an increase in up to 35% of adult Filipinos who were willing to be vaccinated and an 11% decrease of those who were unwilling.[15] In our study, the willingness of Filipinos to be vaccinated has increased dramatically possibly due to influences of vaccinated healthcare workers, greater knowledge and dissemination of information regarding COVID-19 vaccines and rare adverse effects experienced post-COVID-19 vaccination.

Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccine and its Determinants

This study identified determinants that may predict COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and may affect individuals' willingness to be vaccinated. Age, region (residence), sex, current profession, monthly income, religion and practice of alternative medicine ($p<0.001$), and contact with a COVID positive individual/s ($p<0.05$) were found to be significant determinants. Within age, younger and middle-aged groups were 41 to 132 times more likely ($p<0.05$) to have higher COVID-19 vaccine acceptance. This may be due to a higher literacy rate within these age groups as compared to older age groups (55-65 years) therefore, have better knowledge about COVID-19 and its vaccines. [16] However, our study result differed from two studies that showed higher vaccine acceptance within older adults as compared to younger adults (≥55 years) compared to younger adults. [9, 17] Essential healthcare workers were also 10 times more likely to accept the vaccine ($p<0.05$) as compared to other

professions, particularly those who were essential non-healthcare workers and private/self-employed ($p<0.05$; $p<0.001$). Another study conducted by Harapan et al. showed that healthcare workers and those who had a higher perceived risk of COVID-19 infection were associated with higher acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccines than civil servant retirees.[12] These findings may suggest that higher knowledge and perceived risk of infection, transmission, and prevention against COVID-19 may be associated with higher vaccine acceptance. Furthermore, those who practiced alternative medicine and who had previous contact with COVID-19 positive individuals were 2-3 times more likely to obtain the vaccine ($p<0.005$). Education and awareness should be targeted among lower perceptions of COVID-19 infection and lower education.

On the other hand, males and married individuals were less likely to accept the vaccines (OR: 0.6 $p<0.05$; OR:0.2 $p<0.05$, respectively). The findings also showed that males are half as likely to accept vaccination as females (OD=0.6). A similar result in the study conducted by Malik et al., males (72%) compared to females, college and/or graduate degree holders (75%) compared to people with less than a college degree were more likely to accept the vaccine. [9]

With the data on the possible sociodemographic determinants, the government, healthcare workers, and other trusted organizations may educate and encourage vaccination, targeting the non-acceptance groups. For instance, the local government may invite essential non-health workers and private/self-employed addressing the misinformation. They can also release transparent and coherent information that is easily understandable to the public. Figures or infographics can be beneficial to those who did not have the opportunity to education.

Willingness to pay for COVID-19 vaccine

From the group of respondents who were willing to accept the vaccination offered by the Philippine government, around 70% of them were willing to pay. According to Wong, et. al, the following should be considered: the average national income, price of the vaccine, and severity of the pandemic. In this way, policy-makers should be able to offer financial assistance to those in the lower-income bracket. With that in mind, another proposition was to include the COVID-19 vaccine in the national immunization program, which would likely increase the number of people vaccinated.[19] Most of those who were willing to pay were ready to pay a maximum of Php1,000 for the vaccine. One of the most likely justifications was the minimum wage in the Philippines, which ranges from 230 pesos to 430 pesos, depending on the region.[20] Respondents who were willing to pay the highest amount may be due to their perception of the quality of the vaccine. Just like the common perception of the general population in medicines, it was commonly mistaken that the cost was parallel to that of its quality and effectiveness.[21] This may also reflect on the value that the people placed on avoiding risks related to the vaccine.[22]

Vaccine brand preference

Results from clinical trials may have influenced an individual's decision to prefer one brand over the other.[23] According to the clinical trials, the vaccines by Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna should be taken in 2 doses, 21-28 days apart, with a 95% efficacy rate. AstraZeneca also reported that their vaccine should also be taken in 2 doses, 28 days apart, with 70% efficacy rate. In comparison, Sinovac's Covax had varying results with 51% to 91.25% efficacy rate. In general, the side effects of all COVID-19 vaccines include fatigue, muscle pain,

headache, chills, fever and nausea.[24] However, it can lead to severe allergic reactions if an individual had a previous allergic reaction to vaccines. In solving this, governments across the world have shared common elements to successfully eliminate vaccine preference. These would include initiatives to increase vaccination knowledge and awareness, community engagement, and making vaccines available in convenient and accessible locations.[25] Diversely, with the known information on the public's preference for specific brands, the government can increase the availability of Pfizer-BioNTech and AstraZeneca to encourage people with a preference to be vaccinated.

Reasons for not Accepting COVID Vaccine by Non-Acceptance Respondents

Most of the respondents who were unwilling to accept the vaccine have expressed their distrust of the vaccine (56.5%) and were not sure of its safety (59.7%). This should show a possible correlation between the vaccine and its perceived effectiveness.[19] This was further elaborated in a study by Bond and Nolan, which discussed the lack of perceived risk and severity for the infection led to decreased perception for urgency in getting a vaccine.[26] Another reason may be attributed to the conflicting news made by DOH on the safety of vaccines, including reports from other agencies and news outlets, which creates an ambience of contradictions and leads to a negative effect on the level of trust in these institutions.[19] As most of the vaccines were still in Phase 3 of clinical trials, it shows that their efficacy was not yet final. Most likely, waiting for further results of Phase 4 Clinical trials to assure safety will decrease the non-acceptance of the public on COVID-19 vaccine, which will be similar to WHO's findings in their report on vaccine hesitancy.[27] Since most of the reasons for not accepting the vaccines were related to doubt of the vaccine, trusted organizations, such as medical student organizations, and healthcare workers can help assure the public of the vaccines' efficacy and safety with first-hand experiences.

Change of Perception of Non-Acceptance Group Due to Vaccination of Government Health Care Workers and Authorities

From the group of respondents who were unwilling to accept vaccines, only less than 5% were willing to be vaccinated when the government healthcare workers and authorities were immunized. A position to consider was the controversy surrounding past vaccination programs, most notably the Dengvaxia® vaccine, attributed to a decreased vaccine confidence. Generally, the core for the continued non-acceptance can be primarily attributed to mistrust on the institutions that provide them, in this case, the Philippine Government; thus, a possible solution would be to focus on trust-building policies and practices between the public and the government.[28]

Preferred Source of Information of Respondents

Overall, the survey respondents preferred to be informed through social media (48.5%) followed by print and electronic media (23.2%). The twenty-first century is considered to be the electronic age. With the rise of manufactured smartphones, as well as phone applications it has made it easier for everyone to get their news from their own phones. It is much easier and accessible to find news on the internet rather than through print.[29] This result is beneficial for the policy-makers to give their updates in social media. The downfall for this is the increasing amount of fake news. Thus, it is recommended that verified and proper accounts are made to avoid dilemmas. However, due to lack of time and religiosity, authenticating news before sharing had no effect on

sharing fake news.[30] The researchers highly recommend following verified accounts on social media for easier dissemination of credible information.

According to WHO on Vaccine Hesitancy (2014), there is no universally accepted cause for the increasing number of people who are hesitant to vaccinate.[27] However, it is an increasingly recognizable problem that affects every country in the world. The only notable difference is that the discovered causes differ in each country. In effect, solutions are relatively unique by country, and in the case of the Philippines, it is a must to prioritize the level of trust in the government, offer better strategies in disseminating information regarding vaccine effectiveness as well as in educating the public on the outcomes of having severe COVID-19 infection with the goal of increasing the sense of urgency to be vaccinated, including also the importance of budgeting for vaccines in every household. But most importantly, take into consideration the literature on vaccine hesitation, and use them as guides in creating such strategies and policies.[27]

The study was limited to the perceptions of unvaccinated individuals. Furthermore, the authors believe that the generalizability of the current study may be impacted by the sampling method and the mode of distribution. We mostly relied on the Facebook app, hence, we may have missed individuals from lower socioeconomic classes, those who were illiterate, and those who did not have access to the Facebook app. The study was also conducted when limited data was available about the vaccines. A follow-up study should be conducted with the availability of vaccine information.

Conclusion

The effectiveness of the vaccine influences acceptance among unvaccinated Filipinos. Acceptance is relatively massive when the Philippine government subsidizes the vaccine. According to the previously reported figures, the study participants have a good intention to take the COVID 19 vaccine; however, participants perceived risk and trust in the health system were found to be significant predictors of the aim of the COVID-19 vaccine in the Philippines. If the COVID-19 vaccine has lower efficiency, governments will have to precede more approaches to vaccinate their population. In addition, since acceptance is correlated with perceived risk for COVID-19, it is also vital to improve the perceived risk in communities. Further study should verify our findings with public health publicity interventions. Health promotions that target multiple sociodemographic groups should be prioritized to increase the COVID-19 vaccine acceptance behavior in the country.

SUMMARY – ACCELERATING TRANSLATION

PAMAGAT: Pagtanggap ng Bakuna sa COVID-19 sa mga Pilipinong Hindi Nabakunahan

PANGUNAHING PROBLEMA

Ang COVID-19 (Coronavirus 2019 o SARS-CoV-19) ay nananatiling laganap, na nagdudulot ng masamang epekto sa kalusugan at ekonomiya sa buong mundo. Ang mga awtoridad ng gobyerno ay nagpatupad ng mga hakbang sa pag-iwas, tulad ng paggamit ng mga face-mask at mahigpit na quarantine protocol gayunpaman, ang pagbibigay ng mga bakuna ay nagsimula upang mapabagal at mabawasan ang pagkalat ng COVID-19. Ang mga independyenteng survey ay nagpakita ng mababa hanggang katamtamang antas ng pagpayag na mabakunahan sa Pilipinas, na maaaring dahil sa maraming dahilan tulad ng pagiging epektibo at tiwala ng bakuna, masamang epekto at gastusin ng mga bakuna na may subsidyo ng gobyerno o mula sa bulsa. Kulang din ang mga pag-aaral na tumutukoy sa eksaktong porsyento ng mga Pilipinong gustong magpabakuna at ang mga determinant na maaaring makaapekto sa pagtanggap ng COVID-19.

LAYUNIN NG PAG-AARAL

Ang pag-aaral na ito ay naglalayong matukoy ang kaugnayan sa pagitan ng pagpayag na magpabakuna at mga posibleng determinant na kinabibilangan ng: edad, rehiyon ng paninirahan, kasarian, katayuan sa pag-aasawa, kasalukuyang propesyon, kita ng sambahayan, relihiyon, edukasyon, paniniwala sa alternatibong gamot at pagkakaroon ng mga malalang sakit. Higit pa rito, para matukoy ang gustong brand ng bakuna para sa COVID-19, kagustuhang magbayad para sa bakuna, at mga rason para sa hindi kagusutuhang magpabakuna.

PAMAMARAAN

Ginamit ang analytical, cross-sectional na disenyo sa pamamagitan ng web-based na survey gamit ang binagong bersyon ng community COVID-19 vaccine acceptance vaccine study sa Indonesia na kinikilala ng World Health Organization (WHO) at United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Isinagawa ang survey sa pagitan ng Abril 11, 2021 hanggang Mayo 05, 2021 sa pamamagitan ng Google Forms. Mayroong 1,011 kalahok sa pag-aaral ang na-recruit mula sa pangkalahatang publiko ng Pilipinas pagkatapos maipalaganap ang pag-aaral online. Ang mga pamantayan sa pagpili para sa pag-aaral na ito ay ang mga sumusunod: a) dapat ay isang mamamayang Pilipino na naninirahan sa Pilipinas na hindi pa nabakunahan ng alinman sa mga magagamit na bakuna para sa COVID-19, b) kailangang may edad na 18 pataas, c) may internet, at d) marunong bumasa at sumulat sa Ingles o Tagalog.

Ang talatanungan na ito ay binubuo ng mga sosyo-demograpikong tanong na kinabibilangan ng edad, kasalukuyang paninirahan (rehiyon), kasarian, katayuan sa pag-aasawa, posisyon sa trabaho, buwanang kita ng sambahayan, relihiyon, pinakamataas na edukasyon na nakamit at segurong pangkalusugan upang matugunan ang mga determinant ng pagtanggap ng bakuna sa COVID-19. Kasama sa mga tanong ang mga uri ng multiple-choice at "oo" o "hindi".

Ang data ay kinolekta, tinipon at inilipat mula sa Google Forms patungo sa Microsoft Excel para sa pagsusuri ng data gamit ang isang electronic folder na protektado ng password para sa privacy at pagiging kumpidensyal.

Isinagawa ang istatistikal na pagsusuri gamit ang socio-demographic na data at mga tanong na may kaugnayan sa bakuna sa COVID-19, at mga ugnayan sa pagitan ng mga determinant at pagpayag na kunin ang bakunang COVID-19 sa pamamagitan ng SPSS Statistics 26. Kinokolekta ang mga form ng pahintulot bago ang pagsisimula ng questionnaire.

RESULTA

May kabuuang 1,1011 kalahok na nakakumpleto ng survey. Karamihan sa mga kalahok sa pag-aaral ay 18-25 taong gulang, mga babae, naninirahan sa Cordillera Administrative Region, walang asawa (walang asawa, hiwalay o balo), walang anak, estudyante/retirado/walang trabaho, kumikita sa pagitan ng P20,000 – 50,000 kada buwan, Roman Catholic, nagtapos sa unibersidad, ginamit ang PhilHealth bilang health insurance, nagpraktis ng alternatibong gamot, hindi na-diagnose na may anumang malalang sakit at walang kontak sa sinumang positibo sa COVID-19 na indibidwal.

Karamihan sa mga kalahok ay handang tumanggap ng mga bakuna laban sa COVID-19. Kabilang sa mga kalahok na handang magpabakuna ay nasa edad 18-25 taong gulang, mga babae, naninirahan sa Rehiyon I, binata, walang anak, estudyante/retirado/walang trabaho, na may buwanang kita na P20,001-50,000, Romano Katoliko, unibersidad nagtapos, gumagamit ng Philhealth, nagpraktis ng alternatibong gamot, hindi na-diagnose na may malalang sakit, at walang dating contact sa isang COVID-19 positive na indibidwal. Karamihan sa mga ginustong bakuna ay ang mga sumusunod: Pfizer (US), Moderna (US), AstraZeneca (UK), Gamaleya (Russiaa) at panghuli, Sinovac (China). Karamihan sa acceptance group ay handang magbayad para sa mga bakuna at karamihan ay magbabayad ng P1-1,000.

Ang pinakakaraniwang dahilan sa hindi pagtanggap ng bakuna sa COVID-19 ay dahil sa kawalan ng katiyakan sa kaligtasan ng bakuna na sinusundan ng kawalan ng tiwala at kawalan ng katiyakan sa pagiging epektibo ng mga bakuna. Kasama sa iba pang tinukoy na dahilan ang pagtanggi sa pagkakaroon ng COVID-19, maling impormasyon o kawalan ng kaalaman tungkol sa COVID-19 at ang mga bakuna nito (hal., walang proteksyon ang bakuna laban sa mga variant ng COVID-19, hindi kailangan ang bakuna sa COVID-19 dahil sa nakaraang pagsusuri sa COVID-19, at paggamit ng face-shield at face-mask, herd immunity, at Ivermectin bilang mas gustong proteksyon laban sa COVID-19).

Natuklasan ng pag-aaral na ito na ang mga makabuluhang determinant ng pagtanggap ng bakuna sa COVID-19 sa mga Pilipino ay kinabibilangan ng edad, rehiyon ng paninirahan, kasarian, kasalukuyang propesyon, buwanang kita, relihiyon, pagsasagawa ng alternatibong gamot, at dating pakikipag-ugnayan sa mga indibidwal na positibo sa COVID-19. Bukod dito, ipinakita na ang mga indibidwal na may edad na 18-25 taon ay 132 beses na mas malamang na tumanggap ng mga bakuna sa COVID-19. Ang mga mahahalagang manggagawa sa pangangalagang pangkalusugan ay 10 beses na mas malamang na tumanggap ng pagbabakuna sa COVID-19. Ang mga indibidwal na nagsagawa ng alternatibong gamot at ang mga may dating contact sa isang taong positibo sa COVID-19 ay dalawa o tatlong beses na mas malamang na tumanggap ng pagbabakuna para sa COVID-19.

KONKLUYSON

Ang pagbabakuna ay kinakailangan upang makontrol ang COVID-19. Ang pag-aaral na ito ay nagpakita na karamihan sa mga Pilipino ay tumatanggap at handang kumuha ng mga bakuna laban sa COVID-19. Gayunpaman, maraming Pilipino ang nagdududa sa mga bakuna, lalo na sa pagiging epektibo at kaligtasan nito. Samakatuwid, kailangang tugunan ng mga awtoridad ng gobyerno ang mga isyung ito partikular na ang mga makabuluhang determinant na nakakaimpluwensya sa pagtanggap ng bakuna sa COVID-19 upang mapataas ang kahandaang mabakunahan laban sa COVID-19.

REFERENCES.

1. World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. Available from: https://covid19.who.int/; Updated January 24, 2021; cited January 24, 2021
2. Islam M, Rahman K, Sun Y, Qureshi M, Abdi I, Chughtai A et al. Current knowledge of COVID-19 and infection prevention and control strategies in healthcare settings: A global analysis. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 2020;41(10):1196-1206.
3. Richardson SJ, Carroll CB, Close J, Gordon AL, O'Brien J, Quinn TJ, et al. Research with older people in a world with COVID-19: identification of current and future priorities, challenges and opportunities. Age Ageing. 2020;49(6):901–6.
4. Gagneux-Brunon A, Detoc M, Bruel S, Tardy B, Rozaire O, Frappe P et al. Intention to get vaccinations against COVID-19 in French healthcare workers during the first pandemic wave: a cross-sectional survey. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2021;108:168-173.
5. Food and Drug Administration of the Philippines. FDA Circular No. 2020-036 || Guidelines on the Issuance of Emergency Use Authorization for Drugs and Vaccines for COVID-19. Available from: https://www.fda.gov.ph/fda-circular-no-2020-036-guidelines-on-the-issuance-of-emergency-use-authorization-for-drugs-and-vaccines-for-covid-19/; Updated December 2020; cited January 15, 2021
6. Rodrigues CM, Plotkin SA. Impact of Vaccines; health, economic and social perspectives. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2020Jul14;11.
7. Office of the Presidential Spokesperson. On the SWS survey on COVID-19 vaccine. Available from: https://pcoo.gov.ph/OPS-content/on-the-sws-survey-on-covid-19-vaccine/; Updated Novemberr 20, 2020; cited 24 January 24, 2021
8. Lazarus J, Ratzan S, Palayew A, Gostin L, Larson H, Rabin K et al. A global survey of potential acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine. Nature Medicine. 2020;27(2):225-228.
9. Malik A, McFadden S, Elharake J, Omer S. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the US. EClinicalMedicine. 2020 ;26:100495.
10. Shekhar R, Sheikh AB, Upadhyay S, Singh M, Kottewar S, Mir H, et al. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among health care workers in the United States. 2021;9(2):119.
11. Sallam M. COVID-19 vaccine Hesitancy Worldwide: A CONCISE systematic review of VACCINE acceptance rates. Vaccines. 2021;9(2):160.
12. Harapan H, Wagner A, Yufika A, Winardi W, Anwar S, Gan A et al. Acceptance of a COVID-19 Vaccine in Southeast Asia: A Cross-Sectional Study in Indonesia. Frontiers in Public Health. 2020;8.
13. Department of Health. PH Receives First Batch of Government-Procured Vaccines. Available from: https://doh.gov.ph/doh-press-release/PH-RECEIVES-FIRST-BATCH-OF-GOVERNMENT-PROCURED-VACCINES; Updated March 29, 2021; cited July 8, 2021
14. Octa Research. Only 1 out of 5 Filipinos willing to be inoculated with COVID-19 vaccine. Available from: https://cnnphilippines.com/news/2021/2/25/one-in-five-filipinos-willing-vaccinated.html?fbclid=IwAR2; Updated February 25, 2021; cited June 10, 2021
15. Social Weather Stations. First Quarter 2021 Social Weather Survey: 51% of adult Filipinos are confident, 17% are not confident about the government's evaluation of Covid-19 vaccines. Available

from: https://www.sws.org.ph/swsmain/artcldisppage/?artcsyscode=ART-20210520103851; Updated May 20, 2021; cited July 5, 2021

16. Philippine Statistics Authority. Mapa. Functional Literacy Rate is Estimated at 91.6 Percent in 2019. Available from: https://psa.gov.ph/content/functional-literacy-rate-estimated-916-percent-2019; Updated December 11, 2020; cited July 10, 2021
17. Kabir R, Mahmud I, Chowdhury MT, Vinnakota D, Jahan SS, Siddika N, et al. COVID-19 vaccination intent and willingness to pay in Bangladesh: A cross-sectional study. Vaccines. 2021;9(5):416.
18. Department of Health. COVID-19 Case Tracker. Available from: https://doh.gov.ph/2019-nCoV; Updated June 6, 2021; cited June 10, 2021
19. Wong L, Alias H, Wong P, Lee H, AbuBakar S. The use of the health belief model to assess predictors of intent to receive the COVID-19 vaccine and willingness to pay. Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics. 2020;16(9):2204-2214.
20. National Wages and Productivity Commission. Daily Minimum Wage Rates. Available from: https://nwpc.dole.gov.ph/; Updated May 2021; cited June 10, 2021
21. Stanford Graduate School of Business. The Behavioral Impact of a Higher Price. Available from: https://www.gsb.stanford.edu/insights/behavioral-impact-higher-price; Updated March 1, 2008; cited July 10, 2021
22. Yeo HY, Shafie AA. The acceptance and willingness to pay (wtp) for hypothetical dengue vaccine in Penang, Malaysia: A Contingent Valuation study. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation. 2018;16(1).
23. Borriello A, Master D, Pellegrini A, Rose JM. Preferences for A COVID-19 vaccine in Australia. Vaccine. 2021;39(3):473–9.
24. BioSpace. UPDATED Comparing COVID-19 Vaccines: Timelines, Types and Prices. Available from: https://www.biospace.com/article/comparing-covid-19-vaccines-pfizer-biontech-moderna-astrazeneca-oxford-j-and-j-russia-s-sputnik-v/; Updated July 28, 2021; cited July 30, 2021
25. Wouters O, Shadlen K, Salcher-Konrad M, Pollard A, Larson H, Teerawattananon Y et al. Challenges in ensuring global access to COVID-19 vaccines: production, affordability, allocation, and deployment. The Lancet. 2021;397(10278):1023-1034.
26. Bond L, Nolan T. Making sense of perceptions of risk of diseases and vaccinations: A qualitative study combining models of health beliefs, decision-making and risk perception. BMC Public Health. 2011;11(1).
27. World Health Organization. Report of the Sage Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy. Available from: https://www.who.int/immunization/sage/meetings/2014/october/1_Report_WORKING_GROUP_vaccine_hesitancy_final.pdf; Updated October 2014; cited June 10, 2021
28. Migriño, Jr. J, Gayados B, Birol K, De Jesus L, Lopez C, Mercado W et al. Factors affecting vaccine hesitancy among families with children 2 years old and younger in two urban communities in Manila, Philippines. Western Pacific Surveillance and Response Journal. 2020;11(2):20-26.
29. Silver L, Smith A, Johnson C, Jiang J, Anderson M, Rainie L. Use of smartphones and social media is common across most emerging economies]. Pew Research Center: Internet, Science & Tech. 2019. Available from: https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2019/03/07/use-of-smartphones-and-social-media-is-common-across-most-emerging-economies/; Updated March 7, 2019; cited June 10, 2021

30. Talwar S, Dhir A, Singh D, Virk G, Salo J. Sharing of fake news on social media: Application of the honeycomb framework and the third-person effect hypothesis. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services. 2020;57:102197.

FIGURES AND TABLES.

Figure 1. Flow Diagram of Study Participants



Table 1. Socio-Demographic Data COVID-19 Acceptance Vs. Non-Acceptance

Socio-demographic characteristics	Acceptance (n= 804)	Non-acceptance (n= 148)	Total* (n= 1,011)
	n (%)		
Age (years)			
18 - 25	565 (70.3)	38 (25.7)	651 (64.4)
26 - 35	182 (22.6)	52 (35.1)	239 (23.6)
36 - 45	17 (2.1)	31 (20.9)	50 (4.9)
46 - 55	19 (2.4)	12 (8.1)	32 (3.2)
56 - 65	21 (2.6)	15 (10.1)	39 (3.9)
Gender			
Male	287 (35.7)	88 (59.4)	388 (38.4)
Female	517 (64.3)	60 (40.6)	623 (61.6)
Region			
NCR	93 (11.6)	40 (27.0)	141 (13.9)
CAR	140 (17.4)	28 (18.9)	187 (18.5)
Region I	141(17.5)	9 (6.1)	151 (14.9)
Region II	49 (6.1)	1 (.7)	55 (5.4)
Region III	91 (11.3)	15 (10.1)	113 (11.2)
Region IV-A	102 (12.7)	8 (5.4)	111 (11.0)
Region IV-B	0 (.0)	2 (1.4)	2 (.2)
Region V	7 (.9)	7 (4.7)	15 (1.5)
Region VI	12 (1.5)	5 (3.4)	17 (1.7)
Region VII	37 (4.6)	7 (4.7)	44 (4.4)
Region VIII	9 (1.1)	4 (2.7)	13 (1.3)
Region IX	15 (1.9)	1 (.7)	25 (2.5)
Region X	68 (8.5)	13 (8.9)	88 (8.7)
Region XI	1 (.1)	3 (2.0)	4 (0.4)
Region XII	16 (2.0)	2 (1.4)	18 (1.8)
Region XIII	13 (1.6)	2 (1.4)	15 (1.5)
BARMMM	10 (1.2)	1 (.7)	12 (1.2)
Marital Status			

Married	74 (9.2)	77 (52.0)	164 (16.2)
Single (unmarried/separated/ widowed	730 (90.8)	71 (48.0)	847 (83.8)
With children			
Yes	85 (10.6)	76 (51.4)	176 (17.4)
No	719 (89.4)	72 (48.6)	835 (82.6)
Current profession			
Essential healthcare worker	82 (10.2)	1 (.7)	86 (8.5)
Essential non-healthcare worker	39 (4.9)	22 (14.9)	70 (6.9)
Private/Self-employed	40 (5.0)	84 (56.8)	132 (13.1)
Student/Retired/ Unemployed	643 (79.9)	41 (27.6)	723 (71.5)
Monthly income			
<10,000	62 (7.7)	31 (20.9)	102 (10.1)
10,001-20,000	156 (19.4)	56 (37.8)	223 (22.1)
20,001-50,000	248 (30.8)	42 (28.4)	318 (31.5)
50,001-100,000	192 (23.9)	18 (12.2)	218 (21.6)
>100,000	146 (18.1)	1 (.7)	150 (14.8)
Religion			
Roman Catholic	592 (73.6)	103 (69.5)	717 (70.9)
Iglesia ni Kristo	23 (2.9)	4 (2.7)	27 (2.7)
Islam	20 (2.5)	1 (.7)	30 (3.0)
Hinduism	3 (.4)	1 (.7)	4 (0.4)
None	9 (1.1)	1 (.7)	10 (1.0)
Others	157 (19.5)	38 (25.7)	223 (22.1)
Highest education			
Never went to school	2 (.3)	0 (.0)	2 (.2)
Junior high school	0 (.0)	13 (8.8)	0 (.0)

Senior high/ vocational school	11 (1.4)	135 (91.2)	24 (2.4)
University	791 (98.4)	0 (.0)	985 (97.4)
Health insurance			
Philhealth	375 (37.1)	103 (69.6)	503 (49.8)
Private	57 (5.6)	3 (2.0)	62 (6.1)
Both	127 (12.6)	24 (16.2)	167 (16.5)
None	245 (24.2)	18 (12.2)	279 (27.6)
Practice of alternative medicine			
Yes	543 (53.7)	92 (62.2)	686 (67.9)
No	261 (25.8)	56 (37.8)	325 (32.1)
Existence of chronic disease			
Yes	121(12.0)	23 (15.5)	150 (14.8)
No	683 (67.6)	125 (84.5)	861 (85.2)
Contact with COVID-19 positive			
Yes	282 (27.9)	22 (14.9)	320 (31.7)
No	522 (51.6)	126 (85.1)	691 (68.3)

Table 2. Vaccine Preference of Acceptance Group

Brands (Country of origin)	Most Preferred N (%)	Least Preferred N (%)
Pfizer (US)	512 (63.7)	36 (4.5)
Moderna (US)	104 (12.9)	23 (2.9)
AstraZeneca (UK)	88 (10.9)	49 (6.1)
Sinovac (China)	64 (8.0)	437 (54.4)
Novavax (US)	16 (2.0)	20 (2.5)
Gamaleya (Russia)	6 (0.7)	233 (29.0)
Others	14 (1.7)	6 (0.7)

Legend: N frequency, % percentage, US United States, UK United Kingdom

Table 3. Vaccine Questions for Acceptance and Non-Acceptance Groups

Questions for acceptance group		
Questions	**Responses**	**N (%)**
Are you willing to pay for the vaccine?	Yes	565 (70.3)
	No	169 (21.0)
	Unsure	62 (7.7)
How much are you willing to pay if there is such provision (In Php)?	1-1,000	219 (38.8)
	1,001-2,000	165 (29.2)
	2,001-3,000	112 (19.8)
	3,001-4,000	25 (4.4)
	>4,000	42 (7.4)
Questions for non-acceptance group		
Questions	**Responses**	**N (%)**
Why will you not accept the COVID vaccine? (choose at least one)	Not sure of safety	92 (59.7)
	No trust in vaccine	87 (56.5)
	Not sure of effectiveness	63 (40.9)
	Fear of side effects such as fever and pain	36 (23.4)
	Religious belief	14 (9.1)
	Political belief	7 (4.6)
	Other (specify)	17 (11.0)
Did vaccination of the healthcare workers and/or government authorities change your overall acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine?	No, I still do not want to be vaccinated	126 (85.1)
	Yes, I now want to be vaccinated	6 (4.1)
	Yes, but I no longer want to be vaccinated	1 (.7)
Did the vaccination of Sinovac/AstraZeneca among the healthcare workers change your overall perception of the Sinovac/AstraZeneca vaccine?	No	128 (86.5)
	Yes (positive)	11 (7.4)
	Yes (negative)	9 (6.1)
Question for acceptance and non-acceptance groups		
Question	**Responses**	**N (%)**

How would you like to get more information about the COVID-19 vaccine?	Social media (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, WhatsApp or Tiktok)	490 (48.5)
	Print and Electronic media (e.g., TV or newspaper)	235 (23.2)
	Online platforms (e.g., Zoom, Google Meet or Skype)	111 (11.0)
	Telecommunication (e.g., SMS or phone call)	84 (8.3)
	Others	45 (4.5)
	Not interested	46 (4.5)

Legend: N frequency, % percentage, Php Philippine

Table 4. Socio-Demographic Data Acceptance Vs. Non-Acceptance

Determinants	Model Fitting Criteria	Likelihood Ratio Tests	
	-2 Log Likelihood of Reduced Model	Chi-Square	P-value
Age	647.4	38.2	<0.001
Region	703.5	94.3	<0.001
Sex	629.5	20.3	<0.001
Marital status	614.8	5.6	.060
With children	614.4	5.3	.072
Current profession	689.6	80.4	<0.001
Monthly income	656.7	47.5	<0.001
Religion	661.7	52.5	<0.001
Highest education	615.9	6.7	.152
Insurance type	620.1	10.9	.092
Practice of alternative medicine	633.4	24.2	<0.001
Chronic disorder	614.4	5.2	.073
Contact with COVID positive	620.0	10.8	.004
Nagelkerke	0.9		

Table 5. Influencing Determinants on Vaccination Acceptance Between Acceptance Vs. Non-Acceptance Groups

Socio-demographic characteristics	OR	95% CI	P-value
Age (years)			
18 - 25	132.6	5.2-3388.5	.003*
26 - 35	41.9	1.5-1186.5	.029*
36 - 45	21.4	.6-708.0	.086
46 - 55	55.3	1.5-2105.2	.031*
56 - 65	6.6	.2-262.7	.315
Gender			
Male	.6	.3-1.0	.040*
Female	1	-	-
Region			
NCR	.3	.0-3.4	.336
CAR	1.9	.2-20.7	.615
Region I	4.1	.3-50.0	.270
Region II	13.2	.5-329.0	.115
Region III	1.3	.1-14.8	.848
Region IV-A	4.4	.3-61.9	.269
Region IV-B	-	-	-
Region V	.8	.0-12.5	.842
Region VI	3.6	.2-63.1	.387
Region VII	1.3	.1-17.5	.855
Region VIII	.7	.0-12.2	.789
Region IX	4.7	.1-164.0	.389
Region X	1.8	.2-19.4	.644
Region XI	.4	.0-15.6	.631
Region XII	.9	.0-16.8	.943
Region XIII	3.6	.1-92.8	.446
BARMMM	1	-	-
Marital Status			
Married	.2	.0-1.0	.045*

Single (unmarried/separated/ widowed	1	-	-
With children			
Yes	2.3	.4-14.1	.378
No	1	-	-
Current profession			
Essential healthcare worker	11.0	1.3-93.5	.028*
Essential non-healthcare worker	.2	.1-.6	.005*
Private/Self-employed	.1	.0-.2	<.001*
Student/Retired/ Unemployed	1	-	-
Monthly income			
<10,000	.0	.0-.2	.001*
10,001-20,000	.0	.0-.3	.004
20,001-50,000	.0	.0-.3	.002*
50,001-100,000	.1	.0-.7	.024*
>100,000	1	-	-
Religion			
Roman Catholic	1.2	.6-2.4	.647
Iglesia ni Kristo	.5	.1-2.5	.393
Islam	.9	.9-10.0	.956
Hinduism	.1	.0-6.6	.308
None	2.2	.2-26.8	.549
Others	1	-	-
Highest education			
Never went to school	-	-	-
Junior high school	-	-	-
Senior high/ vocational school	.3	.1-1.2	.080
University	1	-	-
Health insurance			

Philhealth	1.6	.7-3.6	.238
Private	2.2	.5-9.9	.315
Both	1.5	.5-4.2	.490
None	1	-	-
Practice of alternative medicine			
Yes	2.4	1.3-4.4	.005*
No	1	-	-
Existence of chronic disease			
Yes	2.9	1.0-8.4	.053
No	1	-	-
Contact with COVID-19 positive			
Yes	2.9	1.4-6.0	.005*
No	1	-	-

Legend: OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval

APPENDIX.

FULL QUESTIONNAIRE

[Informed Consent Form for Filipinos]

Dear participant,

We would like to invite you to participate in our research entitled, “Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccine among Healthcare Workers in the Philippines,” because we feel that your experience as a health care worker can contribute much to our understanding and knowledge of the topic.

This consent form asks you to allow researchers to record, view, and analyze your answers to enhance understanding of the topic. Your participation in this research study is voluntary. You may choose not to participate. If you decide to participate in this research survey, you may withdraw at any time.

We would like to confirm that all information provided here will be kept confidential. All data will be stored in a password protected electronic folder. To help protect your confidentiality, the surveys will not contain information that will personally identify you, and will be used only for research purposes.

By submitting this form you are indicating that you have read the description of the study, are over the age of 18, and that you agree to the terms as described.

The procedure involves filling an online survey that will take approximately 5 minutes. Your participation in completing this survey is highly appreciated.

This proposal has been reviewed and approved by the Saint Louis University – Research Ethics Committee (SLU-REC), which is a committee whose task it is to make sure that research participants are protected from harm. If you wish to find out more about the SLU-REC, contact DR. ELIZABETH H. BAUTISTA, Chair of the SLU-REC, 444-8246 Local 387.

Please select your choice below. Clicking on the "agree" button below indicates that:

1. You have read the above information
2. You voluntarily agree to participate

1. What is your age?
   A. 18 - 25 years
   B. 26 - 35 years
   C. 36 - 45 years
   D. 46 - 55 years
   E. 56 - 65 years
   F. >65 years

2. Which region do you currently live in?

A.. NCR B. CAR C. Region I D. Region II
E. Region III F. Region IV-A G. Region IV-B H. Region V
I. Region VI J. Region VII K. Region VIII L. Region IX
M. Region X N. Region XI O. Region XII P. Region XIII
Q. BARMM

3. Sex:

A. Male B. Female

4. Ethnicity

A. Filipino
B. Non-Filipino

5. What is your marital status?

A. Married B. Single (unmarried/separated/widowed)

6. Do you have any children?

A. Yes
B. No

7. What is your current profession?

A. Essential Healthcare worker
B. Essential Non-healthcare worker (Bank and Financial services, Communications and information technology, Education, First responders, Food, Agriculture and Goods provision, Government and Public services, Hazardous material management, Military/Police/Security, Transportation and logistics, Utility services (Electricity, Water, and Sanitation)
C. Private/Self-employed (Airline industry, Building and construction, Entertainment and Fitness industry, Hospitality/food (hotel, restaurant, bar/club), Online businesses, Retail services)
D. D. Others (Retired/Student/Unemployed)

8. What is your household's monthly income on an average (in Php)?

A. <10,000
B. 10,001-20,000
C. 20,001-50,000
D. 50,001- 100,000
E. ≥100,001

9. What is your religion?

A. Roman Catholic
B. Iglesia ni Kristo

C. Islam
D. Hinduism
E. Buddhism
F. I don't have a religion
G. Others (e.g. Other Christian denomination- Jehovah's Witness, Latter Day Saints or Adventist)

10. What is your highest education?
A. Never went to school
B. Did not finish elementary school
C. Elementary school
D. Junior High School
E. Senior High School/ Vocational School
F. University

11. Information about your health insurance?
A. Philhealth B. Private C. Both D. No insurance

12. Do you believe in or practice alternative medicine?
A. Yes
B. No

13. Do you have an existing chronic disease (e.g., cancer, cardiovascular disease, and/or diabetes)
A. Yes B. No C. Unsure

14. Have you or any of your family members or others (e.g friends, colleagues, neighbors) whom you interact closely had COVID-19?
A. Yes B. No C. Not sure

15. If the Philippine/ local government offers you COVID 19 vaccine, will you take it for yourself and your family members?
A. Yes B. No C. Not decided yet

If response to Q 15 is yes, ask the following questions, 16-19:

16. If your response to Q 15 is Yes, without considering the price for the COVID-19 vaccine, which brand of vaccine would you prefer the MOST?
A. Novavax (United States)
B. Moderna (United States)
C. AstraZeneca (United Kingdom)
D. Pfizer (United States)

E. Sinovac (China)
F. Gamaleya (Russia)

17. If your response to Q 15 is Yes, without considering the price for the COVID-19 vaccine, which brand of vaccine would you prefer the LEAST?

A. Novavax (United States)
B. Moderna (United States)
C. AstraZeneca (United Kingdom)
D. Pfizer (United States)
E. Sinovac (China)
F. Gamaleya (Russia)

18. Are you willing to pay for the vaccine?

A. Yes
B. No
C. Don't know.

19. If Q 18 is yes, how much will you pay utmost to get a vaccine if there is such provision (In Php)?

A. 1 - 1,000
B. 1,000-2,000
C. 2,000 - 3,000
D. 3,000 - 4,000
E. 4,001 and above

20. If response to Q 15 is No, why will you not accept COVID vaccine (choose at least one):

A. Not sure of safety
B. Not sure of effectiveness
C. Fear of side effects such as fever, pain
D. No trust in vaccine
E. Political belief
F. Religious belief
G. Other (specify)

21. If your answer to Q15 is "NO", did vaccination of the healthcare workers and/or government authorities change your overall acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine?

A. Yes, I now want to be vaccinated
B. Yes, but I no longer want to be vaccinated
C. No, I still don't want to be vaccinated

22. Did the vaccination of Sinovac/AstraZeneca among the Healthcare workers change your overall perception of the Sinovac/AstraZeneca vaccine?

A. Yes (positive perception)
B. Yes (negative perception)
C. No

23. If your answer to Q22 is yes, which of the vaccines are you now willing to be vaccinated?

A. Novavax (United States)
B. Moderna (United States)
C. AstraZeneca (United Kingdom)
D. Pfizer (United States)
E. Sinovac (China)
F. Gamaleya (Russia)

24. How would you like to get more information about COVID-19 vaccine? (Choose only one.)

A. Social media (e.g. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, WhatsApp or Tiktok)
B. Through telecommunication (e.g. SMS or phone call)
C. Online platforms (e.g. Zoom, Google Meet or Skype)
D. Print and Electronic media (e.g. TV or newspaper)
E. Face to face communication
F. Other
G. No, I'm not interested