

1 **Title:** Predatory Publishing: A Medical Student Author's Perspective

2

3 **Article type:** Experience

4

5 **Author names:**

6 1. Diego Arriaga Izabal

7

8 **Degrees and Affiliations:**

9 1. Fourth-year Medical Student. Medicine Department, Autonomous University of Sinaloa, Culiacan,
10 Mexico.

11

12 **ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor Identifier):**

13 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0929-6384>

14

15 **About the author:** Diego Izabal is currently a fifth-year medical student of (Autonomous University of Sinaloa,
16 Culiacan, Mexico) of a seven-year program.

17 **Corresponding author email:** diego_arriza@outlook.com

18 **Acknowledgment:** None.

19 **Financing:** None. The author is not a US nor UK government employee.

20 **Conflict of interest statement by authors:** No conflict of interest.

21

22 **Authors Contribution Statement:** Conceptualization: DAI. Investigation: DAI. Resources: DAI. Supervision:
23 DAI. Visualization: DAI. Writing - Original Draft: DAI. Writing - Review Editing: DAI.

24

25 **Manuscript word count:** 848.

26 **Abstract word count:** 97.

27 **Number of Figures and Tables:** 2.

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

1 **Dates**

2 Submission: 02/06/2024

3 Revisions: 07/16/2024, 10/25/2024

4 Responses: 07/16/2024, 10/25/2024

5 Acceptance: 11/13/2024

6 Publication: 11/20/2024

7

8 **Editors**

9 Associate Editor/Editor: Francisco J. Bonilla-Escobar

10 Student Editors: Jonaviva Thomas, Shuchi Abhishek

11 Copyeditor: Adnan Mujanovic

12 Proofreader:

13 Layout Editor:

14

15 **Publisher's Disclosure:** *This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication.*
16 *As a service to our readers and authors we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript*
17 *will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable*
18 *form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content,*
19 *and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.*

20

21

1 **ABSTRACT.**

2 Predatory publishers are, in most part, non-transparent and deceitful individuals that exploit the open-access
3 model and authors confidence in order to obtain profit. Unfortunately, these types of journals are on the rise and
4 may easily deceive inexperienced researchers such as medical students who aspire to venture into research.
5 In this context, the purpose of the present work is to offer a generalized view of 'predatory' magazines, how to
6 identify them and avoid them; as well as raise awareness about its existence and discern their deceptive emails
7 through my personal experience.

8 **Key Words:** *Predatory journals, predatory journal, experience, medical student*

9

Accepted, in-press

1 Background

2 The landscape of research is, for us, the average medical student, a widely unexplored area with potential new
3 threats. Once the first work of any of us is published, a previously unknown individual has now become an
4 aspiring researcher, and as inexperienced as its nature is, a broad range of hunters are looking upon its exotic
5 new prey. By any means possible, these predatory publishers may easily lure us with appealing invitation e-
6 mails to submit our manuscript to their questionable journals, accept the work in a matter of days, and finally
7 ask us for article processing charges (APC) to generate funds for their self-interest^{1,2}.

8
9 Moreover, these highly dubious publishers also take advantage of our limited knowledge in scientific research
10 and scholarly publishing practices in comparison with senior researchers; and also of our desire of being
11 published, as the pressure of improving one's curriculum has become our constant struggle in search of
12 increasing employability and career progression in the highly competitive nature of the medical world^{3,4}.
13 Therefore, all the aforementioned factors combined with our lack of information about this type of journal, make
14 us more vulnerable to their deception.

15
16 As the number of predatory publishers in both paper and electronic formats has expeditiously augmented over
17 the last decade^{1,5}, the quantity of researchers who were unaware of their deceptive nature has also
18 proportionally increased. In one study, 70.8% of the survey respondents did not know they were submitting their
19 research in predatory journals⁶. Similarly, in the medical field, students have a low rate of awareness while
20 groups elsewhere in medicine (e. g. specialists) had a higher rate of understanding of predatory publishing^{1,3}.
21 Under this context, raising awareness about predatory journals among medical students and young researchers
22 is a vital step in tackling unethical academic publishing practices².

23
24 The "predatory journal" term was first described more than a decade ago by the academic librarian Jeffrey Beall
25 as the ones "which are dishonest and lack transparency, and centers in publishing counterfeit journals to exploit
26 the open-access model in which the author pays"⁷. However, the latter views proposed renaming it to one that
27 englobes a wider spectrum to "predatory publishers" or "deceptive journals" or "illegitimate journals"^{8,9}. Likewise,
28 no definition has been widely agreed upon what constitutes a predatory journal, until four years ago an expert
29 consensus document was developed with characteristics (distinct features of all predatory journals), markers
30 (features that are common among predatory journals, considered 'red flags') and empirically data (data from
31 experiments or statistical analyses that indicate differences between predatory journals and legitimate
32 publishers) (Table 1) that can be useful to separate predatory from legitimate publishers^{3,9}. Additionally, to aid
33 in the identification of probable predatory publishers, multiple lists of probable predatory publishers have also
34 been submitted online, being Beall's the most known^{1,10}.

35
36
37
38
39
40
41

1 **The Experience**

2 The inspiration behind the present work results from my own experience of deception. One day, an invitation
3 from a previously unknown journal arrived at my mailbox to participate in their next issue. As inexperienced as
4 I was, multiple e-mails were interchanged with naïve excitement around the details of the request. Nevertheless,
5 numerous 'red flags' appeared as time passed, and after doing the corresponding research, the realization of
6 the deceit was made. Despite no vital information was exchanged, the confidence and initial enthusiasm
7 vanished. Ever since the first e-mail, a plethora of the same type followed up.
8

9 The main aim of sharing this anecdote is to raise awareness and highlight the primary points that make me
10 question the credibility of the journal. In Table 2, there are some excerpts of different e-mails I have received
11 from these predatory publishers. Any ethical practices of legitimate journals would not be implicated in this kind
12 of dubious action such as uplifting a young researcher by suggesting considerate discounts on APC or
13 pressuring the authors to collaborate with them.
14

15 **Conclusion**

16 In summary, the path that follows beneath medical students' interest in becoming young investigators is full of
17 deceiving endways. A wise decision of what journal to publish must be made with aid of numerous checklists,
18 whitelists, and blacklists; but to look upon this information, raising awareness about the existence of predatory
19 publishers and its consequence is fundamental. In this context, sharing personal experience and crucial
20 information in dedicated journals of medical students is a promising course of action to reduce the expanding
21 influence of illegitimate publishers.
22
23

1 **SUMMARY - ACCELERATING TRANSLATION**

2 **Title:** Revistas 'depredadoras': Desde la Perspectiva de un Autor Estudiante de Medicina

3 **Summary:** Las revistas 'depredadoras' son aquellas que son deshonestas y con falta de transparencia que se
4 aprovechan del modelo de acceso abierto en donde los autores pagan y por medio de ello, obtienen sus
5 ganancias con fines plenamente egoístas. Desafortunadamente, este tipo de revistas están en auge y pueden
6 engañan con facilidad a investigadores inexperimentados como son los estudiantes de medicina que aspiran
7 a incursionar en la investigación. En este contexto, el propósito del presente trabajo es ofrecer una vista
8 generalizada de las revistas 'depredadoras', cómo identificarlas y evitarlas; así como también concientizar
9 acerca de su existencia y discernir sus engañosos correos a través de mi experiencia personal.

Accepted, in-press

1 **REFERENCES.**

- 2 1. Alamri Y, Al-Busaidi IS, Bintalib MG, Abu-Zaid A. Understanding of medical students about predatory
3 journals: A comparative study from KSA and New Zealand. *J Taibah Univ Med Sci.* 2020;15(5):339-43.
- 4 2. Al-Busaidi IS, Alamri Y, Abu-Zaid A. The hidden agenda of predatory journals: A warning call for junior
5 researchers and student authors. *Med Teach.* 2018;40(12):1306-7.
- 6 3. Tomlinson OW. Predatory publishing in medical education: a rapid scoping review. *BMC Med Educ.*
7 2024;24(1):33.
- 8 4. Muhandiramge J, Vu T, Wallace MJ, Segelov E. The experiences, attitudes and understanding of research
9 amongst medical students at an Australian medical school. *BMC Med Educ.* 2021;21(1):267.
- 10 5. Shen C, Björk BC. 'Predatory' open access: a longitudinal study of article volumes and market
11 characteristics. *BMC Med.* 2015;13(1):230.
- 12 6. Kurt S. Why do authors publish in predatory journals? *Learned Publishing.* 2018;31(2):141-7.
- 13 7. Beall J. Predatory publishers are corrupting open access. *Nature.* 2012;489(7415):179.
- 14 8. Cobey KD, Lalu MM, Skidmore B, Ahmadzai N, Grudniewicz A, Moher D. What is a predatory journal? A
15 scoping review. *F1000Res.* 2018;7(1):1001.
- 16 9. Cukier S, Lalu M, Bryson GL, Cobey KD, Grudniewicz A, Moher D. Defining predatory journals and
17 responding to the threat they pose: a modified Delphi consensus process. *BMJ Open.*
18 2020;10(2):e035561.
- 19 10. Silver A. Pay-to-view blacklist of predatory journals set to launch. *Nature.* 2017.

20
21
22

1 **FIGURES AND TABLES.**

2 **Table 1.** Delphi Items Consensus to Differentiate Between Predatory and Legitimate Journals.

Factor	Items
Characteristics	The journal's operations are deceptive (misleading; not truthful).
	The journal's operations are not in keeping with best publication practices (e.g., no membership in COPE*).
	Journal has low transparency regarding its operations
	Fake impact factors are promoted by the journal.
	The journal has no retraction policy.
Markers	The journal solicits manuscripts through aggressive or persuasive emails. The contact details of the publisher are not easily verifiable. The journal does not mention a Creative Commons license.
Empirically derived data	The journal's home page has a 'look and feel' of being unprofessional. Editors and editorial board affiliations with the journal are not verifiable. The journal is not a member of COPE*.

3 *Committee on Publication Ethics. From Kurt S. Why do authors publish in predatory journals? Learned
4 Publishing 10;2 Copyright© (2018) [BMJ Publishing Group Ltd.]

5
6 **Table 2.** Personal Excerpts of E-mails received from Predatory Journals

#	Exert
1	... considering you to be a very positive author and believing that you'd definitely support us this time we are approaching you with the SPECIAL INVITATION.
2	... you being an eminent author to our Journal
3	In fact I've not received acknowledgement or article for the first issue of 2024 and this month is a very crucial period for me as the journal and my performance ranking would be analyzed and graded
4	As an honor of support and encouragement from our end for all the authors across the globe, we are providing concessions on APCs.
5	Being at month end we are providing a flat 30% discount on submissions received within 48hs.

7