

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

18. From Observation to Participation: Gender Differences and Surgical Exposure among Medical Students

Nnaemeka Emmanuel Mgbedo¹, Jametta Ukpong², Alvin Turay,³ Orugbaoghene Mba⁴, Oritsemimajemite Lori⁴

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hJIcIJ1w8oM&list=P LhqNq3xJClbafO0Y5bvBcgMmXpgzJxd44&index=5&t=6 796s

Introduction: Surgical rotations are critical to the clinical education of medical students, bridging theoretical knowledge with practical experience. In many medical institutions, the transition from passive observation to active participation in surgical settings remains inconsistent and may be influenced by gender disparities. This study aimed to assess the extent of surgical exposure among clinical medical students in Georgia, with a particular focus on gender-based differences in opportunities to participate actively during general surgery rotations.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted among undergraduate medical students from the University of Georgia, Ilia State University, European University, Caucasus University, and Caucasus International University in Tbilisi, following ethical approval. The survey was carried out from June 27 to July 18, 2025. Participants were asked about the duration of their general surgery rotation, the number and types of procedures they observed and assisted with, and their involvement in basic surgical tasks. Perceptions of supervisory support, feedback, and overall satisfaction were measured using a Likert scale. Gender-based comparisons were analyzed using independent sample t-tests, with statistical significance at p < 0.05.

Results: Of the 96 respondents (mean age: 24.05 ± 2.65 years), 52 were female (54.2%) and 44 male (45.8%). Most students (58.3%) spent 2–4 weeks in general surgery rotations. Only 70.8% had the chance to perform basic surgical skills under supervision. Male students were more likely to have scrubbed in during surgeries compared to females. Males also reported significantly more opportunities to perform basic surgical tasks (p = 0.007) and assist in operations (p = 0.017). While both genders reported similar perceptions of supervisor approachability, female students rated their overall learning experience lower on average. 43.8% of all students strongly disagreed they had sufficient hands-on practice, and only 16.7% received regular constructive feedback. When asked whether the experience increased their interest in pursuing a surgical career, only about one-third agreed or strongly agreed, with a slight but not statistically significant male predominance.

Conclusion: This study showed the presence of gender-based disparities, with male students receiving significantly more hands-on opportunities. Addressing these gaps through more structured and equitable training is essential to ensure that all students gain meaningful surgical experience.

Table 1. Survey of Medical Students' Surgical Exposure, Participation, and Satisfaction

2025 IJMS World Conference of Medical Student Research

and Satisfaction		
Exposure to Surgical Procedures		
Approximately how many weeks did your General Surgery rotation last?		
Less than 2 weeks 2–4 weeks	56	5.2 58.3
5–8 weeks	26	27.1
More than 8 weeks How many surgeries did you obsen	9 ve during your rotation?	9.4
Less than 2 weeks	22	22.9
1–5	47	49.0
6–10 More than 10	17 10	17.7 10.4
How many surgeries did you assist		10.7
None	66	68.8
1–3 4–7	24	25.0 3.1
More than 7	3 3	3.1
Which types of procedures were you exposed to? Appendectomy 34 35.4		
Appendectomy Hernia repair	38	35.4 39.6
Laparotomy	57	59.4
Mastectomy	85 51	88.5
Cholecystectomy Amputations	87	53.1 90.6
Other	44	45.8
Did you have the opportunity to perform basic surgical skills under supervision?		
Yes	68	70.8
No	28	29.2
Perception and Satisfaction How would you rate the overall learning experience during your surgery		
rotation?		• •
Excellent	15 26	15.6 27.1
Good Average	33	34.4
Poor	22	22.9
Statement I was actively involved during ward	rounds	
Strongly disagree	25	26.0
Disagree	21	21.9
Neutral	28	29.2
Agree Strongly agree	15 7	15.6 7.3
I was given chances to scrub in and assist in surgeries.		
Strongly disagree	41 21	42.7 21.9
Disagree Neutral	13	13 5
Agree	15	15.6
Strongly agree 6 6.3 My supervisors were approachable and willing to teach.		
Strongly disagree	15	15.6
Disagree	7	7.3
Neutral Agree	30 27	31.3 28.1
Strongly agree	17	17.7
The rotation improved my clinical a	nd procedural confidence. 25	26.0
Strongly disagree Disagree	14	14.6
Neutral	29	30.2
Agree Strongly agree	14 14	14.6 14.6
I had enough hands-on practice du		14.0
Strongly disagree	42	43.8
Disagree Neutral	29 17	30.2 17.7
Agree		5.2
Strongly agree	5	3.1
I received constructive feedback reg Strongly disagree		33.3
Disagree	32 22	22.9
Neutral	26	27.1
Agree Strongly agree	9 7	9.4 7.3
I am now more interested in pursuing surgery as a career.		
Strongly disagree	24	25.0
Disagree Neutral	13 27	13.5 28.1
Agree	13	13.5
Strongly agree	19	19.8

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0</u>

<u>International License</u>

ISSN 2076-6327
This journal is published by Pitt Open Library Publishing
Pitt Open Library Publishing

¹ University of Georgia , Georgia

² Illia state university, Georgia

³ European University: Tbilisi

⁴ Caucasus International University: Tbilisi, Tbilisi, GE