

1	Title: Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Physical Activity in Children: A Systematic Review
2	
3	Article type: Review
4	
5	Author names:
6	1. Alex Ramirez
/	2. Ashley B. Rapp
8	3. Sara Santarossa
9 10	Degrees and Affiliations:
11	1 B.S. Third-year Medical Student, Wayne State University School of Medicine/Henry Ford Health
12	System Detroit LISA
12	 MPH. Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, USA.
14	3. PhD. Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, USA.
15	
16	ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor Identifier):
17	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3317-4616
18	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8119-1448
19	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3038-4976
20	
21	About the author: Alex Ramirez is currently a third-year medical student of Wayne State University School
22	of Medicine in Detroit, MI, USA of a 4 year program. She has been conducting research in the Public Health
23	Sciences Department at Henry Ford Health since 2020.
24	Corresponding author email: alex.ramirez@med.wayne.edu
25	Acknowledgment: The authors acknowledge Brianna Andre and Steven Moore from the Sladen Library
26	at Henry Ford Hospital for their assistance with the literature search. The authors acknowledge Abigail C.
27	Radomsky, a 4 th year medical student from Wayne State University School of Medicine, for her assistance
28	with abstract review and article selection.
29	Financing: There was no funding source for this study.
30	Conflict of interest statement by authors: The authors report no conflicts of interest.
31	Compliance with ethical standards: As there are no human participants in a review, no submission to an
32	institutional review board was warranted.
33	
34	Authors Contribution Statement: Conceptualization, A.R.; Investigation, A.R., A.C.R.; Writing – Original
35 26	Dratt, A.K.; Writing – Review and Editing, A.B.R., S.S.; Visualization, A.R.; Supervision, A.B.R., S.S.
36 27	
31	Manuscript word count: 3907



- 1 Abstract word count: 244 2 Number of Figures and Tables: Figures – 2. Tables – 2. 3 4 Personal, Professional, and Institutional Social Network accounts. 5 Twitter: @ess santaHK 6 Instagram: @waynemedicine • 7 8 **Discussion Points:** 9 What affected physical activity in children and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic? • 10 • What strategies could have been employed to encourage children and adolescents to participate 11 in more physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic? 12 13 Dates 14 Submission: 09/27/2022 15 Revisions: 02/08/2023 16 Responses: 02/09/2023 17 Acceptance: 06/11/2023 18 Publication: 06/13/2023 19 20 Editors 21 Associate Editor/Editor: Francisco J. Bonilla-Escobar 22 Student Editors: Shuo-Yan Gau, Diego Carrion Alvarez 23 Copyeditor: L V Simhachalam Kutikuppala 24 Proofreader: 25 Layout Editor: 26 27 Publisher's Disclosure: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for 28 publication. As a service to our readers and authors we are providing this early version of the manuscript. 29 The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published 30 in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which 31 could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.
- 32 33
- 34



1 ABSTRACT.

2 \quad Background: Extended lockdowns established to minimize the spread of COVID-19, may have affected

physical activity (PA). The objective of this systematic review was to report how PA of children was impacted
 by the COVID-19 pandemic.

5 Methods: A database search of CINAHOL, Embase, MEDLINE, PsycInfo, and Web of Science was 6 conducted on 03/29/2021 in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-7 analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Studies were included if they reported a measure of PA for children ≤18 8 years and were published in English in a peer-reviewed journal. The search generated 673 unique citations. 9 After applying the criteria above, 69 articles were included. The quality of the included articles was assessed 10 using the NIH NHLBI Study Quality Assessment Toolbox.

11 Results: Unstructured play, walking, and virtual PA (e.g., YouTube, online classes) were the most frequently

12 reported modes of PA during the COVID-19 pandemic. The PA of children decreased among 89% of articles

13 that reported changes in PA (e.g., frequency, intensity, duration, or the percentage of children who met PA

14 recommendations) of children during the COVID-19 pandemic. Increased PA was reported more often

15 among younger children, boys, children who lived with other children, and children with more outdoor space.

16 Conclusion: Globally, PA of children decreased during the COVID-19 pandemic. Further work is needed to

- 17 develop policies to support global PA increases. Limitations of this review include the use of online surveys
- 18 which are limited by participants ability to recall PA behaviors and access to online resources.
- 19
- 20 Key Words: COVID-19, exercise, children



1 INTRODUCTION.

2

3 The COVID-19 pandemic (the pandemic) and its effects rapidly permeated the globe with over 4 100 million cases by February 1st, 2020.¹ By March 2020, many governments issued stay-at home orders, 5 shelter-in-place orders, lockdowns, and various social-distancing guidelines to mitigate the spread of 6 COVID-19.² These restrictions, including the closure of schools, parks, and organized sports, may have 7 altered movement behaviors, including physical activity (PA).^{3,4} PA in children encompasses a variety of 8 modes (e.g., exercise, sports, and active play).⁵ PA has been defined as any body movement that 9 increases energy expenditure and can be characterized by the components of the FITT principle: 10 frequency, intensity, time (e.g., duration), and type.⁶ The WHO recommends children (ages 5-17) engage 11 in \geq 60 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous (MVPA) intensity PA⁷ where 3 days/week include vigorous-12 intensity aerobic activities, muscle-strengthening and bone-strengthening activities.⁸ As a critical 13 component of child development, PA has substantial long-term health benefits including the prevention of 14 chronic health conditions.^{9,10} Conversely, insufficient PA in children can increase the risk of developing 15 cancer, heart disease, obesity, and type 2 diabetes.¹¹ Despite the known benefits of PA on health, global 16 levels of PA were insufficient in 4 out of 5 children prior to the pandemic.¹² Thus, the prolonged stay-at-17 home orders brought on by the pandemic may have exacerbated the proportion of children with 18 insufficient PA, as previous studies have shown that children have less PA when they are out of school 19 (e.g., holidays, summer, weekends).^{13,14} Although children seem to have a lower risk of contracting 20 COVID-19,^{15,16} the physical health burden of the pandemic and the resulting restrictions are of increasing 21 interest.^{1,17,18}

22

23 Previous studies have demonstrated that childhood health behaviors, including PA, are likely to 24 persist through adulthood and can impact lifetime health.^{19,20} It is important to monitor PA changes in 25 children to reduce the risk of developing long-term health consequences associated with inadequate PA 26 (e.g., cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, obesity).^{21,22} Not only can PA prevent many chronic 27 diseases,²³ but it can also have acute health benefits such as boosting the immune system.^{24,25} A recent 28 study in adults infected with COVID-19, found reduced risk of critical outcomes in individuals who 29 routinely adhered to PA recommendations.²⁶ Although this relationship has not yet been established in 30 children, several studies have found obesity to be the most prevalent characteristic in children infected 31 with COVID-19.^{27,28} The disruption of PA in children may increase the prevalence of childhood obesity 32 and other comorbidities which could, in turn, increase the risk of infection and subsequent severity in 33 outcomes from COVID-19.15,29

34

As childhood is a critical period of development, understanding the implications of the pandemic on health behaviors is important and there is a need to highlight potential adverse effects that may need to be actively monitored and remediated as COVID-19 restrictions lift and vaccines are distributed.



- 1 Identifying changes in PA (FITT principle) of children is important for understanding the extent to which 2 the pandemic impacted already low levels of global PA among children. In addition, recognizing the 3 biological, social, and environmental factors that affected changes in PA is critical for the development of 4 effective programs to prevent short- and long-term health consequences. To the authors' knowledge, this 5 is the first review to synthesize the literature at the time of the data search regarding the global impact of 6 the pandemic on the duration and types of PA children participated in and what biological, social, and 7 environmental factors contributed to those changes. The goal of this review was to synthesize the global 8 impact of the pandemic on PA of children by addressing the following questions:
- 9 1) What types of PA did children participate?

- 10 2) To what extent did PA (e.g., duration of PA, percentage of children meeting PA
- 11 recommendations) of children change?
- 12 3) What biological, social, and environmental factors affected changes in PA of children?
- 13



1 METHODS

2 3

4

5

- This review was conducted using the PRISMA guidelines.³⁰ The selection process for the included articles is summarized in **Figure 1**.
- 6 2.1 Search Strategy

Two research librarians independently searched MEDLINE, Embase, PsycInfo, CINAHOL, and
Web of Science on 03/29/2021. The searches were limited to the English language, COVID-19, and
2020-current (03/29/2021). The key words used in the search strategy included various combinations of
terms such as: "physical activity," "exercise," "activity level," or "sports" AND "child," "adolescent," "girl,"
"boy," or "youth" AND "covid-19," "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" or "sars-cov-2".
Following their independent searches, the librarians compared results and removed duplicates.

13

14 2.2 Article Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Included studies were those that were published in English in a peer-reviewed journal, included a measure of PA during the pandemic, and encompassed PA data for children ≤18 years. Articles were excluded if they did not present original empirical findings, if they described the development of a tool or measure, or if they were inaccessible to the authors (e.g., no free full-text download was available).

19

20 2.3 Article Selection

21 After the librarians removed any duplicate articles, 673 articles remained. The following article 22 selection process was conducted in 4 steps. First, 2 authors conducted an independent abstract review to 23 determine whether each article should be included or not based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. 24 Second, the 2 authors discussed any articles they disagreed on until consensus. Following this 25 discussion, 572 articles were excluded because they had a study population outside the context of the 26 current review, meaning that PA data presented in the findings were not specified for participants ≤ 18 27 years old. Third, the full text of the remaining 101 articles was reviewed to ensure the articles were within 28 the scope. The data extracted included authors, year of publication, sample source (e.g., the country the 29 sample was gathered from), sample size, sample age range, PA measure, main findings, and factors 30 (e.g., biological, social, and environment) related to PA and are outlined in Table 1. Ultimately, 32 of 31 these articles were excluded because they met ≥1 exclusion criteria. In the fourth step, all authors met to 32 confirm the final 69 articles should be included. The data from the 69 articles was synthesized into a table 33 adapted from a review paper of a similar topic (Table 1).³¹

34

35 2.4 Quality Assessment



- 1 The quality of the included articles was assessed using the NIH NHLBI Study Quality Assessment
- 2 Toolbox.³² This quality assessment tool describes a "good" study as one with a low risk of bias and a
- 3 "fair" study as one with some bias.32



1 **RESULTS.** 2 3 3.1 General Characteristics 4 General characteristics of the included articles are shown in Table 2. Most articles included 5 participants in Europe (n=34),³³⁻⁶⁶ North America (n=13),⁶⁷⁻⁷⁹ or South America (n=6),^{49,58,80-83} The 6 distribution of countries represented by the articles in this review are depicted in a heat map in Figure 2. 7 The sample sizes ranged from 9 children⁷⁶-16177 parents.⁸⁴ Most articles (n=32) ^{33-35,38-} 8 41,43,47,48,50,51,58,60,62,63,67,70,71,74,75,81,82,85-93 had a sample size between 100-1000, but 2 articles had a sample 9 size >10000.77.84 As the present study is focused on children, most of the articles are inclusive of age 10 groups between 0-18 years. However, 5 articles included participants ≤age 19 because the articles specified their sample as either adolescents $(n=4)^{58,76,77,89}$ or school-aged youth $(n=1)^{.74}$ Because 11 12 adolescents are defined by the WHO as individuals 10-19 years old,⁹⁴ these articles were included 13 despite the exclusion criteria of ≤18 years. One article did not specify the age of participants,⁷⁸ however, 14 specified participants were in grades 3-12, and was ultimately included. Most participants were children 15 without chronic health conditions, but some articles focused on characterizing PA during the pandemic for 16 children with various health conditions (e.g., autism spectrum disorder, congenital heart disease, chronic 17 respiratory disorders, obesity, type 1 diabetes, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; n=11).^{52,54,56,63,66,70,76,85,88,92,95} Some articles examined PA during the pandemic only 18 (n=13).^{35,45,55,57,61,68,69,77,82,86,91,93,96} while others compared PA from before to during the pandemic 19 (n=56).^{33,34,36-44,46-54,56,58-60,62-67,70-76,78-81,83-85,87-90,92,95,97-102} PA was reported by either the child (n=28),³⁸⁻ 20 41,43,48,52,56,58-62,64,68,74,76,77,80,86,89,91,96,97,99-102 the parent or guardian (n=32), 34,35,37,42,44,47,49-51,53-55,63,66,67,69,71-21 22 73,75,79,81-85,87,88,90,92,95,98 a different secondary subjective individual such as a school administrator (n=1),78 or a combination of these groups (n=5).^{36,45,57,65,93} Based on the NIH NHLBI Study Quality Assessment 23 24 Toolbox,³² 50 articles received a rating of "good," while 19 articles received a rating of "fair" (Table 1). 25 26 3.2 PA Measures 27 PA measures used in the included articles are depicted in Table 2. Online surveys were 28 predominantly used to collect PA data. Common PA questionnaires included the International Physical 29 Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ, short or long form; n=8)^{58,63,64,67,80,96,100,102} and the Physical Activity 30 Questionnaire for Adolescents (PAQ-A; n=5)^{38-41,101} or for children (PAQ-C; n=2).^{44,45} The PAQ-A 31 designates a physical activity level (PAL) based off a scale of 1-5: 1 designating no activity or a low

- 32 activity level and 5 designating a high activity level. A PAL score below 2.73 suggests an insufficient PAL
- and a score above 2.73 suggests a sufficient PAL. A few surveys took place in-person $(n=2)^{43,66}$ or over
- 34 the phone (n=4).^{37,54,56,95} Seven studies used objective measures to collect PA data, including the use of a
- 35 Fitbit,⁷⁰ accelerometer,^{33,37,48,62} smartphone sensors (e.g., pedometer),⁸⁹ or a 20-meter shuttle run test to
- 36 measure VO2 max.⁴⁶ The most prevalent FITT principle collected to determine PA was time (e.g.,
- 37 duration of PA; n=46),^{33,36,42,45,47,48,50,52-68,71-73,75,76,80-84,86,87,89-93,96-100} followed by frequency (n=30),^{38,39,43-}



- 1 45,49,51,52,59,60,63-65,68,69,71,73,74,76,77,79-81,84,90-92,96,102 type of exercise (n=30), 36,38,39,43-45,51,59,60,62,63,68,71-73,75,77-6,77,79-81,84,90-92,96,102
- 2 79,84,89-92,95-98,100,102 and intensity (n=25).33,36,45,48,52,53,59,62-64,67,68,71-74,79,80,84,91,96,97,99,100,102

4 3.3 Types of PA

3

5 Among the articles included, there were conflicting results concerning the effects of the pandemic 6 on the types of PA in which children participated. Five articles reported that outdoor PA declined during 7 the pandemic,^{71-73,95,98} while, in contrast, 3 studies reported that outdoor PA increased.^{37,51,90} The types of 8 outdoor PA included activities such as walking, biking, outdoor play, play at parks or other public spaces, 9 and sports. Eight studies reported many children (e.g., 82%)⁸⁴ were active at home.^{60,61,63,71,75,84,90,95} Some 10 studies reported the use of online platforms such as streaming services (e.g., YouTube), virtual classes 11 (e.g., yoga),^{45,75} or other virtual PA forms⁷⁹ to accumulate PA during the pandemic.^{78,91} In a US study, the most common forms of PA during the pandemic were unstructured play (90%) and walking (55%).75 In 12 13 contrast, a Chinese study reported the most common form of PA changed from outdoor activities (e.g.,

- 14 cycling) to indoor activities (e.g., rope skipping).⁹⁵
- 15

16 3.4 Extent to Which PA Changed During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Several studies (n=10) reported most children obtained <30 min/day or <3 hrs/week of PA,^{33,35,42,47,56,61,66,68,96,99} with 2 reporting most children participated in PA 0 days/week.^{35,68,99} Of the 12 articles ^{37,45,49,50,53,57,62,64,69,73,91,99} that reported the percentage of children meeting PA guidelines (i.e., 60 MVPA min/day), 1 article reported <10%,⁹¹ 9 articles reported 10-30%,^{45,49,53,57,62,64,69,73,99} and 2 articles reported >50% ^{37,50} of children met PA recommendations during the pandemic. All articles that utilized the PAQ-A to assess PA(n=5),^{38-41,101} reported a significant decrease in PAL.

23

24 Of the articles that compared PA before the pandemic to during the pandemic (n=56), ^{33,34,36-44,46-} 54,56,58-60,62-67,70-76,78-81,83-85,87-90,92,95,97-102 89% reported a decrease in PA (i.e., frequency, intensity, 25 26 type/duration, type) of children. Among these articles, the proportion of participants who reported a 27 decrease in PA behaviors during the pandemic ranged from 42%⁴³- 95%.⁴⁸ One study reported that 28 although the total minutes of PA/week did not significantly change, the duration and frequency of 29 organized PA decreased, while unstructured PA and outdoor play increased.⁹⁰ Similarly, another study 30 found 80.4% of high school students reported their MVPA stayed the same at 1.5 hrs/week.¹⁰⁰ Two 31 studies ^{37,59} reported an increase in PA (e.g., duration, frequency of meeting PA recommendations/week) 32 of children (n=4), 1 of which reported a specific increase in outdoor time compared to before the 33 pandemic.³⁷ One study reported 90.3% of preschoolers (3-5 years old) met PA guidelines of \leq 180 34 minutes of PA/day, with 60 minutes of MVPA/day.³⁷ The articles that reported PA (e.g., duration, 35 frequency of meeting PA recommendations per week) increased during the pandemic had samples from 36 Sweden,³⁷ Canada,⁷¹ and Germany.^{59,65} However, 4 studies from Canada,^{67,70,72,73} 4 studies from 37 Australia,^{84,88,89,92} and 1 study from Germany⁴³ reported PA (e.g., frequency, intensity, daily steps,



International Journal of Medical Students

meeting PA recommendations) decreased. For studies that included children with pre-existing health
 conditions (n=11),^{52,54,56,63,66,70,76,85,88,92,95} PA (e.g., daily steps, duration, frequency) decreased compared
 to before the pandemic. For example, among children with obesity in Italy, sports participation decreased
 by 2.30±4.60 hrs/week (p=0.003).⁵⁴

5

6 3.5 Biological, Environmental, and Social Factors Affecting PA During the COVID-19 Pandemic 7 Common trends were evident among the studies of this review that related factors such as 8 gender, age, and the environment outside as well as inside the home to the PA of children during the 9 pandemic. In general, boys had higher levels of PA (e.g., more minutes of PA/day or more likely to meet 10 PA recommendations) than girls. A study in Tunisia found that total PA (score from the Ricci and Gagnon 11 sedentary behavior questionnaire based on duration and intensity of PA) decreased by 17% and 7% 12 among girls and boys, respectively and. 97 Older children tended to have greater reductions in 13 time/duration of PA compared to younger children. For example, in 2 Spanish studies, PA decreased an 14 average of 43.3 min/day among preschoolers aged 4- 6 years old,³³ while PA decreased an average of 15 91 ± 55 min/day among older children aged 8- 16 years old.48 There were mixed results for PA of children 16 and parents' socioeconomic status (e.g., lower levels of PA were reported almost equally for both high 17 and low parental income and education). Children who lived in a rural area ⁸³ or had more outdoor space 18 to play ^{36,48,55,82} had lower reductions in PA (e.g., duration, less likely to report decreased PA, greater 19 percentage of day spent participating in PA). For example, children with access to an outdoor area at 20 home had a 1.4 ± 0.1 hrs/day reduction in PA compared to a 1.7 ± 0.1 hrs/day reduction in children 21 without access (p<.01).⁴⁸ In contrast, children who lived in a high-density neighborhood ⁷² or urban 22 area^{36,41} had greater reductions in PA (e.g., more likely to report decreased PA, less likely to meet PA 23 recommendations, greater reduction in PAL). Children who lived in an apartment had lower levels of PA 24 (e.g., decrease in duration of PA or less likely to meet PA recommendations) compared to children who 25 lived in a house.^{72,83} The environment inside the home also seemed to affect PA of children during the 26 pandemic. One study reported that children who lived in a home with more people had greater reductions 27 in duration of PA,83 while 3 studies reported children who lived in multi-child households (e.g., with 28 siblings) participated in more PA (e.g., greater duration or greater percentage of day spent participating in 29 PA)^{55,83} or more frequent outdoor play.^{51,72} Children who had less family conflict, more parental support, 30 and more family engagement in PA had greater durations of PA.53,73,76 However, children who had more 31 conflict with their parents or who had parents with higher stress levels had less PA (e.g., shorter duration 32 of PA or less likely to achieve a sufficient PAL).38,53



1 DISCUSSION.

2

3 The present study aimed to address the types of PA children participated in, the extent to which 4 PA (e.g., frequency, intensity, duration, and type) changed, and factors affecting PA during the pandemic. 5 Schools, active transportation (e.g., walking to and from school), afterschool programs, and sport 6 programs were predominant sources of MVPA prior to the pandemic.^{103,104} The stay-at-home orders and 7 social distancing policies put in place to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 restricted the ability of children 8 to obtain PA through these previously popular sources. While the studies in this review revealed walking, 9 outdoor activity, and activity at home were types of PA children engaged in during the pandemic, a study 10 before the pandemic found that children are less likely to participate in routine PA (e.g., going to the gym, 11 walking) compared to unstructured play.⁵ Social distancing restrictions could have contributed to shorter 12 durations of PA and overall decreased participation, despite walking becoming a common source of PA 13 during the pandemic.⁷⁵ In addition, some studies reported many children were active at home (e.g., 14 indoors or not at a park/public space).^{60,61,63,71,75,84,90,95} Studies before the pandemic have found that 15 indoor PA (e.g., at home, at school) tends to be a greater source of lighter intensity PA,¹⁰³ which could 16 help to explain the reduction in children able to meet MVPA recommendations during the pandemic. 17 18 The results of this review suggested that while there was heterogeneity in the extent to which the 19 pandemic affected PA (e.g., frequency, intensity, duration, and type) in children, overall, there was a 20 decrease in PA of children globally. Although the impact of the pandemic on PA of children is still 21 evolving, trends before the pandemic have shown children have lower levels of PA when they are not in 22 school.^{13,14} Studies that investigated changes in MVPA during time out of school (e.g., weekends or a 3-

week school break) found that MVPA decreased by 10-14 minutes compared to week days during the
 school year.^{13,105} In contrast, the reductions in PA presented by the studies in this review ranged from 32-

- 25 91 min/day.^{33,48,54,83,99} These results revealed that the decreases in time/duration of PA during the
- 26 pandemic may have been greater than those typically observed during days when children are not in
- 27 school. Prior to the pandemic, global PA levels of children were already low, with 81% of children
- 28 insufficiently physically active.¹² Of the studies that reported a decrease in PA during the pandemic, the
- 29 percentage of children who did not meet PA recommendations ranged from 80%- 99.7%.^{45,49,62,69,73,91} This
- 30 suggests that global levels of PA in children may have worsened during the pandemic.
- 31
- The results of the current study indicate that during the pandemic, boys, and younger children tended to have higher levels of PA (e.g., more likely to meet PA recommendations), which is consistent with PA trends that existed prior to the pandemic.^{104,106-109} Previous studies have found that self-efficacy is an important predictor of PA.^{108,110} Not only are girls less likely to participate in PA,¹¹¹ but they also tend to have a lower perceived ability to overcome PA barriers.¹¹² The social cognitive theory suggests that selfefficacy moderates the relationship between the social and physical environment and changes in PA,



- 1 such that individuals with a high self-efficacy for overcoming PA barriers should be more likely to
- 2 participate PA despite changes in the environment.¹¹³ With the changes in the social and physical
- 3 environment surrounding children during the pandemic, self-efficacy for overcoming PA barriers may
- 4 have played a role in the observed changes in PA. Similarly, lower levels of self-efficacy in girls may also
- 5 be due to lower levels of social support to participate in PA compared to boys.¹¹¹
- 7 The relationship between self-efficacy, social support, and PA may also apply to age-related 8 changes in PA. Several studies prior to the pandemic reported that children and adolescents with higher 9 levels of peer and family support had higher levels of PA (e.g., frequency, more likely to meet PA 10 recommendations).^{5,114-116} For example, 1 study found that adolescents with higher parental support were 11 40% more likely to achieve sufficient PA (60 minutes of MVPA/day).¹¹⁵ While it has been established that 12 PA (e.g., proportion of children meeting PA recommendations) decreases with age, 117-120 1 such study 13 reported that adolescents with greater self-efficacy had lower age-related reductions in PA.¹¹⁸ The 14 benefits of social support on child PA behaviors could have been especially critical in providing additional 15 support to children navigating barriers to PA during the pandemic. In addition, a past study also found that 16 older girls prefer to do PA at school or in a community setting than at home and participate in team 17 sports.121
- 18

6

19 The changes in PA behaviors during the pandemic were likely due to the intersectionality of many 20 social factors that resulted in decreased PA (e.g., frequency, intensity, duration), especially among girls 21 and older children. Greater participation in PA among children with greater social support could also 22 explain why children living in multi-child home had higher levels of PA (e.g., frequency, duration) during 23 the pandemic. Studies before the pandemic have shown that children with siblings or other children to 24 play with participated in more spontaneous and unstructured play.¹²²⁻¹²⁴ More children inside the home 25 may have been a protective factor for PA in children during the pandemic, as it could have offered greater 26 opportunities and incitement to participate in PA.

27

28 The studies in this review suggested that during the pandemic, children in rural areas had lower 29 reductions in PA (e.g., duration) compared to children in urban areas. While some studies before the 30 pandemic have shown MVPA of children was higher in environments with less urbanization, 125, 126 1 study 31 suggested children in suburban or rural areas have less PA.¹¹⁰ The inconclusive relationship between 32 rurality and PA in children could be due to the lack of a global definition of rurality and different 33 distributions of built environments that are conducive to PA in different countries. A study of low- and 34 medium-income countries in Africa found that urban development led to changes in the environment that 35 were less favorable for outdoor PA.¹²⁷ While outdoor time has been shown to be positively related to PA,¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰ rural environments do not guarantee higher levels of outdoor time. Instead, rural environments 36 37 may also create barriers to PA such as limited quantity of and access to resources that promote PA, such



7

1 as playgrounds, parks, trails, and other recreational areas. One study found that differences in MVPA 2 between children in rural and non-rural areas of the U.S. were mediated by differences in neighborhood 3 resources.¹³¹ Rather than comparing PA levels by rurality, more general characteristics of the PA 4 environment such as quantity of and accessibility to community recreational areas, neighborhood safety, 5 traffic levels, and walkability may be more helpful in identifying global factors that affected PA in children 6 during the pandemic.

8 In conclusion, the purpose of the present review was to synthesize the global impact of the 9 pandemic on PA of children. This review adds to the current body of literature on the effects of the 10 pandemic on PA by specifying changes in the frequency, intensity, duration, and type of PA performed by 11 children, as well as the proportion of children who met PA recommendations. The greatest strength of this 12 systematic review is the range of PA data presented for a wide geographic distribution. Information about 13 different changes in PA (e.g., frequency, intensity, duration, type), as well as characterizations of PA 14 during the pandemic may help to create a succinct picture of the impact of the pandemic on PA in 15 children. A limitation of the systematic review process is some relevant studies may have been missed in 16 the database search due to database selection and exclusion of studies not published in English. In 17 addition, the use of online surveys, the most frequent data-collecting method used in the included studies, 18 is an important limitation of this review, as surveys can only report subjective measures of PA. 19 Furthermore, studies that asked participants to recall PA behaviors prior to the pandemic could be 20 inaccurate. Moreover, online surveys may not have been accessible to individuals of low socioeconomic 21 status who may not have access to the internet. Limited representation of individuals of low 22 socioeconomic status could, therefore, affect the generalizability of the results of this review. 23 24 While many PA trends that existed prior to the pandemic persisted, the further reductions in 25 already low global PA levels in children is worrisome. As the world reopens and vaccines are distributed, 26 it is important to determine whether these PA trends will persist and for how long. Following a natural 27 disaster in East Japan in 2011, significantly decreased child PA levels persisted more than 3 years 28 later.¹³² Consistently insufficient levels of PA in children around the world may have detrimental effects on 29 overall population health. The results of this review may be helpful in identifying barriers and facilitators to 30 PA in children during the pandemic to determine what policies and programs would be most effective at 31 increasing PA of children after the pandemic and beyond. 32



1 REFERENCES.

2

- 1. Rundle AG, Park Y, Herbstman JB, Kinsey EW, Wang YC. COVID-19-Related School Closings and Risk of Weight Gain Among Children. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. Jun 2020;28(6):1008-1009.
- 4 doi:10.1002/oby.22813
- 5 2. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Situation Report. World Health Organization.
- 6 <u>https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports</u>
- 7 3. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, et al. The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic
- 8 (COVID-19): A review. Int J Surg. Jun 2020;78:185-193. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.04.018
- 9 4. Control CfD, Prevention. Low level lead exposure harms children: a renewed call for primary
- 10 *prevention.* US Department of Health & Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and ...; 2012.
- 11 5. Foster C, Moore JB, Singletary CR, Skelton JA. Physical activity and family-based obesity
- 12 treatment: a review of expert recommendations on physical activity in youth. *Clinical Obesity*.
- 13 2018;8(1):68-79. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cob.12230</u>
- 14 6. Thivel D, Tremblay A, Genin PM, Panahi S, Rivière D, Duclos M. Physical Activity, Inactivity, and
- 15 Sedentary Behaviors: Definitions and Implications in Occupational Health. Front Public Health.
- 16 2018;6:288-288. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2018.00288
- 17 7. Physical activity. World Health Organization. <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-</u>
- 18 <u>sheets/detail/physical-activity</u>
- 19 8. Chaput J-P, Willumsen J, Bull F, et al. 2020 WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary
- 20 behaviour for children and adolescents aged 5-17 years: summary of the evidence. *The international*
- journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2020;17(1):141-141. doi:10.1186/s12966-020-01037-z
- Janssen I, Leblanc AG. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in
 school-aged children and youth. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* May 11 2010;7:40. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-7-
- 24 40
- 25 10. Poitras VJ, Gray CE, Borghese MM, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between
- 26 objectively measured physical activity and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. Appl
- 27 Physiol Nutr Metab. Jun 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S197-239. doi:10.1139/apnm-2015-0663
- 28 11. Patterson R, McNamara E, Tainio M, et al. Sedentary behaviour and risk of all-cause,
- 29 cardiovascular and cancer mortality, and incident type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose
- 30 response meta-analysis. Eur J Epidemiol. Sep 2018;33(9):811-829. doi:10.1007/s10654-018-0380-1
- 31 12. Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, Bull FC. Global trends in insufficient physical activity among
- 32 adolescents: a pooled analysis of 298 population-based surveys with 1.6 million participants. The Lancet
- 33 Child & Adolescent Health. 2020/01/01/ 2020;4(1):23-35. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-
- 34 4642(19)30323-2
- 35 13. Brazendale K, Beets MW, Weaver RG, et al. Understanding differences between summer vs.
- 36 school obesogenic behaviors of children: the structured days hypothesis. International Journal of
- 37 Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2017/07/26 2017;14(1):100. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-0555-2



1 14. Franckle R, Adler R, Davison K. Accelerated weight gain among children during summer versus 2 school year and related racial/ethnic disparities: a systematic review. Prev Chronic Dis. Jun 12 3 2014;11:E101. doi:10.5888/pcd11.130355 4 15. Siebach MK, Piedimonte G, Ley SH. COVID-19 in childhood: Transmission, clinical presentation, 5 complications and risk factors. Pediatr Pulmonol. Jun 2021;56(6):1342-1356. doi:10.1002/ppul.25344 6 16. Dhochak N, Singhal T, Kabra SK, Lodha R. Pathophysiology of COVID-19: Why Children Fare 7 Better than Adults? Indian J Pediatr. Jul 2020;87(7):537-546. doi:10.1007/s12098-020-03322-y 8 17. Okuyama J, Seto S, Fukuda Y, et al. Mental Health and Physical Activity among Children and 9 Adolescents during the COVID-19 Pandemic. The Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine. 10 2021;253(3):203-215. doi:10.1620/tjem.253.203 11 18. Ghosh R, Dubey MJ, Chatteriee S, Dubey S. Impact of COVID -19 on children: special focus on 12 the psychosocial aspect. Minerva Pediatr. Jun 2020;72(3):226-235. doi:10.23736/s0026-4946.20.05887-9 13 19. Shrestha R, Copenhaver M. Long-Term Effects of Childhood Risk Factors on Cardiovascular 14 Health During Adulthood. Clin Med Rev Vasc Health. Aug 12 2015;7:1-5. doi:10.4137/cmrvh.S29964 15 20. Kallio P, Pahkala K, Heinonen OJ, et al. Physical inactivity from youth to adulthood and adult 16 cardiometabolic risk profile. Prev Med. 2021/04// 2021;145:106433. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2021.106433 17 21. Ruegsegger GN, Booth FW. Health Benefits of Exercise. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. Jul 2 18 2018;8(7)doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a029694 19 22. Lavie CJ, Ozemek C, Carbone S, Katzmarzyk PT, Blair SN. Sedentary Behavior, Exercise, and 20 Cardiovascular Health. Circ Res. Mar 2019;124(5):799-815. doi:10.1161/circresaha.118.312669 21 23. Booth FW, Roberts CK, Laye MJ. Lack of exercise is a major cause of chronic diseases. Compr 22 Physiol. Apr 2012;2(2):1143-211. doi:10.1002/cphy.c110025 23 24. Nieman DC, Wentz LM. The compelling link between physical activity and the body's defense 24 system. J Sport Health Sci. May 2019;8(3):201-217. doi:10.1016/i.jshs.2018.09.009 25 25. Nieman DC, Pence BD. Exercise immunology: Future directions. J Sport Health Sci. Sep. 26 2020;9(5):432-445. doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2019.12.003 27 26. Sallis R, Young DR, Tartof SY, et al. Physical inactivity is associated with a higher risk for severe 28 COVID-19 outcomes: a study in 48 440 adult patients. Br J Sports Med. Apr 13 29 2021;doi:10.1136/bjsports-2021-104080 30 27. Williams N, Radia T, Harman K, Agrawal P, Cook J, Gupta A. COVID-19 Severe acute respiratory 31 syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in children and adolescents: a systematic review of 32 critically unwell children and the association with underlying comorbidities. Eur J Pediatr. 33 2021;180(3):689-697. doi:10.1007/s00431-020-03801-6 34 28. Fernandes DM, Oliveira CR, Guerguis S, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 35 2 Clinical Syndromes and Predictors of Disease Severity in Hospitalized Children and Youth. J Pediatr. 36 2021/03// 2021;230:23-31.e10. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.11.016



1 29. Gao YD, Ding M, Dong X, et al. Risk factors for severe and critically ill COVID-19 patients: A 2 review. Allergy. Feb 2021;76(2):428-455. doi:10.1111/all.14657 3 30. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline 4 for reporting systematic reviews. Bmj. Mar 29 2021;372:n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71 5 Yomoda K, Kurita S. Influence of social distancing during the COVID-19 pandemic on physical 31. 6 activity in children: A scoping review of the literature. J Exerc Sci Fit. Jul 2021;19(3):195-203. 7 doi:10.1016/j.jesf.2021.04.002 8 32. Quality assessment tool for observational cohort and cross-sectional studies. National Heart, 9 Lung, and Blood Institute. https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/node/80102 10 33. Alonso-Martinez AM, Ramirez-Velez R, Garcia-Alonso Y, Izquierdo M, Garcia-Hermoso A. 11 Physical Activity, Sedentary Behavior, Sleep and Self-Regulation in Spanish Preschoolers during the 12 COVID-19 Lockdown. Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't. International Journal of Environmental 13 Research & Public Health [Electronic Resource]. 2021;18(2):15. 14 34. Androutsos O, Perperidi M, Georgiou C, Chouliaras G. Lifestyle changes and determinants of 15 children's and adolescents' body weight increase during the first COVID-19 lockdown in Greece: The 16 COV-EAT study. Article. Nutrients. 2021;13(3):1-11. doi:10.3390/nu13030930 17 35. Cachon-Zagalaz J, Zagalaz-Sanchez ML, Arufe-Giraldez V, Sanmiguel-Rodriguez A, Gonzalez-18 Valero G. Physical Activity and Daily Routine among Children Aged 0-12 during the COVID-19 Pandemic 19 in Spain. International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health [Electronic Resource]. 20 2021;18(2):15. 21 36. Chambonniere C, Lambert C, Fearnbach N, et al. Effect of the COVID-19 lockdown on physical 22 activity and sedentary behaviors in French children and adolescents: New results from the ONAPS 23 national survey. European Journal of Integrative Medicine. 2021;43:101308. 24 37. Delisle Nystrom C, Alexandrou C, Henstrom M, et al. International Study of Movement Behaviors 25 in the Early Years (SUNRISE): Results from SUNRISE Sweden's Pilot and COVID-19 Study. Research 26 Support, Non-U.S. Gov't. International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health [Electronic 27 Resource]. 2020;17(22):16. 28 38. Gilic B, Ostojic L, Corluka M, Volaric T, Sekulic D. Contextualizing Parental/Familial Influence on 29 Physical Activity in Adolescents before and during COVID-19 Pandemic: A Prospective Analysis. 30 Children. 2020;7(9):03. 31 39. Gilic B, Zenic N, Separovic V, Jurcev Savicevic A, Sekulic D. Evidencing the influence of pre-32 pandemic sports participation and substance misuse on physical activity during the COVID-19 lockdown: 33 a prospective analysis among older adolescents. International Journal of Occupational Medicine & 34 Environmental Health. 2021;22:22. 35 40. Sekulic D, Blazevic M, Gilic B, Kvesic I, Zenic N. Prospective Analysis of Levels and Correlates of 36 Physical Activity during COVID-19 Pandemic and Imposed Rules of Social Distancing; Gender Specific



- 1 Study among Adolescents from Southern Croatia. *Sustainability*. May 2020;12(10)4072.
- 2 doi:10.3390/su12104072
- 3 41. Zenic N, Taiar R, Gilic B, et al. Levels and Changes of Physical Activity in Adolescents during the
- 4 COVID-19 Pandemic: Contextualizing Urban vs. Rural Living Environment. *Applied Sciences-Basel*. Jun
- 5 2020;10(11)3997. doi:10.3390/app10113997
- 6 42. Francisco R, Pedro M, Delvecchio E, et al. Psychological Symptoms and Behavioral Changes in
- 7 Children and Adolescents During the Early Phase of COVID-19 Quarantine in Three European Countries.
- 8 Frontiers in psychiatry Frontiers Research Foundation. 2020;11:570164.
- 9 43. Hommes F, van Loon W, Thielecke M, et al. Sars-cov-2 infection, risk perception, behaviour and
- 10 preventive measures at schools in berlin, germany, during the early post-lockdown phase: A cross-
- 11 sectional study. Article. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;18(5):1-
- 12 12. doi:10.3390/ijerph18052739
- 13 44. Konstantinou C, Andrianou XD, Constantinou A, et al. Exposome changes in primary school
- 14 children following the wide population non-pharmacological interventions implemented due to COVID-19
- 15 in Cyprus: A national survey. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2021;32:100721.
- 16 45. Kovacs Va Md P, Starc GP, Brandes MP, et al. Physical activity, screen time and the COVID-19
- 17 school closures in Europe an observational study in 10 countries. *European Journal of Sport Science*
- 18 EJSS : Official Journal of the European College of Sport Science. 2021:1-26.
- Lopez-Bueno R, Calatayud J, Andersen LL, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness in adolescents before
 and after the COVID-19 confinement: a prospective cohort study. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2021;17:17.
- 21 47. Lopez-Bueno R, Lopez-Sanchez GF, Casajus JA, et al. Health-Related Behaviors Among School-
- 22 Aged Children and Adolescents During the Spanish Covid-19 Confinement. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*.
- 23 2020;8:573.
- 24 48. Medrano M, Cadenas-Sanchez C, Oses M, Arenaza L, Amasene M, Labayen I. Changes in
- 25 lifestyle behaviours during the COVID-19 confinement in Spanish children: A longitudinal analysis from
- the MUGI project. Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't. Pediatric Obesity. 2021;16(4):e12731.
- 27 49. Lopez-Gil JF, Tremblay MS, Brazo-Sayavera J. Changes in Healthy Behaviors and Meeting 24-h
- 28 Movement Guidelines in Spanish and Brazilian Preschoolers, Children and Adolescents during the
- 29 COVID-19 Lockdown. Children. 2021;8(2):26.
- 30 50. Morgul E, Kallitsoglou A, Essau CA. Psychological effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on children
- 31 and families in the UK. *Revista de Psicologia Clinica con Ninos y Adolescentes*. Sep 2020;7(3):42-48.
- 32 51. Poulain T, Meigen C, Sobek C, et al. Loss of childcare and classroom teaching during the Covid-
- 33 19-related lockdown in spring 2020: A longitudinal study on consequences on leisure behavior and
- 34 schoolwork at home. Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't. *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]*.
- 35 2021;16(3):e0247949.
- 36 52. Ng K, Cooper J, McHale F, Clifford J, Woods C. Barriers and facilitators to changes in adolescent
- 37 physical activity during COVID-19. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine*. 2020;6(1):e000919.



- 1 53. Orgiles M, Morales A, Delvecchio E, Mazzeschi C, Espada JP. Immediate Psychological Effects
- 2 of the COVID-19 Quarantine in Youth From Italy and Spain. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2020;11:579038.
- 3 54. Pietrobelli A, Pecoraro L, Ferruzzi A, et al. Effects of COVID-19 Lockdown on Lifestyle Behaviors
- 4 in Children with Obesity Living in Verona, Italy: A Longitudinal Study. Observational Study
- 5 Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural. *Obesity*. 2020;28(8):1382-1385.
- 6 55. Pombo A, Luz C, Rodrigues LP, Ferreira C, Cordovil R. Correlates of children's physical activity
- 7 during the COVID-19 confinement in Portugal. *Public Health*. 2020;189:14-19.
- 8 56. Predieri B, Leo F, Candia F, et al. Glycemic Control Improvement in Italian Children and
- 9 Adolescents With Type 1 Diabetes Followed Through Telemedicine During Lockdown Due to the COVID-
- 10 19 Pandemic. Observational Study. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*. 2020;11:595735.
- 11 57. Roe A, Blikstad-Balas M, Dalland CP. The Impact of COVID-19 and Homeschooling on Students'
- 12 Engagement With Physical Activity. *Frontiers in Sports & Active Living*. 2020;2:589227.
- 13 58. Ruiz-Roso MB, de Carvalho Padilha P, Matilla-Escalante DC, et al. Changes of Physical Activity
- 14 and Ultra-Processed Food Consumption in Adolescents from Different Countries during Covid-19
- 15 Pandemic: An Observational Study. Observational Study. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(8):30.
- 16 59. Schmidt SCE, Anedda B, Burchartz A, et al. Physical activity and screen time of children and
- 17 adolescents before and during the COVID-19 lockdown in Germany: a natural experiment. Clinical Trial
- 18 Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't. *Scientific Reports*. 2020;10(1):21780.
- 19 60. Schmidt T, Pawlowski CS. Physical Activity in Crisis: The Impact of COVID-19 on Danes' Physical
- 20 Activity Behavior. Frontiers in Sports & Active Living. 2020;2:610255.
- 21 61. Salzano G, Passanisi S, Pira F, et al. Quarantine due to the COVID-19 pandemic from the
- 22 perspective of adolescents: the crucial role of technology. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics*. 2021;47(1):40.
- 23 62. Ten Velde G, Lubrecht J, Arayess L, et al. Physical activity behaviour and screen time in Dutch
- children during the COVID-19 pandemic: Pre-, during- and post-school closures. *Pediatric Obesity*.
 2021:e12779.
- 26 63. Theis N, Campbell N, De Leeuw J, Owen M, Schenke KC. The effects of COVID-19 restrictions
- 27 on physical activity and mental health of children and young adults with physical and/or intellectual
- 28 disabilities. *Disability & Health Journal*. 2021:101064.
- 29 64. Tornaghi M, Lovecchio N, Vandoni M, Chirico A, Codella R. Physical activity levels across
- 30 COVID-19 outbreak in youngsters of Northwestern Lombardy. Journal of Sports Medicine & Physical
- 31 *Fitness*. 2020;03:03.
- 32 65. Wunsch K, Nigg C, Niessner C, et al. The Impact of COVID-19 on the Interrelation of Physical
- 33 Activity, Screen Time and Health-Related Quality of Life in Children and Adolescents in Germany: Results
- 34 of the Motorik-Modul Study. *Children*. 2021;8(2):02.



1 66. Zorcec T, Jakovska T, Micevska V, Boskovska K, Cholakovska VC. Pandemic with COVID-19 2 and Families with Children with Chronic Respiratory Diseases. Prilozi Makedonska Akademija Na Naukite 3 I Umetnostite Oddelenie Za Medicinski Nauki. 2020;41(2):95-101. 4 67. Carroll N, Sadowski A, Laila A, et al. The Impact of COVID-19 on Health Behavior, Stress, 5 Financial and Food Security among Middle to High Income Canadian Families with Young Children. 6 Nutrients. 2020;12(8):07. 7 68. Ellis WE, Dumas TM, Forbes LM. Physically isolated but socially connected: Psychological 8 adjustment and stress among adolescents during the initial COVID-19 crisis. Canadian Journal of 9 Behavioural Science / Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement. Jul 2020;52(3):177-187. 10 69. Guerrero MD, Vanderloo LM, Rhodes RE, Faulkner G, Moore SA, Tremblay MS. Canadian 11 children's and youth's adherence to the 24-h movement guidelines during the COVID-19 pandemic: A 12 decision tree analysis. Journal of Sport & Health Science. 2020;9(4):313-321. 13 70. Hemphill NM, Kuan MTY, Harris KC. Reduced Physical Activity During COVID-19 Pandemic in 14 Children With Congenital Heart Disease. Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2020;36(7):1130-1134. 15 71. McCormack GR, Doyle-Baker PK, Petersen JA, Ghoneim D. Parent anxiety and perceptions of 16 their child's physical activity and sedentary behaviour during the COVID-19 pandemic in Canada. 17 Preventive Medicine Reports. 2020;20:101275. 18 72. Mitra R, Moore SA, Gillespie M, et al. Healthy movement behaviours in children and youth during 19 the COVID-19 pandemic: Exploring the role of the neighbourhood environment. Research Support, Non-20 U.S. Gov't. Health & Place. 2020;65:102418. 21 73. Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement 22 and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: a national survey. International Journal of 23 Behavioral Nutrition & Physical Activity. 2020;17(1):85. 24 74. Bazett-Jones DM, Garcia MC, Taylor-Haas JA, et al. Impact of COVID-19 Social Distancing 25 Restrictions on Training Habits, Injury, and Care Seeking Behavior in Youth Long-Distance Runners. 26 Frontiers in Sports & Active Living. 2020;2:586141. 27 75. Dunton GF, Do B, Wang SD. Early effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity and 28 sedentary behavior in children living in the U.S. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):1351. 29 Garcia JM, Lawrence S, Brazendale K, Leahy N, Fukuda D. Brief report: The impact of the 76. 30 COVID-19 pandemic on health behaviors in adolescents with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Disability & 31 Health Journal. 2020:101021. 32 77. McGuine TA, Biese KM, Petrovska L, et al. Mental Health, Physical Activity, and Quality of Life of 33 US Adolescent Athletes During COVID-19-Related School Closures and Sport Cancellations: A Study of 34 13 000 Athletes. Journal of Athletic Training. 2020;08:08. 35 78. Pavlovic A, DeFina LF, Natale BL, et al. Keeping children healthy during and after COVID-19 36 pandemic: meeting youth physical activity needs. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):485.



1	79. Tulchin-Francis K, Stevens W, Jr., Gu X, et al. The impact of the coronavirus disease 2019
2	pandemic on physical activity in US children. Journal of Sport & Health Science. 2021;28:28.
3	80. de Matos DG, Aidar FJ, De Almeida PF, et al. The Impact of Measures Recommended by the
4	Government to Limit the Spread of Coronavirus (COVID-19) on Physical Activity Levels, Quality of Life,
5	and Mental Health of Brazilians. Sustainability. Nov 2020;12(21)9072. doi:10.3390/su12219072
6	81. Sa C, Pombo A, Luz C, Rodrigues LP, Cordovil R. Covid-19 Social Isolation in Brazil: Effects on
7	the Physical Activity Routine of Families with Children. Revista Paulista de Pediatria. 2020;39:e2020159.
8	82. Siegle CBH, Pombo A, Luz C, Rodrigues LP, Cordovil R, Sa C. Influences of Family and
9	Household Characteristics on Children's Level of Physical Activity during Social Distancing Due to Covid-
10	19 in Brazil. Revista Paulista de Pediatria. 2020;39:e2020297.
11	83. Aguilar-Farias N, Toledo-Vargas M, Miranda-Marquez S, et al. Sociodemographic Predictors of
12	Changes in Physical Activity, Screen Time, and Sleep among Toddlers and Preschoolers in Chile during
13	the COVID-19 Pandemic. International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health [Electronic
14	Resource]. 2020;18(1):29.
15	84. Reece LJ, Owen K, Foley B, Rose C, Bellew B, Bauman A. Understanding the impact of COVID-
16	19 on children's physical activity levels in NSW, Australia. Letter. Health Promotion Journal of Australia.
17	2020;17:17.
18	85. Cahal M, Amirav I, Diamant N, Be'er M, Besor O, Lavie M. Real-time effects of COVID-19
19	pandemic lockdown on pediatric respiratory patients. Pediatric Pulmonology. 2021;17:17.
20	86. Chaturvedi K, Vishwakarma DK, Singh N. COVID-19 and its impact on education, social life and
21	mental health of students: A survey. Children & Youth Services Review. 2021;121:105866.
22	87. Ghanamah R, Eghbaria-Ghanamah H. Impact of covid-19 pandemic on behavioral and emotional
23	aspects and daily routines of Arab israeli children. Article. International Journal of Environmental
24	Research and Public Health. 2021;18(6):1-19. doi:10.3390/ijerph18062946
25	88. Masi A, Mendoza Diaz A, Tully L, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the well-being of
26	children with neurodevelopmental disabilities and their parents. Journal of Paediatrics & Child Health.
27	2021;10:10.
28	89. Munasinghe S, Sperandei S, Freebairn L, et al. The Impact of Physical Distancing Policies During
29	the COVID-19 Pandemic on Health and Well-Being Among Australian Adolescents. Journal of Adolescent
30	Health. 2020;67(5):653-661.
31	90. Nathan A, George P, Ng M, et al. Impact of covid-19 restrictions on western Australian children's
32	physical activity and screen time. Article. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public
33	<i>Health</i> . 2021;18(5):1-13. doi:10.3390/ijerph18052583
34	91. Parker K, Uddin R, Ridgers ND, et al. The Use of Digital Platforms for Adults' and Adolescents'
35	Physical Activity During the COVID-19 Pandemic (Our Life at Home): Survey Study. Journal of Medical
36	Internet Research. 2021;23(2):e23389.



1 92. Sciberras E, Patel P, Stokes MA, et al. Physical Health, Media Use, and Mental Health in 2 Children and Adolescents With ADHD During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Australia. Journal of Attention 3 Disorders. 2020:1087054720978549. 4 93. Zhao Y, Guo Y, Xiao Y, et al. The Effects of Online Homeschooling on Children, Parents, and 5 Teachers of Grades 1-9 During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Medical Science Monitor. 2020;26:e925591. 6 94. Adolescent Health. World Health Organization. 3/22/2022, 2022. https://www.who.int/health-7 topics/adolescent-health#tab=tab 1 8 95. Wu X, Luo S, Zheng X, et al. Glycemic control in children and teenagers with type 1 diabetes 9 around lockdown for COVID-19: A continuous glucose monitoring-based observational study. Journal of 10 Diabetes Investigation. 2021;04:04. 11 96. Zhang X, Zhu W, Kang S, Qiu L, Lu Z, Sun Y. Association between Physical Activity and Mood 12 States of Children and Adolescents in Social Isolation during the COVID-19 Epidemic. Research Support, 13 Non-U.S. Gov't. International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health [Electronic Resource]. 14 2020;17(20):21. 15 97. Abid R, Ammar A, Maaloul R, Souissi N, Hammouda O. Effect of COVID-19-related home 16 confinement on sleep quality, screen time and physical activity in tunisian boys and girls: A survey. 17 Article. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;18(6):1-12. 18 doi:10.3390/ijerph18063065 19 98. Shinomiya Y, Yoshizaki A, Murata E, Fujisawa TX, Taniike M, Mohri I. Sleep and the General 20 Behavior of Infants and Parents during the Closure of Schools as a Result of the COVID-19 Pandemic: 21 Comparison with 2019 Data. Children. 2021;8(2):22. 22 99. Xiang M, Zhang Z, Kuwahara K. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescents' 23 lifestyle behavior larger than expected. Letter 24 Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't. Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases. 2020;63(4):531-532. 25 100. Yang S, Guo B, Ao L, et al. Obesity and activity patterns before and during COVID-19 lockdown 26 among youths in China. Clinical Obesity. 2020;10(6):e12416. 27 101. Elnaggar RK, Algahtani BA, Mahmoud WS, Elfakharany MS. Physical Activity in Adolescents 28 During the Social Distancing Policies of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health. 29 2020;32(8):491-494. 30 102. Jia P, Zhang L, Yu W, et al. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on activity patterns and weight status 31 among youths in China: the COVID-19 Impact on Lifestyle Change Survey (COINLICS). International 32 Journal of Obesity. 2021;45(3):695-699. 33 103. Prince SA, Butler GP, Rao DP, Thompson W. Evidence synthesis - Where are children and adults 34 physically active and sedentary? - a rapid review of location-based studies. Synthèse des données 35 probantes - Examen rapide des études spatialisées traitant des lieux d'activité physique et de sédentarité 36 des enfants et des adultes. Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can. 2019;39(3):67-103. 37 doi:10.24095/hpcdp.39.3.01



- 1 Kelso A, Reimers AK, Abu-Omar K, et al. Locations of Physical Activity: Where Are Children, 104. 2 Adolescents, and Adults Physically Active? A Systematic Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. Jan 30 3 2021;18(3)doi:10.3390/ijerph18031240 4 105. Weaver RG, Beets MW, Perry M, et al. Changes in children's sleep and physical activity during a 5 1-week versus a 3-week break from school: a natural experiment. Sleep. 2019;42(1):zsy205. 6 doi:10.1093/sleep/zsy205 7 106. Marzi I, Demetriou Y, Reimers AK. Social and physical environmental correlates of independent 8 mobility in children: a systematic review taking sex/gender differences into account. Int J Health Geogr. 9 Jul 3 2018;17(1):24. doi:10.1186/s12942-018-0145-9 10 107. Steene-Johannessen J, Hansen BH, Dalene KE, et al. Variations in accelerometry measured 11 physical activity and sedentary time across Europe - harmonized analyses of 47,497 children and 12 adolescents. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. Mar 18 2020;17(1):38. doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00930-x 13 108. D'Angelo H, Fowler SL, Nebeling LC, Oh AY. Adolescent Physical Activity: Moderation of 14 Individual Factors by Neighborhood Environment. Am J Prev Med. Jun 2017;52(6):888-894. 15 doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2017.01.013 16 109. Alharbi M. Influence of individual and family factors on physical activity among Saudi girls: a 17 cross-sectional study. Ann Saudi Med. Jan-Feb 2019;39(1):13-21. doi:10.5144/0256-4947.2019.13 18 110. Lu C, Stolk RP, Sauer PJ, et al. Factors of physical activity among Chinese children and 19 adolescents: a systematic review. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. Mar 21 2017;14(1):36. doi:10.1186/s12966-20 017-0486-y 21 Reimers AK, Schmidt SCE, Demetriou Y, Marzi I, Woll A. Parental and peer support and 111. 22 modelling in relation to domain-specific physical activity participation in boys and girls from Germany.
- 23 PLoS One. 2019;14(10):e0223928. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0223928
- 24 112. Best K, Ball K, Zarnowiecki D, Stanley R, Dollman J. In Search of Consistent Predictors of
- 25 Children's Physical Activity. Int J Environ Res Public Health. Oct 20
- 26 2017;14(10)doi:10.3390/ijerph14101258
- 27 113. Dishman RK, McIver KL, Dowda M, Saunders RP, Pate RR. Self-efficacy, beliefs, and goals:
- 28 Moderation of declining physical activity during adolescence. *Health Psychol.* 2019;38(6):483-493.
- 29 doi:10.1037/hea0000734
- 30 114. Burns RD, Bai Y, Fu Y, Pfledderer CD, Brusseau TA. Parent Engagement and Support, Physical
- 31 Activity, and Academic Performance (PESPAAP): A Proposed Theoretical Model. Int J Environ Res
- 32 Public Health. Nov 26 2019;16(23)doi:10.3390/ijerph16234698
- 33 115. Khan SR, Uddin R, Mandic S, Khan A. Parental and Peer Support are Associated with Physical
- 34 Activity in Adolescents: Evidence from 74 Countries. Int J Environ Res Public Health. Jun 20
- 35 2020;17(12)doi:10.3390/ijerph17124435
- 36 116. Haidar A, Ranjit N, Archer N, Hoelscher DM. Parental and peer social support is associated with
- 37 healthier physical activity behaviors in adolescents: a cross-sectional analysis of Texas School Physical



1	Activity and Nutrition (TX SPAN) data. BMC Public Health. May 27 2019;19(1):640. doi:10.1186/s12889-
2	117 Cooper AB. Coodman A. Bage AS, et al. Objectively measured physical activity and appendentary
5	time in youth: the Internetional children's appeleremetry database (ICAD). The internetional journal of
4 5	time in yourn, the international children's accelerometry database (ICAD). The international journal of
5	119 Cragge C. Cordor K. van Sluije EM. Griffin S.L. Determinante of change in physical activity in
0	children and adolescents: a systematic review. Am / Prov Med. Jun 2011;40(6):645.59
8	doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2011.02.025
9	119. Hu D, Zhou S, Crowley-McHattan ZJ, Liu Z. Factors That Influence Participation in Physical
10	Activity in School-Aged Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review from the Social Ecological Model
11	Perspective. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021;18(6):3147.
12	doi:10.3390/ijerph18063147
13	120. Corder K, Sharp SJ, Atkin AJ, et al. Change in objectively measured physical activity during the
14	transition to adolescence. Br J Sports Med. Jun 2015;49(11):730-6. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2013-093190
15	121. Pate RR, Sallis JF, Ward DS, et al. Age-related changes in types and contexts of physical activity
16	in middle school girls. Am J Prev Med. Nov 2010;39(5):433-9. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2010.07.013
17	122. Rhodes RE, Guerrero MD, Vanderloo LM, et al. Development of a consensus statement on the
18	role of the family in the physical activity, sedentary, and sleep behaviours of children and youth. Int J
19	Behav Nutr Phys Act. Jun 16 2020;17(1):74. doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00973-0
20	123. Edwards MJ, Jago R, Sebire SJ, Kesten JM, Pool L, Thompson JL. The influence of friends and
21	siblings on the physical activity and screen viewing behaviours of children aged 5-6 years: a qualitative
22	analysis of parent interviews. BMJ Open. May 14 2015;5(5):e006593. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006593
23	124. Loebach J, Sanches M, Jaffe J, Elton-Marshall T. Paving the Way for Outdoor Play: Examining
24	Socio-Environmental Barriers to Community-Based Outdoor Play. Int J Environ Res Public Health. Mar 31
25	2021;18(7)doi:10.3390/ijerph18073617
26	125. Euler R, Jimenez EY, Sanders S, et al. Rural-Urban Differences in Baseline Dietary Intake and
27	Physical Activity Levels of Adolescents. Prev Chronic Dis. Jan 3 2019;16:E01. doi:10.5888/pcd16.180200
28	126. Rivera-Ochoa M, Brazo-Sayavera J, Vizmanos-Lamotte B, et al. Health-Related Factors in Rural
29	and Urban Mexican Adolescents from the State of Jalisco: The HELENA-MEX Study. Int J Environ Res
30	Public Health. Dec 2 2020;17(23)doi:10.3390/ijerph17238959
31	127. Adebusoye B, Phalkey R, Leonardi-Bee J, Chattopadhyay K. Association of the built environment
32	with physical activity in children and adolescents in Africa: a systematic review protocol. JBI Evidence
33	<i>Synthesis</i> . 2020;18(3):553-563. doi:10.11124/jbisrir-d-19-00162
34	128. Gray C, Gibbons R, Larouche R, et al. What Is the Relationship between Outdoor Time and
35	Physical Activity, Sedentary Behaviour, and Physical Fitness in Children? A Systematic Review. Int J
36	Environ Res Public Health. Jun 8 2015;12(6):6455-74. doi:10.3390/ijerph120606455



- 1 129. Larouche R, Garriguet D, Gunnell KE, Goldfield GS, Tremblay MS. Outdoor time, physical
- 2 activity, sedentary time, and health indicators at ages 7 to 14: 2012/2013 Canadian Health Measures
- 3 Survey. *Health Rep.* Sep 21 2016;27(9):3-13.
- 4 130. Lindsay AC, Greaney ML, Wallington SF, Mesa T, Salas CF. A review of early influences on
- 5 physical activity and sedentary behaviors of preschool-age children in high-income countries. *Journal for*
- 6 Specialists in Pediatric Nursing. 2017;22(3):e12182. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/jspn.12182
- 7 131. Christiana RW, Bouldin ED, Battista RA. Active living environments mediate rural and non-rural
- 8 differences in physical activity, active transportation, and screen time among adolescents. *Prev Med Rep.*
- 9 2021;23:101422-101422. doi:10.1016/j.pmedr.2021.101422

- 10 132. Okazaki K, Suzuki K, Sakamoto Y, Sasaki K. Physical activity and sedentary behavior among
- 11 children and adolescents living in an area affected by the 2011 Great East Japan earthquake and tsunami
- 12 for 3 years. *Prev Med Rep.* 2015;2:720-724. doi:10.1016/j.pmedr.2015.08.010
- 13



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of MEDICAL STUDENTS

23

1

SUMMARY - ACCELERATING TRANSLATION

3 The goal of "Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Physical Activity in Children: A Systematic 4 Review" was to synthesize the global impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity of children. 5 Identifying changes in physical activity of children is important for understanding the extent to which the 6 pandemic has affected already low levels of global physical activity among children. In addition, recognizing 7 the biological, social, and environmental factors that affected changes in physical activity is critical for the 8 development of effective programs to prevent short- and long-term health consequences. To the authors' 9 knowledge, this is the first review to summarize the literature at the time of the data search regarding the 10 global impact of the pandemic on the duration and types of physical activity children participated in and what 11 biological, social, and environmental factors contributed to those changes. Preferred Reporting Items for 12 Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were used to conduct a database search on 13 03/29/2021. Included studies were peer- reviewed, in English language, and included both a measure of 14 physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic and physical activity data for children aged 18 and younger. 15 The search yielded 673 unique citations and, ultimately, 69 articles were included in the review. The results of 16 the study found that during the COVID-19 pandemic, frequently reported physical activity included walking, 17 unstructured play, and virtual physical activity through online platforms. Of the articles that reported changes 18 in physical activity of children during the COVID-19 pandemic, 89% reported physical activity (e.g., frequency, 19 intensity, duration, or the percentage of children who met physical activity recommendations) of children 20 decreased. Boys, younger children, children who lived with other children, and children with more outdoor play 21 space had higher levels of physical activity. From these results, it was concluded that the COVID-19 22 pandemic resulted in decreased physical activity among children around the world. The results of this review 23 may be helpful in identifying barriers and facilitators to physical activity in children during the COVID-19 24 pandemic. Future work in policy and program development is needed to target physical activity of children amic. 25 beyond the COVID-19 pandemic.



FIGURES AND TABLES.







1 **Figure 2.** Sample Source (Countries) of Included Articles.

CCK







- 1 Table 1. Characteristics of Included Articles (N=69) and Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Physical Activity
- 2 (PA) and Biological, Environmental, and Social Factors that Affected PA.

Article Number	Author (Year)	PA Measure;PA Data Reported by ^a	Sample Source (Country)	Sample Size	Age Ranges	Findings	Biological, Environmental, and Social Factors that Affected PA	NIH NHLBI Study Quality Assessment
34	Alonso- Martinez (2021)	Wrist-worn GENEActiv tri-axial acceleromete r	Spain	Before the COVID-19 pandemic: 268 children During the COVID-19 pandemic: 145, March to April 2020; device- measured PA n=21 children	4-6	On average, preschoolers had 43.3 minutes less/day (95% confidence interval (CI) -68.1 to -18.5 (p=.002)) of total PA during the COVID-19 pandemic. MVPA also decreased an average of 17 min/day (p<0.001).	Children who met PA recommendations had lower internalizing scores (i.e. social withdrawal, shyness, anxiety).	Good
35	Androutsos (2021)	Online survey; 2	Greece	397 children	2-18	During lockdown, 66.9% of parents reported their child's PA level decreased.	Decreased PA was associated with body weight increase (p<0.001).	Good
36	Cachon- Zagalaz (2021)	Online survey; 2	Spain	837 children	0-12	The highest percentage of participants (34%) spent 0 days/week on PA during lockdown, followed by 32.6% for 2-3 days/week, 19.6% for 4-5days/week, and 13% for 6-7 days/week.	Children with an established routine/schedule (M = 38.13; SD = 35.01) and children in the 6 to 12 years old age group (M = 38.95; SD = 30.25) reported higher levels of daily PA. 34.8% of the sample reported 0 days/week of PA during the COVID-19 pandemic	Good
37	Chambonnier e (2021)	Online survey; 1,2	France	6491 children	6-17	During lockdown, PA decreased for 42% of children, stayed the same for 21.3% of children, and increased for 36.7% of children. Similarly, PA decreased for 58.7%, stayed the same for 21.8%, and increased for 19.6% of adolescents.	PA decreased among 35.2% of children in rural areas, 46.7% of children in suburban areas, and 47.9% of children in urban areas (PA was significantly associated with geographic location p<0.001). Living in an urban environment was associated with a decrease in PA (p<0.001). Among children without access to an outdoor area, 64.2% reported decreased PA, while among children with access to an outdoor area, only 37.8% reported decrease PA during the COVID-19 pandemic (p<0.001). The proportion of participants who increased, decreased or did not change their PAL during the lockdown was significantly associated with gender in adolescents (p<0.001). but not in children	Good
								28



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of MEDICAL STUDENTS

							adolescents who were more active prior to the COVID-19 pandemic had greater reductions in PA compared to those who were initially less active (p>0.001).	
38	Delisle (2020)	Telephone questionnaire ; 2	Sweden	100 children	3-5	PA (+53 min/day) and outdoor time on weekdays (+124 min/day) and weekends (+68 min/day) significantly increased (all p-values ≤ 0.001). PA guidelines were met by 90.3% (n = 65) of the children.	Boys had higher levels of PA ($262.5 \pm 60.3 vs.$ 220.4 ± 39.2 min, p = 0.002), MVPA ($136.9 \pm$ $43.8 vs. 107.8 \pm$ 29.2 min, p = 0.004), and vigorous PA ($39.0 \pm$ $\pm 19.4 vs. 29.4 \pm$ 15.7 min, p = 0.028) compared to girls. However, gender was not significantly associated with meeting PA recommendations .	Good
39	Gilic, B., et al. (2020).	PAQ-A; 1	Bosnia and Herzegovin a	688 children	15-18	Compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, the PAL of the entire sample decreased (from 2.98 \pm 0.71 to 2.31 \pm 0.68; t-test: 11.88, p < 0.001), as well as among girls (2.69 \pm 0.49 to 1.95 \pm 0.56; t-test: 8.88, p < 0.001) and boys (from 3.12 \pm 0.56 to 2.50 \pm 0.44; t-test: 10.01, p < 0.01).	Boys were more likely to achieve a sufficient PAL during the COVID-19 pandemic (OR: 2.41, 95%CI: 1.11–4.01). Higher paternal education was associated with a greater likelihood of achieving a sufficient PAL during the COVID-19 pandemic (OR: 1.33, 95%CI: 1.39, 25%CI: 1.19–2.01). In contrast, children with more parental/family conflict had a lower likelihood of achieving a sufficient PAL (OR: 0.77, 95%CI: 0.60– 0.99).	Good
40	Gilic, B., et al. (2021).	PAQ-A; 1	Bosnia and Herzegovin a	661 children	15-18	67% of boys and 28% of girls (48% overall) had a sufficient PAL before the COVID-19 pandemic, while 37% of boys and 9% of girls (24% overall) had a sufficient PAL during the COVID-19 pandemic (April 2020).	Children with a sufficient PAL during the COVID-19 pandemic had higher participation in individual and team sports prior to the COVID-19 pandemic (MWZ: 6.65, 7.95, respectively, all p < 0.01).	Good
41	Sekulic, D., et al. (2020).	PAQ-A; 1	Croatia	388 children	15-18	There was a significant decrease in PAL of children from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic $(2.99 \pm 0.70 \text{ and } 2.67 \pm 0.60 \text{ for PAL-BL}$ and PAL-FU, respectively; t-test = 3.46, p < 0.001).	The decrease in PAL of boys (3.10 \pm 0.78 and 2.79 \pm 0.82 for PAL-BL and PAL-FU, respectively; t-test = 5.15, p < 0.001), but not girls (2.71 \pm 0.66 and 2.59 \pm 0.90, respectively; t-test = 0.61, p > 0.05) was statistically significant. Boys had significantly	Good

International Journal of MEDICAL STUDENTS

							higher PALs than girls during the COVID-19 pandemic (t-test = 2.11 , p < 0.05), with	
42	Zenic, N., et al. (2020).	PAQ-A; 1	Croatia	823 children	14-18	PAL decreased among the entire sample (from 2.97 ± 0.61 to 2.63 ± 0.68 ,p<0.01) as well as for urban adolescents (from 3.11\pm0.64 to 2.68 ± 0.67 ,p<0.001).	Children who lived in urban areas had greater reductions in PA level.	Good
43	Francisco, R., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Italy, Spain, and Portugal.	1480 children	3-18	During the COVID-19 pandemic, most children experienced <30 min of PA daily (53%) compared to 30 to 60 minutes daily before the COVID-19 pandemic (33.1%). The changes in PA from before to during quarantine were significant for the entire sample ($z = -25.56$, $p <$ 0.001, $r = 0.66$) as well as for each country (Italy: $z =$ -16.08, $p < 0.001$, $r =0.60; Spain: z = -15.45, p< 0.001$, $r = 0.74$; Portugal: z = -12.48, $p < 0.001$, $r =0.66)$	Not reported.	Good
44	Hommes, F., et al. (2021).	Questionnair e; 1	Germany	385 children	8-18	42.2% of primary school students (8 to 13 years old) reported decreased PA during the COVID-19 pandemic.	A greater proportion of primary school students (8 to 13 years old) reported decreased PA than secondary school students (13 to 18 years old) during the COVID-19 pandemic.	Good
45	Konstantinou , C., et al. (2021).	PAQ-C; 2	Greece	1509 children	5-14	Compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, children's PA decreased in school and out of school after schools were re- opened (p<0.001). The frequency of activities (i.e., sports, cycling, dance) children engaged with also decreased compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic (post-COVID-19 pandemic: median [Q1, Q3]: 1.38 [1.25, 1.62]) vs. pre-COVID-19 pandemic: 1.5 [1.38, 1.75]).	Not reported.	Fair
46	Kovacs Va Md, P., et al. (2021).	PAQ-C; 1, 2	Russian Federation, Spain, Italy, Germany, France, Belgium, Portugal, Romania, Hungary, Poland, and Slovenia	8395 children	6-18	Among the entire sample, children met PA guidelines an average of 4 days/week and 19% of children met the 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA/day guideline during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, most countries, individually, had < 20% of children able to meet PA recommendations (range of 7.5% to 26.7%). Slovenia and Romania had the greatest proportion of children able to meet PA recommendations (26.7% and 23.5%) as well as the greatest proportion of children playing outside for more than 2 hrs/day (56.1% and 66.3%). During the COVID-19 pandemic, 56.6% of children were active in online P.E	In countries mildly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic (Germany, Romania, Poland, Slovenia, and Hungary), children were more likely to meet PA recommendations if they had a structured daily routine (OR = 1.62 [95%CI, 1.24–2.13]). Children were also more likely to meet PA recommendations if they played outdoors for more than 2 hrs/day, however this relationship was more predominant among older children (OR =	Good



							2.56 [95%Cl, 1.98–3.32]). Among older children in countries strongly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic (Spain, Italy, France, Russia, Portugal), children who participated in online P.E. were more likely to have higher levels of PA (OR = 1.27 [95%Cl, 1.12–1.44]).	
47	Lopez- Bueno, R., et al. (2021).	VO2 (20m shuttle run)	Spain	89 children	12-14	The average VO2 max of the sample decreased 0.5 ml.kg-1.min-1 (SD 0.3) (p = 0.12) from before to after the COVID-19 pandemic (before: 46.2 ml.kg-1.min-1 (SD 0.6), after: 45.7 ml.kg-1.min-1 (SD 0.7)). The greatest reduction in VO2 max was reported for 14-year-old girls, with a reduction of 1.5 ml.kg-1.min-1 (SD 0.6) (p=0.02).	Not reported.	Good
48	Lopez- Bueno, R., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Spain	860 children	3-16	PA decreased for all gender and age groups from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic, with an average of 96.1 minutes of PA/week during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to 198.6 (SD 180.9) minutes of PA/week before (-102.5 minutes/week). The greatest reduction in PA was among 6- to 12-year- old children with a reduction of 120.4 (SD 159.0) min/week.	Boys and younger children participated in the most weekly minutes of PA (211.9 (SD 188.4) and 223.0 (SD 198.0) min/wk).	Good
49	Medrano, M., et al. (2021).	"The Youth Activity Profile" questionnaire (YAP); 1	Spain	113 children	8-16	Children participated in PA an average of 63 (SD 39) min/day during the COVID-19 pandemic, with an average decrease in PA of 91 ± 55 min/day (P < .001) compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic. Decreased PA was reported among 95.2% of children during the COVID-19 pandemic.	Children of mothers with a higher education level had smaller reductions in PA during the COVID-19 pandemic $(-1.3 \pm 0.1$ hour/day vs -1.7 ± 0.1 hour/day, P < .005). Children with access to an outdoor area at home or a large indoor space (i.e. attic or garage) also had lower reductions in PA compared to children without access. $(-1.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ h/d vs} -1.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ h/d}, P < .01).$	Good
50	Lopez-Gil, J. F., et al. (2021)	Online survey; 2	Spain and Brazil	1099 children	3-17	Compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, Spanish and Brazilian children engaged in less days of PA/week during the COVID-19 pandemic ($p<0.001$, $p<0.001$). Before the COVID-19 pandemic, 34.6% of Spanish children and 30.6% of Brazilian children met PA recommendations. During the COVID-19 pandemic, 26.5% of Spanish children and 21.8% of Brazilian children met PA recommendations.	Not reported.	Good



International Journal of MEDICAL STUDENTS

International Journal of Medical Students

51	Morgul, E., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	UK	927 caregivers	5-11	Daily PA of children significantly decreased during the COVID-19 pandemic ($x2$ (15, n=927) = 121.26, p < .001). Before the COVID-19 pandemic, 67.3% of children met PA recommendations (at least 60 min of PA/day), while 51.1% of children met PA recommendations during the COVID-19 pandemic	Not reported.	Good
52	Poulain, T., et al. (2021).	Online questionnaire ; 2	Germany	285 children	1-10	From before the during the COVID-19 pandemic, there was a significant decrease in indoor sports (p=0.001) and an increase in the frequency of outdoor play (before 60%, during 71%).	Lower SES was associated with less frequent outdoor play, while more frequent outdoor play was significantly associated with more children at home (hcc0.001)	Fair
53	Ng, K., et al. (2020).	PACE +; 1	Ireland	1214 children	12-18	During the COVID-19 pandemic, 50% of children reported decreased PA, 30% reported no change in PA, and 20% reported increased PA compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic. The most frequently reported barriers to PA included coronavirus and sports cancellation, while facilitators to PA included having nothing else to do, school cancellation, and going on walks.	Children with well-established PA habits before the COVID-19 pandemic were less likely (OR=0.4, CI=0.2– 0.6) to report decreased PA, while overweight (OR=1.8, CI=1.2– 2.7) or obese (OR=2.2, CI=1.2– 4.0) children were more likely to report decreased PA during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, participation in strength-training exercises a minimum of three times in the past week was strongly associated with higher levels of PA (OR=1.7, CI=1.3–2.4).	Good
54	Orgiles, M., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Italy and Spain	1143 parents	3-18	During lockdown, the time children spent doing PA decreased (β = 0.04, SE = 0.07, Wald χ 2 95% CI [0.03, 0.04], p < 0.001). For example, the proportion of children who reported < 30 minutes of PA/day increased from 13.6% before the COVID-19 pandemic to 55.6% during the COVID-19 pandemic. Similarly, the proportion of children who met PA recommendations (at least 60 minutes of PA/day) decreased from 54.1% to 14.8%.	Easier family coexistence was associated with a higher duration of exercise in children ($\rho =$ 0.08; $p = 0.004$). In contrast, children of parents with high stress levels were more likely to have less PA ($\rho =$ -0.10 ; $p \le 0.001$).	Good
55	Pietrobelli, A., et al. (2020).	Telephone questionnaire ; 2	Italy	41 children	6-18	Among kids with obesity, sports activity decreased by 2.30 ± 4.60 hours/week (p=0.003).	Not reported.	Good
56	Pombo, A., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Portugal	2159 children	0-12	During the COVID-19 pandemic, 0 to 2 year old children had the highest percentages of PA (%PA). (%PA: play with PA (tag, hide and seek, etc.) + PA (organized indoor and outdoor PA) / (intellectual activity + playful screen time + play w/o PA + play w/ PA + PA)).	Outdoor space (p<0.001), the presence of other children in the home (p=0.002), younger age (p<0.001), and having an adult at home not working were significantly associated with higher levels of %PA (P < .001). In contrast, lower levels of %PA in	Good



International Journal of MEDICAL STUDENTS

							children were associated with families in which all adults worked from home (p=0.016). There was no significant effect of gender on differences in %PA	
57	Predieri, B., et al. (2020).	Telephone questionnaire ; 1	Italy	62 children	1-18	Among children with type 1 diabetes, time spent on exercise significantly decreased from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic $(3.27 \pm 2.82 \text{ vs.})$ $0.24 \pm 0.59 \text{ h/week},$ respectively; p <0.0001).	Not reported.	Good
58	Roe, A., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2, 3	Norway	5368; 4642 parents, 726 teachers	6-16	About one-third of all participants reported their child participated in more than 60 minutes of daily PA. Students in grades 1-4 (5 to 10 years) had the most PA, with more than 60 minutes of daily PA reported by 43% of parents. In contrast, only 18% of students in grades 8-10 (13 to 16 years) reported more than 60 minutes of daily PA.	Duration of daily PA increased with age of participants. Boys participated in more PA than girls in grades 1- 7.	Good
59	Ruiz-Roso, M. B., et al. (2020).	IPAQ; 1	Italy, Spain, Brazil, Chile, and Colombia	726 children	10-19	Before the COVID-19 pandemic, 27% of all participants were physically active compared to 20.5% during the COVID-19 pandemic.	Boys were more active than girls [OR 2.22 (CI 95% 1.28–3.86)] before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Higher maternal education was associated with lower levels of PA during the COVID-19 pandemic [OR 0.40 (CI 95% 0.20–0.84)].	Good
60	Schmidt, S. C. E., et al. (2020).	MoMo PAQ; 1	Germany	1711 children	4-17	While the duration of organized and nonorganized sports decreased (10.8 min/day, p<0.01), there was an increase in habitual PA (i.e., playing outside, walking, cycling, gardening, housework) (36.2 min/day, p<0.01). The proportion of children who met PA recommendations increased by 11.1% (p<0.01) during the COVID-19 pandemic. Among the entire sample, the number of active days also increased by 0.4 days/week (p<0.01).	Older children (ages 14 to 17) had greater increases in nonorganized sports than younger children (ages 4 to 5) (17.0 vs 11.8 min/day). Older children had greater reductions in total sports than younger children (15.6 vs 2.2 min/day). Younger children had higher levels of habitual PA overall, but also played outside more, while older children walked and cycled more. Boys reported more min/day playing outside, walking, and cycling than girls. The proportion of children wale PA recommendations increase among 14.7% of children aged 4 to 5	Fair



International Journal of MEDICAL STUDENTS

International Journal of Medical Students

61	Schmidt, T. and C. S. Pawłowski (2020).	Online survey; 1	Denmark	142 children	15-18	From before to during the COVID-19 pandemic, the average minutes of PA/week decreased by 36.6% (p<0.001). Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, most children reported being physically active 2 to 4 times per week (50.4%), while during the COVID-19 pandemic most children reported being physically active 5 times or more per week (44.9%). The proportion of children who participated in PA 5 times or more per week increased by 19.1%, while the proportion of children who rarely or never did PA increased by 19.1%. Although outdoor activity was still a frequently reported form of PA, less children reported doing street activities and team ball games compared to before to COVID-19 pandemic. 57.1% of children reported doing the most PA at home and 33.3% reported doing the most PA in public. Children were most physically active with family (40.8%) or alone (57.7%) in comparison to with friends (83.5%) before the COVID-19 pandemic.	Not reported.	Good
62	Salzano, G., et al. (2021).	Online survey; 1	Italy	1860 children	12-18	During the COVID-19 pandemic, 84.5% of children participated in PA at home. PA at home was most often practiced for 1 to 3 hrs/week (30.1%), followed by < 1 hour per week (19.1%).	Not reported.	Fair
63	Ten Velde, G., et al. (2021).	Cohort A: BAECKE questionnaire , Cohort B: BAECKE questionnaire and ActiGraph GT3X acceleromete r; 1	Netherland s	Cohort A: 102 children, Cohort B: 131 children	Cohort A: 4-18, Cohort B: 7-12	During the COVID-19 pandemic, decreased PA was reported by 62% of children in cohort A and 54% of children in cohort A. significantly decreased ($p<0.01$) compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, whereas in cohort B, only sports PA significantly decreased ($p<0.0.1$). In cohort B, light PA (LPA) and MVPA decreased from 252 ± 34 and 65 ± 18 min/d before the COVID-19 pandemic (May/June 2019) to 218 ± 39 and 48 ± 18 min/d during the COVID-19 pandemic, June 2020) (- 34 ± 42 min/d, $p<0.01$; - 17 ± 19 min/d, $p<0.01$; - 17 ± 19 min/d, $p<0.01$; Similarly, while 64% of children in cohort B met PA recommendations (60 minutes of MVPA/day) before the COVID-19 pandemic, only 20% met recommendations during the COVID-19 pandemic ($p<0.01$). Total PA in cohort B was decreased by 51 ± 52 min/d compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, only 20% met	While girls had larger decreases in light PA (LPA) and MVPA than boys, only the difference in reduction of LPA between boys and girls was significant (-18 ± 38 vs -44 ±41 min/day, p=0.02). Children with more MVPA min/d before the COVID-19 pandemic had larger reductions in MVPA compared to children with less MVPA before the COVID-19 pandemic.	Good
64	Theis, N., et al. (2021). "	IPAQ-SF; 2	UK	125 parents	8-16	The frequency of vigorous and light/moderate intensity PA decreased from 2 and 5 to 0 and 3 days/week from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic (median values;	Not reported.	Fair



						z = -4.699, P < 0.001; median values; z = -3.979, P < 0.001). However, the duration of vigorous and light/moderate intensity PA did not significantly change with most children achieving < 30 minutes of vigorous intensity PA (z = -1.040, P = 0.073) and between 30 to 60 minutes of light/moderate intensity PA (z = -1.102, P = 0.070). (z = -1.040, P = 0.073). The MET values of vigorous and light/moderate intensity PA decreased by 48% (z = -4.334, P > 0.001) and 38% (z = -5.434, P > 0.001) from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic. Indoor play, cycling, walking, and at home PA classes reported as types of PA children engaged in during the COVID-19 pandemic.	65	
65	Tornaghi, M., et al. (2020).	IPAQ; 1	Italy	1568 children	15-18	Before the COVID-19 pandemic, 6.8% of children met PA recommendations (60 min of MVPA/day) compared to 14.7% during the COVID-19 pandemic. From before to during the COVID-19 pandemic, the percentage of children with a inactive (<700 MET- min/week) (17.8% vs 25.8%) or intense (>2520 MET-min/week) PA level increased (15.8% vs. 19.8%), while the percentage of children with a moderate (700-2519 MET-min/week) PA level decreased (66.3% to 53.6%).	Not reported.	Good
66	Wunsch, K., et al. (2021).	MoMo-PAQ; 1, 2	Germany	1711 children	4-17	Before the COVID-19 pandemic, male and female children (4-10) met PA recommendations (at least 60 minutes of PA) 4.74 and 4.62 days/week, while during the COVID-19 pandemic boys and girls met PA recommendations 5.39 and 5.27 days/week. Similarly, the days/week that male and female adolescents (11-17) met PA recommendations increased from 3.90 and 3.55 days/week.	Sedentary time before the COVID-19 pandemic had a negative association with PA during the COVID-19 pandemic, independent of age and gender. In contrast, among females and children < 10 years, health related quality of life before the COVID-19 pandemic was positively associated with PA during the COVID-19 pandemic.	Good
67	Zorcec, T., et al. (2020).	Questionnair e; 2	Republic of Macedonia	72 parents/caregive rs	7.3±2.89	Among children with cystic fibrosis (CF), asthma, tuberculosis (TB) and allergic rhinitis, the percentage of children who participated in more than 2 hours of PA/day significantly decreased from before to during the pandemic (52.8% and 20.8%, p=0.0001). 11.4% of children before the COVID-19 pandemic compared to 30.2% of children during the COVID-19 pandemic	Not reported.	Fair



						participated in only a few hours of PA/week (p=0.0056).		
68	Carroll (2020)	IPAQ; 2	Canada	310 children, 254 families	18 months - 5 years	During lockdown, decreased PA was reported for 52% of children, with an average time spent outdoors and in active play of one hr/day. Parents reported decreased intensity of PA among children during the COVID-19 pandemic.	Parents reported PA was limited during the COVID-19 pandemic because of a lack of space and variety of tools/toys.	Good
69	Ellis, W. E., et al. (2020).	Godin Leisure-Time Exercise questionnaire ; 1	Canada	1054 children	14-18	Many participants reported no strenuous (40%) or moderate (21.3%) physical activity over the past week. During the COVID- 19 pandemic, children participated in more than 15 minutes of mild PA 3.47 times, moderate PA 2.7 times, and strenuous PA 1.93 times.	Not reported.	Good
70	Guerrero, M. D., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Canada	1472 parents	5-17	81.8% of children did not meet the PA recommendation.	Children were more likely to achieve PA recommendations if their parents were able to restrict screen time, had a higher income, or were younger than 43 years old. Furthermore, boys and children with increased outdoor PA and sport during the COVID-19 pandemic were more likely to achieve PA recommendations	Good
71	Hemphill, N. M., et al. (2020).	Fitbit (step count)	Canada	109 children	9-16	Children with congenital heart disease obtained 21%-24% less daily steps during early-COVID. Daily steps were consistently below the Canadian PA guidelines of 60 minutes of MVPA/day (approximately equivalent to 12,000 steps/day).	Daily step counts were higher among boys than girls.	Good
72	McCormack, G. R., et al. (2020).	Online questionnaire ; 2	Canada	328 parents	5-17	During the COVID-19 pandemic, children met PA recommendations (\geq 60 min of MVPA) an average of 3.48 ± 2.41 days/week. Children played 4.52 ± 2.75 days in the past week and went to a park on 4.85 ± 6.39 days in the past month. Meanwhile, 18.3% of children reported 0 days of MVPA/week, 16.5% had 0 days of play per week, and 34.5% had no visits to a park in the past month. 48.8% of parents reported their child's PA at home increased and 32.9% of parents reported that their child's PA was the same compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic. 38.7% of parents reported their child's PA outdoors increased, while 39% of parents reported their child's PA outdoors decreased. 52.7% of parents reported their child's play at a park decreased, 53.7% of	Children of parents with high COVID-19 anxiety had fewer days at the park and less PA both in the home (14.2% vs. 25.6%, p < .05) and outdoors (33.2% vs. 49.6%) compared to children of parents with low COVID-19 anxiety (3.00 days vs. 5.42, p < .05).	Fair

						parents reported their child's play in public spaces decreased, while 9.5% of parents reported their child's play in public spaces increased.		
73	Mitra, R., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Canada	1472 parents	5-17	More youth than children experienced a decrease in physical activity-related movements during the pandemic, including walking/biking, outdoor or indoor physical exercise and outdoor play. The majority of children reported a decrease in walking or biking (53.2%), PA or sport outside (63.8%), and outdoor play (51.2%) during the COVID-19 pandemic. In contrast, the majority of children reported PA or sport inside stayed the same (40.5%), while indoor play increased (53.1%).	Compared to children who reported decreased outdoor activity during the COVID-19 pandemic, a greater proportion of children who reported increased outdoor activity met PA guidelines (11.6% vs 26.5%, p<0.001) (60 minutes of MVPA/day). Younger children, children with a higher household income, children living in houses (vs apartments), living in multi- child households, and living further from major roads were more likely to cluster into the increased outdoor activity group. Gender was not associated with clustering into the increased outdoor activity. While neighborhood density was negatively associated with clustering into the increased outdoor activity group, access to a park within 1 km increased the likelihood of children living in high density neighborhoods clustering into the increased outdoor activity group, access to a park within 1 km increased the likelihood of children living in high density neighborhoods clustering into the increased outdoor activity group (OR = 1.19).	Good
74	Moore, S. A., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Canada	1472 parents	5-17	During the COVID-19 pandemic, 23.8% of children (5-13 years) and 13.2% of youth (14-17 years) met PA recommendations (60 minutes of MVPA/day). Children and youth attained 60 minutes of MVPA an average of 3.55 and 2.59 days/week, respectively. PA included activities such as walks or bikes in the neighborhood, PA or sport outside and inside, and outdoor play. Overall, children and youth had decreased PA and outside time during the COVID-19 pandemic, with the greatest reduction in outdoor PA and sport. In contrast, children and youth reported increased indoor play. Among outdoor activities, biking (6.1%), walking/hiking	A greater proportion of children (23.8%) met PA recommendations than youth (13.2%). More boys (5-11 years) met PA recommendations than girls (27.3% boys, 19.0% girls). Younger parental age, parental age, parental co- participation in PA, parental cohabitation, living in a detached house (vs. apartment) and having a dog were positively associated with PA.	Good

						(5.5%), and sports (3.5%) increased the most during the COVID-19 pandemic.		
75	Bazett-Jones (2020)	Online questionnaire ; 1	US	287 children	9-19	During COVID-19 restrictions, runners reported shorter distance runs ($p < 0.001$), fewer runs ($p < 0.001$), and fewer intense runs ($p < 0.001$) per week.	Not reported.	Fair
76	Dunton, G. F., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	US	211 children	5-13	During the COVID-19 pandemic, the most reported forms of PA were free play/unstructured activity (i.e. running around, tag) (90% of children) and walking (55% of children). Remote/streaming services were also used for PA programs (i.e. martial arts, dance, yoga classes) (28.9% of children), with older children (ages 9-13) five times more likely to participate in virtual team sports training sessions compared to younger children (ages 5- 8)(OR = 5-40, 95% CI [1.70, 17.15], Wald = 8.19, p = .004)Wald = .288, p = .633). Younger children (age 5-8) were more likely to participate in free play/unstructured physical activity, biking, and scootering/skateboarding/r oller skating, while older children (ages 9-13) were more likely to participate in circuit training/conditioning. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the likelihood of participating in PA at home (OR = 2.49, 95% CI [1.04,4.60], Wald = 8.293, p = .003 and in the neighborhood (i.e. on sidewalks and roads)(OR = 1.92, 95% CI [1.04,4.60], Wald = 4.28, p = .038) increased compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic. In contrast, the likelihood of participating in PA at parks and trails decreased (OR = 0.47, 95% CI [0.23, 0.97], Wald = 4.22, p = .040).	Parents perceived greater decreases in PA among older children (ages 9– 13) compared to younger children (ages 5–8).	Good
77	Garcia, J. M., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 1	US	9 children	14-19	Prior to the pandemic, participants reported more days that they participated in 60 minutes or more of PA more frequently (4.17 days vs. 2.27 days; $p =$ 0.0006) and participated in more activities (3.4 activities vs 2.11 activities; p = 0.007) than during the pandemic. 78% of the participants felt that their PA decreased.	The participants with decreased PA reported school PA program cancellations and fear of going outdoors as barriers to PA. The participant with increased PA reported it was because his family started riding bikes together.	Good
78	McGuine, T. A., et al. (2020).	Pediatric Functional Activity Brief Scale (PFABS); 1	US	13002 children	3-19	Among team sport athletes, lower levels of PA were reported compared to athletes involved in individual sports.	Lower levels of PA were reported among girls, athletes in the 12th grade, athletes who participated in team sports, and athletes from more	Good



impoverished areas.

79	Pavlovic, A., et al. (2021).	Online questionnaire ; 3	US	2440; 1789 PE teachers, 64 district administrators, 62 school administrators, and 3 nurses	not specified (grades 3- 12)	During the COVID-19 pandemic, 79% of participants reported students PA was "significantly less" or "somewhat less". Frequently reported online PA resources included YouTube (29%),virtual learning platforms (27.9%), and PE teacher- led virtual classes (25.6%). Among schools that closed due to the COVID-19 pandemic, barriers to PA included student access to virtual learning and communication between teachers and students. Among schools that remained open, barriers to PA were social distancing guidelines and access to equipment and space for PA.	There were no significant differences in PA between children in different education levels (i.e., elementary, middle, or high schools) or regions of the U.S.	Good
80	Tulchin- Francis, K., et al. (2021).	Weighted Leisure-Time Score Index (unvalidated modified Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnair e (mGodin); 2	US	1083 parents	3-18	While light PA was unchanged, MVPA of children significantly decreased during the COVID-19 pandemic (before: 46.7, during: 34.7, p < 0.001). For all ages, PA with friends, yard and neighborhood play (18.1%–39.8%, p < 0.05), and indoor child and parent-led play (6.8%– 23.4%, p < 0.05) decreased. Preschool and elementary children reported decreased PA with family (8.3%–23.5%, p < 0.05) and a 7.1% to 20.1% increase in independent, virtual PA. Among preschool and elementary girls (20.3% and 5.7%, p < 0.05), as well as, elementary and middle school boys (5.1% and 13.8%, p < 0.05), increased yard play was reported. In contrast, there were no significant increases in outdoor PA among high school students. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, 78.6% of children participated in organized sports, while during the COVID-19 pandemic only 10.6% participated in organized sports.	The COVID-19 pandemic had the lowest impact on PA (based on quantity, variety, and intensity of PA) of preschool students and the highest impact on PA of high school students. Boys had higher reductions in PA than girls.	Good
81	de Matos (2020)	IPAQ; 1	Brazil	69 children	8-18	Weekly energy expenditure was significantly reduced among children (male: ES: 3.02, Cl95%: [1.93; 4.12], p<0.0001; female: ES: 3.26, Cl95%: [1.82; 4.69], p<0.0001) and adolescents (male: ES: 4.25, Cl 95%: [3.06; 5.44], p<0.0001; female: ES: 4.01, Cl 95%: [3.02; 5.00]; p<0.0001) during the COVID-19 pandemic.	Not reported.	Fair
82	Sa, C., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Brazil	816 children	0-12	Most parents reported a decrease in PA during the COVID-19 pandemic, with children doing either much less PA (46.1% of parents) or less PA than during a normal school year (37% of parents). Children	Older children had lower levels of playing with PA than younger children. There were no significant relationships	Good



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of MEDICAL STUDENTS

						participated in 0.4±0.6 to 0.7±1.0 hours of PA/day during the COVID-19 pandemic.	between age and sex and organized or outdoor PA.	
83	Siegle, C. B. H., et al. (2020).	Online questionnaire ; 2	Brazil	816 children	0-12	During the COVID-19 pandemic, the highest average percentage of daily PA was $10.3\pm16.2\%$ among boys ages 0 to 2, while the lowest average percentage of daily PA was 3.9 ± 5.7 among girls ages 6 to 9. (%PA = playtime w/ PA + PA (planned, inside/outside the house, dog walking) / (playtime w/ PA + PA + playtime on screens + intellectual (school)).	Percentage of PA (%PA) was higher among children with large external spaces at home than children with small external space (p=0.001) or with no external space (p<0.001). Older children had lower %PA than younger children. There was no significant effect of gender on differences in %PA.	Good
84	Aguilar- Farias (2020)	Online survey; 2	Chile	3157 children	1-5	For all age groups, the average duration of PA decreased by 0.75 hrs/day (-0.75 [Cl 95% -0.81, -0.70] h/day).	Greater reductions in PA were reported for children with a more educated main caregiver or who lived in an apartment. Smaller reductions in PA were reported for children living with more children or with five or more people, children in in rural areas, and children with space at home to play. While there was no effect of gender on PA, older children had less PA.	Good
85	Reece, L. J., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Australia	16177 parents	4-18	During the COVID-19 pandemic, 31% of participants reported children's PA decreased a lot, while 39% reported children's PA decreased a little. For PA, 82% of children were active at home, while 52% of children were active in public spaces.	Not reported.	Fair
86	Cahal (2021)	Online questionnaire ; 2	Israel	445 children	0-18	PA decreased in 53.9% of patients with chronic respiratory disorders (i.e. asthma, recurrent pneumonia).	Older patients (>5 years old) had decreased PA compared to younger patients (p<.001).	Fair
87	Chaturvedi (2021)	Online survey; 1	India	303 children	7-17	Children spent an average of 0.82 hrs/day on fitness during the COVID-19 pandemic.	Not reported.	Good
88	Ghanamah, R. and H. Eghbaria- Ghanamah (2021).	Online survey; 2	Israel	382 parents	5-11	Children spent less time in physical activities during the COVID-19 pandemic than before. (before: 2.88 hours/day during: 2.02 hours/day, p<0.001)	Children spent less time in PA when a family member was diagnosed with COVID-19.	Good
89	Masi, A., et al. (2021).	Online survey; 2	Australia	302 caregivers	2-17	68% of caregivers for children with neurodevelopmental disabilities reported a decrease in exercise.	Not reported.	Fair
90	Munasinghe, S., et al. (2020).	PACE +, smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR); 1	Australia	582 children	13-19	PA decreased from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic (odds ratio [OR] = .53, 95% confidence interval [CI] = .3483), with a concurrent decrease in the average number of steps/day.	Not reported.	Fair



International Journal of MEDICAL STUDENTS

International Journal of Medical Students

91	Nathan, A., et al. (2021).	Online survey; 2	Australia	157 children	5-9	Compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, the total minutes of PA/week did not significantly change during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, there was a 65.7% and 65% reduction in the duration and frequency of organized PA (-124.6 min/week, p <0.001; -1.3 times/week, p <0.001; 1.3 times/week, p <0.001). In contrast, the duration and frequency of total (23.1% or 146.3 min/week, p <0.001) and home-based (58.7% or 201 min/week, p <0.001) unstructured PA increased. Other activities that significantly increased from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic included outdoor play (i.e., in the yard or street around the house, in a park or outdoor recreation area.	Parents reported facilitators of PA during the COVID-19 pandemic as increased time at home to support PA, more children outside playing together, more utilization of local PA resources (i.e., parks), more unstructured PA, and more parental encouragement.	Good
92	Parker, K., et al. (2021).	Online survey; 1	Australia	963 children	13-17	During the COVID-19 pandemic, 7.2% of children met PA recommendations (60 min of MVPA/day). Among the 26.5% of children who used digital platforms for PA, streaming services were used by 40.0%, online classes were used by 30.2%, and subscriber fitness programs were used by 13.7% of those children. The median frequency and duration of digital platform use for PA were 4 (IQR 3-7) times per week and 120 (IQR 60- 260) min/week.	Children who used digital platforms for PA during the COVID-19 pandemic were over 2 times more likely to meet PA recommendations	Good
93	Sciberras, E., et al. (2020).	Online survey; 2	Australia	213 children	5-17	Among kids with ADHD, there was decreased exercise (Odds Ratio (OR) = 0.4; 95% Cl 0.3– 0.6) and outdoor time (OR = 0.4; 95% 0.3–0.6) compared to pre-COVID.	Not reported.	Good
94	Zhao, Y., et al. (2020).	Online questionnaire ; 1, 2	China	738 students, 1062 parents	11.0±1.7	During the COVID-19 pandemic, 26% of children reported 0 hours, 18% reported 1-1 hours, 17.9% reported 1-2 hours, and 18% reported more than 2 hours of daily outdoor activity. In contrast, 43.7% of parents reported their child's daily outdoor activity was 0 hours. 42.9% of children in grades 7-9 reported 0 hours of daily outdoor activity compared to 24.1% of children in grades 1-3 and 23.8% of children in grades 4-6.	Not reported.	Fair
96	Wu, X., et al. (2021).	Telephone questionnaire ; 2	China	43 children	0-18	Among children with type 1 diabetes, 44.1% reported PA decreased during the COVID-19 pandemic. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, outdoor activities (i.e., cycling, basketball) were predominant forms of exercise. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the predominant form of exercise was indoor	Not reported.	Fair



						activities (i.e., pacing, skipping rope).		
97	Zhang, X., et al. (2020).	IPAQ-SF; 1	China	9979 children	9-14	Students obtained an average of 23.19 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA)/ day (1193.02 \pm 1621.88 MET- min/week). Vigorous PA made up 42.74% (510.40 \pm 934.18 MET-min/week) of total PA, while walking for PA made up 24.19% (288.60 \pm 613.08 MET- min/week).	Girls participated in significantly more MVPA and moderate PA than boys during the COVID-19 pandemic (p<0.01). Similarly, younger children in grade 4 participated in significantly less MVPA and moderate PA than older children in grades 5 and 6. (p<0.01)	Good
98	Abid (2021)	Ricci and Gagnon sedentary behavior questionnaire ; 1	Tunisia	100 children	5-12	Compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, sports and recreational PA decreased by 35%, daily PA decreased by 16% for boys and 27% for girls, and total PA decreased by 7% for boys and 17% for girls during the COVID-19 pandemic (p < 0.001).	There was no significant effect of gender on PA.	Fair
99	Shinomiya, Y., et al. (2021).	Online survey; 2	Japan	2019: 2017 children, 2020: 295 children	18-30 months	The percentage of leisure time that consisted of outdoor play decreased from an average of $64.0 \pm$ 26.0% before the COVID- 19 pandemic (March 2019) to $61.0 \pm 27.1\%$ during the COVID-19 pandemic (March 2020) (p = 0.058).	Children who stayed at home had less outdoor play than children who received childcare at a nursery school (p=0.019).	Good
100	Xiang, M., et al. (2020).	Global Physical Activity questionnaire (GPAQ); 1	China	2426 children	6-17	Before the COVID-19 pandemic, PA decreased from a median of 540 min/week to 105 min/week during the COVID-19 pandemic (-435 min/week, p<0.001). The percentage of children who met PA recommendations (at least 60 min of PA/day) decreased from 60% to 17.7% during the COVID- 19 pandemic (-42.3%).	Not reported.	Fair
101	Yang, S., et al. (2020).	IPAQ-long form; 1	China	2824 children	17.5±1.2	High school students reported their MVPA (80.4%), active transport for commuting and errands (77.4%), housework activity (50.1%), and walking for leisure (79.9%) stayed the same. The hrs/week that high school students participated in MVPA remained unchanged at an average of 1.5 hrs/week.	Not reported.	Fair
102	Elnaggar, R. K., et al. (2020).	PAQ-A; 1	Saudi Arabia	63 children	14-18	From baseline to follow- up, the PA level (PAL) of all participants was significantly reduced (BL- PAL: 305 ± 0.54; FL-PAL: 2.77 ± 0.47; P < .001).	While PAL decreased for both genders, only the PAL reduction was significant in boys (BL-PAL: 3.20 ± 0.57 ; FU-PAL: 2.76 ± 0.49 ; P < .001). At baseline, boys achieved significantly higher PAL than girls (P = .014), but at follow-up both genders had similar PAL (P = .86).	Fair
103	Jia, P., et al. (2021).	IPAQ-long form; 1	China	2824 children	16-18	Compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, the frequency of active transport (i.e., commuting and errands) among high school students decreased	Not reported.	Good



from 1.3 to 0.9 days/week (p<0.001), while leisuretime walking decreased from 1.0 to 0.7 days/week (p<0.001) during the COVID-19 pandemic. Similarly, the frequency of moderate-to-vigorous housework decreased from 2.3 to 1.9 days/week (p<0.05) and leisure-time moderate-to-vigorous PA decreased from 0.7 to 0.65 days/week (p<0.001).

^a1 = child reported measure; 2 = parent reported measure, 3 = other secondary subjective reported measure

- Abbreviations: PA, physical activity; NIH NHLBI, National Institute of Health National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; CI, confidence interval; h/day, hrs/day; MVPA,
- moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; M, mean; SD, standard deviation; PAL, physical activity level; ES, effect size; min/d, min/day; OR, odds ratio; Wald, Wald test; BL,
- baseline; FU, follow up; P.E., physical education; min/wk, min/week; ml.kg-1.min-1, millimeters per kilogram per minute; h/d, hrs/day; km, kilometers; ADHD, attention-
- deficit/hyperactivity disorder; LPA, light physical activity; MET, metabolic equivalent task

500



1 **Table 2**. Characteristics of the Physical Activity Data for the Included Articles (N=69).

PA Data Reported by: 39-42, 44, 40, 50, 57, 59-43, 65, 69, 75, 77, 78, 51, 57, 00, 52, 67, 56, 75, 69, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 52, 67, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 52, 67, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 52, 67, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 52, 67, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 52, 67, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 52, 67, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 52, 67, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 52, 67, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 52, 67, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 70, 80, 50, 70, 72, 74, 76, 70, 80, 50, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 50, 90, 90, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 76, 80, 50, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 50, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 76, 80, 50, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 76, 80, 50, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 76, 80, 50, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 76, 80, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 60, 70, 72, 74, 76, 80, 70, 72, 74, 82, 91, 94,		Total Articles	Articles
Child 28 39-24, 4, 4, 50, 50, 79-86, 60, 77, 76, 81, 67, 90, 82, 97, 98, Parent 32 35, 50, 39, 43, 45, 40, 60, 52, 54-56, 04, 67, 68, 70, 7274, 76, 80, 82 Child and Parent 5 37, 46, 50, 50, 46, 76, 68, 70, 7274, 76, 80, 82 Child and Parent 5 37, 46, 50, 60, 64 Other (Fitbit, accelerometer, VO2, smartphone sensor) 7 34, 38, 47, 40, 63, 71, 30 Teacher and Parent 1 59 PA Measure 7 34, 30, 47, 40, 63, 71, 30 IPAQ (short or long form) 8 60, 65, 60, 60, 82, 98, 102, 104 PAQ-A 5 40-43, 103 PAQ-C 2 45, 46 PACE+ 2 50, 60 Gold Lisisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 39 353, 41, 48-52, 54, 58, 58, 51, 50, 61, 70, 7274, 59, 59, 49, 91-94, 91-94 MoMo-PAQ 2 44, 67 36, 56, 75, 66 Telephone interview 1 71 38, 53, 59, 54, 47, 63, 57, 59, 61, 53-69, 14, 59, 67, 66, 71, 75, 75, 75, 96, 14, 74, 75, 77, 78, 82, 84, 91 MoMo-PAQ 38, 53, 59, 59, 59, 61, 63-60, 71, 72, 77, 78, 82, 84, 91 36, 55, 57, 56, 61 Physical Activity Time Fr	PA Data Reported by:		
Parent 32 35, 38, 34, 34, 54, 56, 56, 57, 58, 77, 77, 77, 77, 77, 77, 77, 77, 77, 7	Child	28	39-42, 44, 49, 53, 57, 59-63, 65, 69, 75, 77, 78, 81, 87, 90, 92, 97, 98, 100-103
Child and Parent 5 37. 48, 88, 66, 94 Other (Flibit, accelerometer, VO2, smartphone sensor) 7 34, 38, 47, 49, 63, 71, 90 Feacher and Parent 1 69 Teacher and Parent 1 69 PA Measure IPAQ (short or long form) 8 60, 65, 66, 69, 82, 88, 102, 104 PAQ-A 5 40-43, 103 PAQ-CE 2 45, 46 PAQ-Q 69, 80 00 Goin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 20, 80, 53, 73, 84-52, 54, 56, 58, 64-63, 70, 72, 78, 82-89, 91-94, 98-100 OMMo-PAQ 2 44, 67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 38, 55, 75, 56 FitBit 7 90 During the COVID-19 pandemic Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 90 During the COVID-19 pandemic Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 33, 53, 37, 39-45, 47-65, 57, 59, 61, 63-66, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-88, 88-91, 93, 88, 88-103 93, 53, 57, 39-44, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-66, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-88, 88-91, 93, 88, 88-103 93, 61, 65, 65, 55, 53, 50, 61, 64-66, 67, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-88, 88-91, 93, 58, 98-103 93,	Parent	32	35, 36, 38, 43, 45, 48, 50-52, 54-56, 64, 67, 68, 70, 72-74, 76, 80, 82- 86, 88, 89, 91, 93, 96, 99
Other (Fitbit, accelerometer, VO2, smartphone sensor) 7 34, 38, 47, 40, 63, 71, 50 Teacher and Parent 1 59 Teacher and School Administrator 1 79 PA Measure IPAQ (short or long form) 8 60, 65, 66, 69, 82, 98, 102, 104 PAQ-A 5 40-43, 103 PAQ-C 2 45, 46 PACE+ 2 53, 90 Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 2 66, 80 Other online survey 39 35-37, 43, 48-52, 54, 56, 58, 61-63, 70, 72-79, 82-89, 91-94, 98-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 66, 80 Telephone interview 4 88, 55, 55, 56 In-person questionnaire 2 44, 87 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 71 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 57, 59, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Sub Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 34, 35, 57, 59, 61, 63, 66, 71, 77, 79.42, 64, 68, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77	Child and Parent	5	37, 46, 58, 66, 94
Teacher and Parent 1 59 Teacher and School Administrator 1 79 PA Measure IPAQ (short or long form) 8 60, 65, 66, 60, 82, 98, 102, 104 PAQ-A 5 40-43, 103 PAQ-C 2 45, 46 PACE+ 2 53, 80 Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 2 60, 80, 82, 98, 102, 104 Other online survey 39 35, 37, 43, 48-52, 54, 56, 58, 61, 63, 70, 72, 79, 82-80, 91-94, 98-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 60, 66 Telephone interview 4 457 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 1 71 Physical Activity Time Frame 1 77 Physical Activity Time Frame 7 30, 46, 56, 57, 56, 57, 59, 61, 63, 46, 71, 73, 77, 78-82, 84-80, 88-91, 93, 98, 91, 93 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 57, 59, 61, 63-86, 71, 73, 77, 78-82, 84-80, 88-91, 93, 98, 91, 93 Did PA increase of decrease? 4 38, 50, 37, 39-46, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-78, 83, 98, 91, 93 PA decreased 50 88-98, 91, 98, 91, 91 33, 33, 47, 50, 54, 55, 57, 59, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-82, 91, 91 PA decreased 50 82, 85, 91-83, 07, 103 35, 33, 47, 50, 54, 55, 57, 59, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-	Other (Fitbit, accelerometer, VO2, smartphone sensor)	7	34, 38, 47, 49, 63, 71, 90
Teacher and School Administrator 1 79 PA Measure IPAQ (short or long form) 8 40.45, 103 PAQ-A 5 40-43, 103 PAQ-C 2 45, 46 PACE+ 2 53, 90 Oddin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 2 69, 80 Other online survey 39 55, 74, 43, 46 52, 54, 56, 56, 61 63, 70, 72-79, 82-89, 91-94, 98-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 60, 60 Telephone interview 4 88, 55, 79, 96 In-person questionnaire 2 44, 67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 1 71 Physical Activity Time Frame 7 7 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 96, 45, 55, 57, 59, 61, 63, 87, 92, 94, 97 S4, 35, 37, 34, 45, 57, 59, 51, 58, 61, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 69, 68, 70, 78, 83, 87, 52, 94, 97 34, 35, 37, 45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63, 66, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 69, 68, 70, 78, 83, 87, 52, 94, 97 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 96, 46, 65, 57, 59, 61, 63, 66, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 69, 68, 70, 78, 83, 87, 52, 94, 97 PA decreased 90 84, 36, 55, 57, 59, 61, 63, 66, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 98, 98, 101, 101	Teacher and Parent	1	59
PA Measure IPAQ (short or long form) 8 60, 65, 66, 69, 82, 98, 102, 104 PAQ-A 5 40-43, 103 PAQ-C 2 45, 46 PACE+ 2 53, 90 Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 39 35-37, 43, 45-25, 45, 55, 61-63, 70, 72-79, 82-99, 91-94, 98-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 60, 60 60 Telephone interview 4 38, 55, 57, 96 In-person questionnaire 2 44, 67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame During the COVID-19 pandemic Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 pandemic 38, 48, 56, 57, 59, 61, 63-66, 67, 62, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 94, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 50, 61, 63-66, 67, 62, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 94 abo, 88-00, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 50, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80 PA increased 2 91, 101 <t< td=""><td>Teacher and School Administrator</td><td>1</td><td>79</td></t<>	Teacher and School Administrator	1	79
PA measure 8 60, 65, 66, 69, 82, 98, 102, 104 IPAQ (short or long form) 5 40-43, 113 PAQ-A 5 40-43, 113 PAQ-C 2 45, 44 PACE+ 2 53, 90 Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 2 69, 80 Other online survey 39 35-37, 43, 48-52, 54, 56, 58, 61-63, 70, 72-79, 82-89, 91-94, 96-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 60, 66 Telephone interview 4 36, 55, 7, 96 In-person questionnaire 2 44, 67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame 30, 46, 45, 65, 57, 59-61, 63-66, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-68, 68-91, 93, 96, 98-103 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 68, 69, 03, 39, 99, 98-103 PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-66, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 69-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 30 82, 80, 40, 47-45, 55, 57, 69, 61, 64-66, 69, 70			
PAQ-A 5 40-43, 103 PAQ-C 2 45, 46 PACE+ 2 35, 30 Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 2 60, 66 Other online survey 39 35-37, 43, 48-52, 54, 56, 58, 61-63, 70, 72-79, 62-89, 91-94, 96-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 60, 66 Telephone interview 4 36, 55, 76 In-person questionnaire 2 46, 67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame 1 36, 45, 55, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 36 36, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 69-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 94, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 61, 63-68, 67, 69, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 94-96, 68-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-66, 89-40, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-66, 89, 00, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78-80, 90-82, 96, 91-103 PA increased 2 91, 101 FITT Principle rep	IPAQ (short or long form)	8	60, 65, 66, 69, 82, 98, 102, 104
PAQ-C 2 45.46 PACE+ 2 53.90 Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 69.80 Other online survey 39 35.37.43,452.54,56,58,61-63,70,72-79,82-89,91-94,99-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 48.85,57,96 Telephone interview 4 38,55,57,96 In-person questionnaire 2 44.67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 71 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame 1 71 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36.46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 61, 63-66, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 64-86, 68-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 4 38, 60, 66, 72 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 2 91, 101 101 FITT Principle reported 2 1, 101 101, 102, 104 Firequency 30 35, 37, 43, 46, 46, 46, 97, 0, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 39, 99, 99, 101, 102, 104 36, 35, 70, 354, 55, 16, 564, 657, 70, 73-76, 81, 82	PAQ-A	5	40-43, 103
PACE+ 2 53, 90 Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 2 69, 80 Other online survey 39 35-37, 43, 46-52, 54, 56, 58, 61-63, 70, 72-79, 82-89, 91-94, 96-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 60, 60 Telephone interview 4 38, 55, 57, 96 In-person questionnaire 2 44, 67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3x) 1 71 Smatphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 90 Physical Activity Time Frame During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 67, 92, 94, 97 Sugest Pathemic 13 36, 44, 56, 58, 52, 69, 70, 78, 83, 67, 92, 94, 97 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 64-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 99-103 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 67, 92, 94, 97 Addreneased 50 #486, 88-90, 93, 96, 99-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 94 38, 60, 66, 72 PA atayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 6	PAO-C	2	45, 46
Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or modified version) 2 69, 80 Other online survey 39 35-37, 43, 48-52, 54, 56, 58, 61-63, 70, 72-79, 82-89, 91-94, 98-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 43, 85, 57, 96 In-person questionnaire 2 44, 67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame During the COVID-19 pandemic Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 pandemic 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-66, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-86, 89-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increase or decrease? 4 36, 60, 67, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80, 99, 99, 101, 102, 104 Fitme 46 39, 40, 44-45, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80, 99, 99, 101, 102, 104		2	53, 90
Other online version/ 39 35-37, 43, 48-52, 54, 56, 58, 61-63, 70, 72-79, 82-89, 91-94, 98-100 MoMo-PAQ 2 6, 66 Telephone interview 4 38, 55, 57, 96 In-person questionnaire 2 44, 67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Sumare PA before to during the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71, 77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-66, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 2 91, 101 Firequency 30 82, 65, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80, 99, 94, 97 Intensity 101, 102, 104 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 95, 15, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80, 99, 94, 97 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 95, 15, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 7	Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (or	2	69, 80
Other of infersories 39 0.66 MoMo-PAQ 2 0.66 Telephone interview 4 38,55,57,96 In-person questionnaire 2 44,67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame 1 43, 53, 37, 45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 45, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 2 94, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 2 91, 101 Firequency 30 82, 85, 91-33, 97, 103 33, 84, 75, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46	Other epline curvey	20	35-37, 43, 48-52, 54, 56, 58, 61-63, 70, 72-79, 82-89, 91-94, 98-100
Monuce-PAQ 2 0.16 stars Telephone interview 4 38, 55, 57, 96 In-person questionnaire 2 44, 67 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 67, 92, 94, 97 Sompared PA before to during the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 67, 92, 94, 97 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 35, 39, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80- 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 95, 15, 56, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-101		39	60 66
The person questionnaire 4 </td <td>MUMU-FAQ Telephone interview</td> <td>2</td> <td>38 55 57 96</td>	MUMU-FAQ Telephone interview	2	38 55 57 96
In-person questionnaire 2 4.4.4 Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 4 35, 39, 50, 64 FitBit 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame 1 47 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 34, 35, 37-45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-82, 83, 87, 90, 94, 97, 103 Frequency 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 9, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-86, 91-94, 97-71 Type 30 97-101		4	14 67
Accelerometer (GENEActivity tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X) 4 36, 39, 50, 64 GT3X) 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame During the COVID-19 pandemic Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 36, 46, 66, 72 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80- 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-86, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 97-101	In-person questionnaire	2	TT, 01
FitBit 1 71 Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 90 Physical Activity Time Frame 1 47 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 86-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 93, 98, 98-103 93, 98, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increase of decrease? 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 951, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	Accelerometer (GENEActiv tri-axial, ActiGraph GT3X)	4	35, 39, 50, 64
Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR) 1 90 VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 36 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-82, 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 33, 46, 48, 91, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	FitBit	1	71
VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test) 1 47 Physical Activity Time Frame During the COVID-19 pandemic Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 pandemic 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Did PA increase or decrease? 56 34, 35, 37, 45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 94 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 30 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80- 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-101	Smartphone sensors (pedometer, MBAR)		90
Physical Activity Time Frame 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 56 34, 35, 37-45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-82, 86, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 97-101 Type 30 97-100, 102, 104	VO2 max (20 meter shuttle run test)	1,)	47
During the COVID-19 pandemic 13 36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97 Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 56 34, 35, 37, 45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 94 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 97-101	Physical Activity Time Frame		
Compared PA before to during the COVID-19 34, 35, 37-45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	During the COVID-19 pandemic	13	36, 46, 56, 58, 62, 69, 70, 78, 83, 87, 92, 94, 97
bid PA increase or decrease? 56 93, 96, 98-103 Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 50 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 97-100, 102, 104	Compared PA before to during the COVID-19		34, 35, 37-45, 47-55, 57, 59-61, 63-68, 71-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-91,
Did PA increase or decrease? 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported Frequency 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 9, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	pandemic	56	93, 96, 98-103
PA decreased 50 34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82, 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 30 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	Did PA increase or decrease?		
PA decreased 50 84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103 PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported Frequency 30 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80- 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104			34, 35, 37, 39-45, 47-55, 57, 59, 61, 63-65, 67, 68, 71, 73-77, 79-82,
PA increased 4 38, 60, 66, 72 PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	PA decreased	50	84-86, 88-90, 93, 96, 98-103
PA stayed the same 2 91, 101 FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80- 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	PA increased	Λ	38, 60, 66, 72
FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80- 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	PA stayed the same	2	91, 101
FITT Principle reported 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Frequency 30 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Intensity 25 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104		-	
Frequency 30 39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80- 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	FITT Principle reported		
Frequency 30 82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103 Intensity 25 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104		00	39, 40, 44-46, 50, 52, 53, 60, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80-
Intensity 25 35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99, 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	Frequency	30	82, 85, 91-93, 97, 103
Intensity 25 101, 102, 104 Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104		•-	35, 38, 47, 50, 54, 55, 61, 64-66, 69, 70, 73-76, 81, 82, 86, 93, 98, 99,
Time 46 34, 37, 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53-69, 72-74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 88, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	Intensity	25	101, 102, 104
Time 46 34, 37, 45, 40, 40, 45, 51, 55-65, 72, 74, 76, 77, 81-85, 87, 86, 90-94, 97-101 Type 30 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104			31 37 13 16 18 10 51 53 60 73 74 76 77 81 85 87 80 00 04
Type 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104	Time	46	07, 07, 70, 70, 70, 70, 77, 01, 00, 02, 72, 74, 70, 77, 01, 00, 07, 00, 90, 94, 07, 101
Type 38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104		-	31-101
	Туре	30	38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53, 61, 62, 64, 65, 70, 73-75, 77, 79-81, 86, 91-94, 97-100, 102, 104

Abbreviations: PA, physical activity; IPAQ, International Physical Activity Questionnaire; PAQ-A, Physical Activity Questionnaire for Adolescents; PAQ-C, Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children; PACE+, Patient-centered Assessment and Counseling for Exercise plus Nutrition; MoMo-PAQ, Motorik-Modul Physical Activity Questionnaire