IJMS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of MEDICAL STUDENTS

Letter

Commentary on "Evaluating Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation Outcomes in Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Impact of Predisposing Conditions in a Retrospective Cohort"

Faraz Ahmed Shah,[1] Areeba Eeman Ahmad.[1]

To the Editor,

We read with great interest the article by Adwer et al.[1] evaluating hypoglossal nerve stimulation (HNS) outcomes in obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). While the study provides valuable insights into the impact of comorbidities on treatment response, we would like to highlight several methodological considerations that could enhance future research.

First, the assessment of comorbidities such as depression and insomnia relied on clinician documentation without standardized tools like the PHQ-9 or Insomnia Severity Index.[2,3] This approach may introduce diagnostic variability, especially given the symptom overlap between OSA and these psychiatric conditions. Second, the pathway classification incorporated self-reported device usage data. Although patient-reported outcomes are valuable, previous research has shown discrepancies between subjective and objective adherence in sleep therapies.[4] Incorporating device-recorded usage metrics could enhance future analyses by reducing reliance on subjective reporting. In addition, the study did not use validated patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) such as the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) or the Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (FOSQ), which are widely used to quantify sleepiness and quality of life in OSA populations. Utilizing such tools would improve the consistency, sensitivity, and comparability of subjective benefit assessments. The 75.7% attrition rate warrants particular attention. While acknowledged by the authors,[1] a comparison of baseline characteristics between included and excluded patients could reveal selection bias affecting generalizability. Such attrition analysis is particularly important given the study's retrospective design.

Several potentially significant confounders were not addressed, including:

- Medication use (e.g., sedatives affecting sleep architecture)
- Socioeconomic factors influencing treatment access
- Variability in titration protocols across patients

Moreover, all chart data were extracted by a single investigator. Although this was done using a standardized abstraction template, single-reviewer extraction may introduce reviewer bias or inconsistency. Best practices in retrospective chart reviews recommend dual, independent abstraction to enhance data reliability. Additionally, the two-month follow-up period[1] provides limited insight into long-term treatment efficacy. Given that OSA management typically requires sustained therapy, longer observation would help establish treatment durability, especially in patients with comorbidities.[5]

By highlighting these methodological considerations, we aim to support future research in developing more robust and generalizable findings regarding HNS outcomes. These considerations notwithstanding, the study contributes meaningfully to understanding predictors of HNS response. The findings emphasize the importance of comprehensive pre-implantation assessment and suggest valuable directions for optimizing treatment approaches in comorbid populations.

References

1. Adwer L, Nelson T, Carlson K, Schmoker S, Samson K, Thomas SV, et al. Evaluating hypoglossal nerve stimulation outcomes in obstructive sleep apnea: impact of predisposing conditions in a retrospective cohort. Int J Med Stud. 2025;13(2):182–6.
2. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16(9):606–13.
3. Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the Insomnia Severity Index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. Sleep Med. 2001;2(4):297–307.

[1] Final year Medical Student, Federal Medical College, Islamabad, Pakistan.

About the Author: *Faraz Ahmed Shah is currently a fifth year medical student at Federal Medical College, Islamabad, Pakistan of a 5 year program.*

Correspondence:
Faraz Ahmed Shah.
Address: M3X3+8RR, G-8/4 G 8/4 G-8, Islamabad, Pakistan
Email: faraz.sye123@gmail.com

Submission: Jul 20, 2025
Revisions: Jan 13, 2026
Responses: Mar 22, 2026
Acceptance: May 2, 2026
Publication: May 2, 2026
Process: Peer-reviewed

4. Weaver TE, Grunstein RR. Adherence to continuous positive airway pressure therapy: the challenge to effective treatment. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2008;5(2):173–8.
5. Woodson BT, Strohl KP, Soose RJ, Gillespie MB, Hohenhorst W, Maurer JT. Upper airway stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea: five-year outcomes. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2018;159(1):194–202.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflict of Interest Statement & Funding

The Authors have no funding, financial relationships or conflicts of interest to disclose.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: FAS; AEA. Data curation: FAS. Supervision: AEA. Writing – original draft: FAS. Writing – review & editing: AEA.

Cite as

Shah FA, Ahmad AE. Commentary on "Evaluating Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation Outcomes in Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Impact of Predisposing Conditions in a Retrospective Cohort". Int J Med Stud. 2026 Apr-Jun;14(2): 112-113.

This work is licensed under a *Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License*

ISSN 2076-6327

This journal is published by Pitt Open Library Publishing