IJMS World Conference of Medical Student Research 2022



19. PREPECTORAL VERSUS SUBPECTORAL DIRECT-TO-IMPLANT-BASED BREAST RECONSTRUCTION: A META-ANALYSIS OF 3851 PATIENTS.

Ahmed Aljabali¹, Mohammad Khader Altal¹, Yasmeen Jamal Alabdallat², Abdulrhman Khaity³, Khaled Albakri², Jehad Feras Samhouri⁴

- ¹ Fourth Year, Faculty of Medicine, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid. Jordan.
- ² Fourth Year, Faculty of Medicine, The Hashemite University, Zarga, Jordan.
- ³ MBBS, Faculty of Medicine, Elrazi University, Khartoum, Sudan.
- ⁴ Fifth Year, Faculty of Medicine, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan.



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0JIMP5Fyl7s&t=4621s

INTRODUCTION: The subpectoral direct-to-implant (SP-DTI) surgical technique is the standard and most common for breast reconstruction which could reduce implant visibility and palpability, and it manipulates the pectoralis major muscle with some postoperative complications such as muscle spasm, animation deformities, and pain while the prepectoral direct-to-implant (PP-DTI) approach leaves the muscle intact. Therefore, we conducted this meta-analysis to assess the efficacy and safety of the PP-DTI procedure after mastectomies compared to the standard breast reconstruction. METHODS: We performed a comprehensive search for the following databases: PubMed, Cochrane (Medline), Web of Science, and Scopus. All studies published in English till February 2022 were included. These include randomized and non-randomized clinical trials comparing Operation Time, Duration of Hospitalization (DOH), Breast Animation Deformity (BAD), Implant loss, Wound infection and dehiscence, seroma as well as post-operative pain. The study's quality will be assessed according to the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB2) and the ROBINS-I risk of bias tool to assess non-randomized studies of interventions. RESULTS: There were 28 comparative studies including 3851 patients carried out breast reconstruction surgeries. Post-operative complications were comparable between the two groups as follows: implant loss (OR 1.17, 95% CI [0.71-1.94]), wound dehiscence (OR 0.76, 95% CI [0.43-1.32]), wound infection (OR 1.09, 95% CI [0.78-1.53]), and seroma (OR 0.78, 95% CI [0.56-1.09]). The PP-DTI group was significantly less likely to develop BAD compared to SP-DTI group (OR 0.02, 95% CI [0.00-0.12]). Patients undergoing PP-DTI reconstruction had significantly reduced postoperative pain (SMD -0.55, 95% CI [-0.78 - -0.32]). Operation time and DOH were significantly lower among PP-DTI group ((SMD -0.35, 95% CI [-0.61 - -0.08]), (SMD -0.89, 95% CI [-1.48 - -0.30], respectively)). CONCLUSION: Following mastectomy, PP-DTI breast reconstruction significantly reduced post-operative pain, BAD, DOH, intra-operative time compared with SP-DTI reconstruction, although there was no significant difference in complication rate. A PP-DTI is a simple and safe alternative to the subjectoral technique allowing early discharge and improving patient's quality of life. Future welldesigned multicenter randomized controlled trials that compare two approaches and discuss the cost-effectiveness are needed.

Key words: PP-DTI; SP-DTI; Meta-analysis; Cosmetics.